Name

Hans van den Broek

Address

40b Winston Street, Crofton Downs Wellington 6035 New Zealand Map It

Email

bioflunz@inbox.lv

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and sustainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities. Page 142

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge

this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character Submission 51

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Hans van den Broek

Date



Form 5 Clause 6 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

Your submission can be:

Online:	www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr
	Using our online submissions form
Posted to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council
	Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan
	Private Bag, Thames 3540
	Attention: District Plan Manager
Email to:	customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz
Delivered to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council, 515 Mackay Street, Thames
	Attention. District Plan Manager (or to the Area Offices in Coromandel Whangamata or Whitianga)

Submitter Details

Full Name(s) KEITH LEONARY FOSTER		
or Organisation (if relevant)	
and the second sec	KIWIFOS @ GMAIL.COM 244 COOK DRIVE WHITIANGA 3510	
Phone no. include area code	07 866 0568	Mobile no.

Submissions must be received no later than 5 pm Friday 14 March 2014

If you need more writing space, just attach additional pages to this form.

OF

PRIVACY ACT 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be accessible to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource Management Act 1991. Your contact details will only be used for the purpose of the Proposed District Plan process. The information will be held by the Thames-Coromandel District Council. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.



Submission 52

The specific provisions of the Proposed District Plan that my submission relates to are: TC 220 (please specify the Objective, Policy, Rule, Map or other reference your submission relates to)
TC 220: 18E
PD PCC PAN
RAD WINESING (2.5 ~ IN WINTH) COOK DRIVE 'LHATEANIGA.
ROAD WIDENING (2.5~ IN WIDTH) COOK DRIVE, WHITTANGA. Lots 78 74 76 77 78 79 80 82. DP23222 Lots 142. DPS 91947 Lots 1
My submission is: If \$ \$ \$ \$ 9 1 3 (clearly state whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE specific parts of the Proposed District Plan or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons for your view) I support oppose Ite above plan provision.
Reasons for my views:
THIS SECTION of GOOK DRIVE IS NOW A NO EXIT ROAD HAVING BEE
CUT of By HIGHWAY 25. THERE IS LITTLE OF NO REASON TO
CUT of By HIGHWAY 25. THERE IS LITTLE OF NO REASON TO WIDEN THIS SECTION of THE ROAD AS NO THROUGH TRAFFIC AND VERY &
The decision I seek from the Council is that the provision above be:
Retained Deleted Amended as follows:
Proposed District Plan Hearing
I wish to be heard in support of my submission.
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
with a start of the second sec
Signature of submitter Date DateDate
Person making the submission, or authorised to sign on behalf of an organisation making the submission.
Trade Competition
Please note that if you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a ubmission may be limited by Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following:
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that -
a) adversely affects the environment; and

THAMES-COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL Private Bag, 515 Mackay Street, Thames 3540 phone: 07 868 0200 | fax: 07 868 0234 customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz | www.tcdc.govt.nz



From: Lyn Dallison [lynmarieve@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, 19 February 2014 11:02:00 a.m.
To: TCDC General Mail Address
Subject: Submission on Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Name

Lyn Dallison

Address

49 Manaia Rd Tairua 3508 New Zealand Map It

Phone

0210347025

Email

lynmarieve@gmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages in the priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and susainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Lyn Dallison

Date

From: Dan Redfern [pointplacid@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, 19 February 2014 10:52:32 a.m.
To: TCDC General Mail Address
Subject: Submission on Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Name

Dan Redfern

Address

2230 Tiii Rd Coromandel 3506 New Zealand Map It

Phone

018668177

Email

pointplacid@gmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages in the priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and sustainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Daniel Martin Redfern

Date

Introduction

We are interested in your submission on our Proposed District Plan.

There are 2 ways to make a submission as shown on the tabs across the top of the page, which are:

Proposed District Plan
 Supporting Documents.

You can use both to make your submission, or only choose one if you wish.

By clicking on the Proposed District Plan tab, you are able to view the full document, and make a submission on any topic/section by selecting the relevant page.

Selecting the Supporting Documents tab will enable you to upload any documentation to support your submission.

My Consultation Points tab shows a summary of your saved submission points. To edit a point simply click on it and you will return to the document page where you can edit and re-save.

Privacy Statement

Please note that all submissions will be made available to the public for viewing. Information on this form including your name and submission will be accessible to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource Management Act 1991.

Submitter Details First Name: Callum Last Name: Stewart Organisation: Stewart Group Ltd (RMS Surveyors) On behalf of: Flaxmill Bay Hideaway Ltd Street: PO Box 93 Suburb: City:Whangamata Country:New Zealand PostCode: 3643 Daytime Phone: 07 865 8993 Mobile: 021 679 722 eMail: callum@rms-surveyors.co.nz Trade competition and adverse effects: I could not C I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission C I am C I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that : a. adversely affects the environment, and b. does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competitions Correspondence to: G Submitter Agent Both

Submission

Consultation Document Submissions

Thames-Coromandel Proposed District Plan - November 2013 > PLANNING MAPS > Map 18G - Ferry Landing - Inset Map

G Oppose

- Chhose
- Neutral

Which provisions do you like or want to change in the Thames-Coromandel Proposed District plan?

Map 18G. Oppose Re-zoning of 1031 Purangi Road from Coastal Residential to Rural Zone. Suggest property is zoned Coastal Living.

Reason for Decision Requested

We oppose rezoning of this property from Coastal Residential to Rural. The Rural zone is inconsistent with the existing land use and in particular the land use allowed by the existing land use consent RMA2004/82 for 20 chalets, central complex and manager's residence on the property. It is suggested that Coastal Living would be a more appropriate zoning for the property. This would be consistent with the proposed zoning of properties on the adjacent Homestead Lane. We understand that Council's motivation for rezoning of the land between the settlements of Flaxmill Bay and Cooks Beach is to provide separation between the settlements on the data of Shakespeare's Cliff from inappropriate development. 1031 Purangi Road is on the flat land west of the headland and is topographically similar to the property is also west of the existing stream that defines the eastern end of Flaxmill Bay and norvides a natural line of separation between the headland and the bay. Based on the existing topography, and land use it is suggested that it would be most appropriate to include the property in the Coastal Living Zone.

Attached Documents

File No records to display.

Introduction

We are interested in your submission on our Proposed District Plan.

There are 2 ways to make a submission as shown on the tabs across the top of the page, which are:

Proposed District Plan
 Supporting Documents.

You can use both to make your submission, or only choose one if you wish.

By clicking on the Proposed District Plan tab, you are able to view the full document, and make a submission on any topic/section by selecting the relevant page.

Selecting the Supporting Documents tab will enable you to upload any documentation to support your submission.

My Consultation Points tab shows a summary of your saved submission points. To edit a point simply click on it and you will return to the document page where you can edit and re-save.

Privacy Statement

Please note that all submissions will be made available to the public for viewing. Information on this form including your name and submission will be accessible to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource Management Act 1991.

Submitter Details First Name: David Last Name: Saunders Street:49 Melanesia Road Suburb:Kohimarama City: Auckland Country: New Zealand PostCode: 1071 Daytime Phone: 027 7284158 Mobile: 027 7284158 eMail: shadave@gmail.com Trade competition and adverse effects: C I could I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission C I am G Lam not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that : a. adversely affects the environment, and b. does not relate to the trade competition or the effects of trade competitions. Correspondence to: G Submitter Agent 🖉 Both Submission

Attached Documents

File

District plan submission

DISTRICT PLAN SUBMISSION

Referring to Section 28.1.1 and 28.1.1 of the Proposed District Plan

Historically all residents on the Northern Boundary of the Pauanui Airfield have had to comply with a 1:5 height restriction, measured from the Airfield side Boundary ie boundary fence, and extending back 45m. This was for the dual purpose of protecting the Airfield for future night operations and, more importantly, to ensure existing property amenity levels.

Due to Council errors or oversights on 3 occasions in Ocean Air Drive, this rule has been overlooked causing already 3 infringments to the "Existing Amenity Levels," which has had a negative effect on all of us residents adjoining the Airfied to the North.

Therefore Due to:

1. The potential development of the 3 remaining properties on Ocean Air Drive 21, 27, 29 to build two storey /8m high houses, only 12m from the Airfield Boundary.

and

2. Existing home owners to lift their homes to two stories

The District Plan should retain the current 1:5 height rule for 45m to truly protect the "Existing Amenity Levels," currently enjoyed by the existing ratepayers, who have built with a reasonable expectation, that this rule would remain enforced.

This also effects the properties on the Northern side of Braddock Drive which have already been built two stories ,this being high enough to enjoy ocean views down the runway. Their views would be adversely affected as well as their rateable value by a change in the height restriction. Allowing 2 story (8m) houses in the runway properties.

Name

Martin Lawrence Smith

Address

462 Kuaotunu Wharekaho Road RD2 Whitianga 462 Kuaotunu Wharekaho Road RD2 Whitianga 3592 New Zealand Map It

Phone

07 866 4797

Email

colemansmith@xtra.co.nz

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages 50 mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and susainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

My further comments:

Currently existing legislation will not adequately provide for Tailing Dam design, capable of remaining structurally stable for the life of that Tailings Dam e.g 100 years plus. When the mining company goes into liquidation or winds itself up, this leaves the cost of maintaining that dam to the Ratepayer and Government.

Mine water flowing from a mine on our land has been tested and bearing in mind that this major mine ceased working over 100 years ago, still is toxic in Arsenic & Cadmium of levels to render it dangerous for humans to drink! These levels are Arsenic = 0.12 mg/l and Cadmium = 0.10 mg/l

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Martin Lawrence Smith

Date

Name

Tomoko Bruce

Address

33 Te Ana Lane, RD2, Thames 33 Te Ana Lane 3577 New Zealand Map It

Phone

868-9564

Email

frasertomoko@gmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages being priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and susainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

My further comments:

we have to protect our nature for us and future generation. It is so easy to chase money and destroy our precious PRICELESS nature. It is obvious we should protect our natural environment which makes this area popular tourists destination that leads our economy.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Tomoko Bruce

Date

Name

Brian Stewart

Address

440 Whitford Rd, RD1, Howick Auckland 1750 New Zealand Map It

Phone

09 5308029

Email

brian@craftydragon.co.nz

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages for the subdivision priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and susainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Brian Stewart

Date

Name

Catherine Cawley

Address

P O Box 27 Tairua 3544 49 main road Tairua 3544 New Zealand Map It

Phone

07 864 7099

Email

cathy.cawleyfamily@gmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages 50 mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and sustainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Mrs Catherine Cawley

Date

Name

Vera van der Voorden

Address

209 Ohautira Road Te Uku RD1 Raglan 3295 New Zealand Map It

Email

vera.raglan@xtra.co.nz

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan a Ragestantable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge1 this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

My further comments:

In order for humans to survive, the planet needs to have healthy ecological diversity. Take the lead, save what we have, not destroy it. Increase rather than decrease protective measures.

I would like to speak to my submission.

No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Vera van der Voorden

Date

Name

Malibu Hamilton

Address

P.O. Box 2 Whaingaroa Raglan 54 Upper Wainui Road 3265 New Zealand <u>Map It</u>

Email

cleanearth@clear.net.nz

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan a Ragestaß able and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

My further comments:

I have a whanau members living in the Kauaeranga valley with several moko that I visit often. I also surf on the Coromandel coast along with many other surfers and their familes and have visited and traveresed several parts of the Peninsula over the many years . Additionally many of my friends in Whaingaroa Raglan go and stay with friends and at the Doc campsites nearly every year. The reason we come and visit the district is because of the clean and green environment and ability to swim in clean rivers where you can see the bottom of the water and rocks. Also the knowledge that the area is a regenerating landscape from past mining bad practices. I consider that people and whanau like us have added economic and cultural value to the district.

Having lived in the Waikato for many years, I am very familiar with the adverse negative impacts of mining in the Huntly region alongwith having whanau that have loved in Waikino, I am also aware of the mining impacts up that rural valley and in Waihi I urge TCDC to not allow that kind of negative adverse impacts of mining in any form in the distict and instead set in place provsions that have postive impact. Whanau like us, tourists, residents and ratepayers need better outcomes from this second generation plan, not a backward step.

I personally oppose all the provisions that could result in any mining activity in the district.

I would like to speak to my submission.

Yes

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Malibu Hamilton

Date

Name

Alana Winn Winn

Address

126 Mt Albert Road Auckland 1010 New Zealand Map It

Phone

021 482648

Email

winnalana91@gmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages for priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and susainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Alana Winn

Date

Name

Agnes Lambert

Address

6B Wairiki Road Mt Eden 1024 New Zealand Map It

Phone

0210723747

Email

alambert@greenpeace.org

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages for mineral resources priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and sustainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

My further comments:

My family has had a small modest kiwi bach in the Coromandel for generations and its a place filled with good memories and serenity for us. We have done a lot of healing there, and created some life long memories. The Coromandel is not just special to me for personal reasons, it is home to such an array of wildlife. Regularly we see Dolphins and Whales in the bay or along the coast, beautiful marine birds and fish galore.

This special place is way too precious to mine and to even consider the risks that are involved is absurd. Tourists are blown away by its beauty and I know for a fact, would think very lowly of any decision by our government to open this area up to mining. The pureness of it would be tainted and the risk that it could all be destroyed is far too high. If the government could think strategically and long term about preserving and enhancing the natural beauty of what we have then the economic benefits would be much more sustainable and longer term that any finite resource.

Kiwis unanimously are saying time and time again to back down from these short sighted proposals to mine our beautiful country. What ever happened to democracy and good old fashioned listening skills!!

I would like to speak to my submission.

• Yes

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Agnes Lambert

Date

Name

Krystle Muray

Address

25 Watene Road Mount Wellington 1060 New Zealand Map It

Phone

021622045

Email

krystle.murray@hotmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages for the priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and sustainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Krystle Murray

Date



Form 5 Clause 6 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

Your submission can be:

Online:	www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr
	Using our online submissions form
Posted to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council
	Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan
	Private Bag, Thames 3540
	Attention: District Plan Manager
Email to:	customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz
Delivered to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council, 515 Mackay Street, Thames
	Attention: District Plan Manager (or to the Area Offices in Coromandel, Whangamata or Whitianga)

Submitter Details	
Full Name(s) (AMERON DWEN	HUNTER
or Organisation (if relevant) HARAFAUNCA M	TARAE TRUSTEES
Email Address Camandmargaretox	Kra.co.nz
Postal Address 12226 KENNEDY BA	Y Road, R.O.3
COROMANDER 3	583
Phone no. include area code 07 8668250	Mobile no.

Submissions must be received no later than 5 pm Friday 14 March 2014

If you need more writing space, just attach additional pages to this form.

PRIVACY ACT 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be accessible to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource Management AQ 1991. Your contact details will only be used for the purpose of the Proposed District Plan process. The information will be held by the Thames-Coromandel District Council. You have the right to access the information and request its correction. RECEIVED

2 0 FEB 2014

Page 171

www.tcdc.govt.nz/tpr V01201211 District Plan Submission Form 5 Thames-Coromandel District Council

ECM No:



Page 1 of 2

*	Subr
Your Submission	and the second
The specific provisions of the Proposed District Plan that my submission relates to are: (please specify the Objective, Policy, Rule, Map or other reference your submission relates to)	
* PART 1. SELINITION of SUSTAINABLE USE . LND * PART 6. OVERLAY SECT 29. BIO-DIJERSITY	GENOUS UKS
A PART 6 OVERLAY SECT 29 SID-DIJERSITY INCLUSIVE	RULES 1-4
* ALL PROPOSALS RELATING TO REMOVAL of MANUKA -	KANUKA
<i>My submission is:</i> (clearly state whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE specific parts of the Proposed District Plan or wish to have a reasons for your view)	
I support oppose the above plan provision.	
Reasons for my views:	
SPECIFIED IN DOCUMENT ATTACHED	
The decision I seek from the Council is that the provision above be:	
Retained Deleted Amended as follows:	
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing Signature of submitter Difference Date	g. XY IN KB 2014
Trade Competition	
lease note that if you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, y Ibmission may be limited by Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.	our right to make a
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.	UN
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the	
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that –	following:
a) adversely affects the environment; and	following:
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	following:
	following:
you require further information about the Proposed District Plan please visit the Council website w	
	<i>□ N</i>
you require further information about the Proposed District Plan please visit the Council website wa IAMES-COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL ivate Bag, 515 Mackay Street, Thames 3540	<i>□ N</i>

customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz | www.tcdc.govt.nz

V01-201211 District Plan Submission Form 5

Objection to T.C.D.C. Proposed District Plan.

February 14th. 2014.

Introduction.

This submission is tendered by Trustees of Harataunga Marae, Kennedy Bay.

This Trust is an elected statutory entity, registered with the Maori Land Court and is charged with administering the Maori reservation, commonly referred to as Harataunga Marae, in the best interests of its beneficiaries. Beneficiaries include those who descend from Ngati Porou and other residents of Harataunga [Kennedy Bay].

Nature of Objection.

The Harataunga Marae Trust strenuously objects to proposals by TCDC to require a Resource Consent, an accompanying ecological assessment and whatever other constraints or impediments might apply to the cutting of Manuka / Kanuka for community use.

Grounds for Objection.

1. Customary precedent is being threatened by such District Plan revision.

It has long been customary indigenous practice to use this tree as firewood, particularly for cooking, the boiling of water for cleaning and the heating of stones for hangi.

Tangihana,[funeral protocol] arguably the most important aspect of Maori tikanga, [cultural procedure] demands the cutting of firewood at short notice and at any time.

Since New Zealand has recently signed up to the U.N. Convention on Indigenous Rights, the principles contained herein are inevitably contravened.

The requirement for Maori to seek permission to conduct an activity which is clearly their birth right, is unfair, autocratic and absurd.

2. Treaty of Waitangi covenants specify that Maori retain undisturbed possession of their lands, forests, fisheries and estates, so long as they wish to.

Infringement of these rights by Government agencies [or by their various subordinate agencies] would inevitably lead to compensatory claims under the Treaty process.

By far the bulk of land within the Harataunga catchment is owned by Maori.

The imposition of a Resource Consent process, the accompanying delays, associated costs and its inherent bureaucratic impediments, would undoubtedly constitute a "disturbance".

3. In 1215 a group of English land and estate owners presented a petition to their then King. It was dubbed "Magna Carta".

Its ratification curtailed the Crown from making autocratic incursions and imposing unjustified controls on the lands and estates of free men.

This article now forms the basis of British property law [and presumably, also our own]. It is beginning to seem as though such constitutional safeguards are being circumvented and that landowners interests are being subverted by the greater weight given to the submissions of environmental zealots, many of whom are big city based? **4.** The presumption that the State owns the resource [in this case the tree] and that the landowner must apply and pay for consent to use it, is due for rebuttal.

Resource Consent legislation has already spawned lucrative consultancies, ecological specialists, environmental litigation experts and the like.

When coupled with the administrative costs incurred by Environment Waikato and TCDC in executing and policing this legislation, it has become almost a State guaranteed, stand alone industry.

Extending this process to include trees growing on private land and depositing such impositions on landowners, is a step too far.

5. Whilst the maintenance of bio-diversity on the part of TCDC is a laudable objective, Manuka/Kanuka is hardly a tree under threat of extinction.

It is a hardy and resilient species which is often the first native to re-establish when previously cleared farmland is left idle.

It probably comprises up to 50% of indigenous forest species on the Eastern Coromandel. If harvest of this species under previous District Plan provisions has compromised biodiversity, TCDC needs to release the supportive scientific evidence.

6. The consultative process, through which Maori and indeed other landowners are informed, and to which they are entitled, appears not to have been observed in this instance.Our Trust Secretary received a computer disc containing the Proposed District Plan on 7 Feb. Since 13.12. 2013 it has become mandatory to obtain a Resource Consent prior to felling Manuka / Kanuka, so we have effectively been presented with a fait acompli.I hope TCDC does not construe the subsequent mailing of a computer disc to constitute adequate consultation?

7. The suggestion that consent applications to fell Manuka/Kanuka would almost invariably receive approval, [Peninsular Post article] begs the question, why then is such a process necessary in the first place?

It is difficult to perceive the imposition of this process, as other than a thinly veiled additional tax on landowners and a convenient source of revenue for TCDC.

Conclusion.

Proposals by TCDC to restrict, control, tax or in any other way usurp the current rights of landowners to cut firewood on their properties, carries implacable and unanimous opposition from Harataunga Marae Trustees.

1. D. Hunto

C.O.Hunter. Secretary. Harataunga Marae Trust.

Name

John Cargill

Address

33 Trotter Ave Waiomu RD5 Thames 3575 New Zealand Map It

Phone

0274765220

Email

jcargill@thamesmed.co.nz

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this ages in forming priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan and susainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

My further comments:

As a ratepayer and voter I would be very unlikely to re elect any members of the council who support any extension to mining.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

John McDonald Cargill

Date



				Sector Sector
Form E Clause 6	of the First Schedule	to the Resource	Management 4	ct 1001
Torne y clause o	I the rust schedule	to the nesource	munugement	101 1991

Your submission can be:

Online:	www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr
	Using our online submissions form
Posted to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council
	Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan
	Private Bag, Thames 3540
	Attention: District Plan Manager
Email to:	customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz
Delivered to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council, 515 Mackay Street, Thames
	Attention: District Plan Manager (or to the Area Offices in Coromandel, Whangamata or Whitianga)

Submitte	r Details
Full Name(s)	(if relevant)
Email Address Postal Address	Beachhouse Chaheicoromandel. co. n2 3 Michelle Lane, RDI, Whitianga 3591
Phone no. include area code	() - Mobile no. 0210454546

Submissions must be received no later than 5 pm Friday 14 March 2014

If you need more writing space, just attach additional pages to this form.

PRIVACY ACT 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be accessible to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource Management Act 1991. Your contact details will only be used for the purpose of the Proposed District Plan process. The information will be held by the Thames-Coromandel District Council. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.



Page 1 of 2

1

Your Submission
The specific provisions of the Proposed District Plan that my submission relates to are: (please specify the Objective, Policy, Rule, Map or other reference your submission relates to) Notable Trees - a fist of the protected trees in the District Plan, in Volumes 3+4 of the District Plan Notable Trees are also fisted in the Heritage Register in the District Plan
My submission is: (clearly state whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE specific parts of the Proposed District Plan or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons for your view) I support oppose the above plan provision.
Reasons for my views: In CREASing the trees listed as a Notable Tree by including the ancient pohutakawa - see attached photos + aerial plan sholving location of the tree. The decision I seek from the Council is that the provision above be:
Retained Deleted Amended as follows:
The ancient pohutakawa tree in Tutaritari Reserve be included in the list of protected trees, & listed in the Heritage Register
Proposed District Plan Hearing
I wish to be heard in support of my submission. \Box Y \Box N
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. Signature of submitter Person making the submission, or authorised to sign on behalf of an organisation making the submission.
Trade Competition
Please note that if you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. $\Box Y = N$
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following: <i>I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that</i> – a) adversely affects the environment; and

If you require further information about the Proposed District Plan please visit the Council website www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

THAMES-COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL Private Bag, 515 Mackay Street, Thames 3540 phone: 07 868 0200 | fax: 07 868 0234 customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz | www.tcdc.govt.nz N

Y

V01-201211 District Plan Submission Form 5 Page 178







This ancient tree is affectionately known as "Mr Whiskers" in the Hahei Village, and is one of the few trees of this size remaining.





Form 5 Clause 6 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

Your submission can be:

Online:	www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr			
	Using our online submissions form			
Posted to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council			
	Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan			
	Private Bag, Thames 3540			
	Attention: District Plan Manager			
Email to:	customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz			
Delivered to:	Thames-Coromandel District Council, 515 Mackay Street, Thames			
	Attention: District Plan Manager (or to the Area Offices in Coromandel, Whangamata or Whitianga)			

Submitter Details

Full Name(s)	Five seas Ltb	- Debbie Clauk
or Organisation (if relevant)	
Email Address	dolarkador	connetinz
Postal Address	dolarkador 119 Kauri	Ro
	Whenuapa	i ALU 0618
Phone no. include area code)	Mobile no. 0274947562

Submissions must be received no later than 5 pm Friday 14 March 2014

TO

If you need more writing space, just attach additional pages to this form.

PRIVACY ACT 1993

Page 1 of 2

PRIVACY ACT 1993	RECEIVED
Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and su	
of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource used for the purpose of the Proposed District Plan process. The information will be held by the Thames-Cou	Management Act 1991 Your contact details will only be
used for the purpose of the Proposed District Plan process. The information will be held by the Thames-Co	omandel District Council. You have the right to access the
information and request its correction.	



Thames-Coromandel District Counci	
ECM No:	

201211 District Plan Sub

www.tede.govt.nz/dpr V0F201211 D

Your Submission
The specific provisions of the Proposed District Plan that my submission relates to are: (please specify the Objective, Policy, Rule, Map or other reference your submission relates to) State Hyphway 25 Designation
NZTAY Thourston Boy.
My submission is: (clearly state whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE specific parts of the Proposed District Plan or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons for your view) I support oppose the above plan provision. Reasons for my views:
This is a hausen 50km area, why and the widest section of road on coast. Wipening will go through my have and devalue property in plan left in The decision I seek from the Council is that the provision above be: Retained Deleted Amended as follows: acted an Remove
Proposed District Plan Hearing
I wish to be heard in support of my submission. Y N If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. Y N Signature of submitter
Trade Competition
Please note that if you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
 I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. Y Y If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission please complete the following: I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that - a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. Y N
If you require further information about the Proposed District Plan please visit the Council website www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr

THAMES-COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL Private Bag, 515 Mackay Street, Thames 3540 phone: 07 868 0200 | fax: 07 868 0234 customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz | www.tcdc.govt.nz

CORO

DEL

Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Name

Daniela Reschke

Address

Wildenbruchstraße 85 Berlin 12045 Germany Map It

Email

dani-r@gmx.de

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan a Ragestaßable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledged this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Daniela Reschke

Date

20/02/2014

THAMES-COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL

2 0 FEB 2014 RECEIVED BY:

.....

Ray Russek 1036A Thames Coast Road Thames 3575

Thames Coromandel District Council 515 Mackay St, Thames 3500

Attention: District Plan Manager

19. February 2014

Dear Sir or Madam,

My name is Ray Russek, aged 75 years. Please accept my submission on the matter of the firewood issue. I have lived on my property all my life. My father, I, my sons, and grandsons have cut firewood every year since 1913. Dad helped cut firewood with axes from the coast to the property that I now own three miles back. It has been a constant battle to stop Manuka from covering the grass areas. Some places have been cleared half a dozen times. Now that consents are required and fire restrictions and other rules are in place it is no longer economically viable to carry out this work. There are places that I cut wood 25 years ago that are now covered in Manuka up to 30 cm in diameter. Most places on the Coromandel you only have to turn your back and it has reverted.

Referring to information by Leigh Robcke (Hauraki Herald, Feb. 14), I would ask the question who decided that there should be newly reviewed biodiversity rules and why they are considered necessary. If landowners are already harvesting wood, I understand this will still be permitted if they have a consent already. If they don't hold a consent, you will find that they will still cut wood, as in the past. Most people carry out this activity in a responsible manner. There is no justification to place obstacles in their way, as outlined by Leigh Robcke. I urge council to reconsider this matter and leave the rules as they were in the previous plan. There are not many people who have consents for this work and there will be even less if they have to pay \$ 1100 plus ecologist's costs to get one.

Yours sincerely

R. P. Russel

Ray Russek

Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Name

ashwani sharma

Address

onehunga inkerman st 1068 New Zealand Map It

Email

leogold12@yahoo.co.in

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan a Ragestaß bable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge2 this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Ashwani -sharma

Date

20/02/2014

From: Robin Reid [robinreid@farmside.co.nz] Sent: Thursday, 20 February 2014 4:18:32 p.m. To: TCDC General Mail Address Subject: TCDC proposed district plan change. Re.Plan change for properties that sleep more than six people. Dear Sir/Madam. Your proposed plan change to have properties that sleep more than six people become a registered entity is absolutely appalling. It is nothing more than flogging those poor sods who are absentee owners.I am one of those and 1 am absolutely disgusted. I don't usually complain , because people like me feel we need to make a contribution to the well being of the community in which we live. I have a place in Whangamata and 1 also provide rental accommodation to people who work and live there. Over the years 1 have contributed to refuse collection ,water reticulation, transfer station, infill costs and others.All this even when 1 don't reside there. We holiday for a few weeks of the year. As you can see we use little of the services. Why continue to 'hammer' the provider? I know the history of TCDC. In early times little was spent. Then came holiday makers. Then TCDC cried fowl. They pushed for Gov't subsidies and won at the expense of there neighbouring Authorities.Now the people from those Authorities are being asked to pay more than there fair share. I ask that this be included as a submission .I also wish to speak at the hearings. Needless to say 1 will watch the passage of this draft. Knowing also that 1 am protected by being allowed to go to 'appeal'. Yours faithfully Robin Reid Ph 07 8846643. Address 1627 Te Aroha -Gordon Rd. RD 1 Te Aroha .

Sent from my iPad

Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

Name

Kate Ellmers

Address

8 Tohora Crescent Raglan 3225 New Zealand Map It

Email

Ellmerskate@gmail.com

My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

• I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.

• The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).

• I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

• The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

• I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.

• I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

• Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.

• I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.

• I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

• I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.

• I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.

• I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.

• Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

• The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plan a Ragestaß able and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge4 this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

I would like to speak to my submission.

• No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

• Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Full name