10th March 2014

Dear Mayor Leach and TCDC Councilors,

## RE: Letter in support of my Submission on the TCDC Proposed District Plan

My name is Lsa Searle and I own a holiday home in Payan 41

I **oppose** the various provisions for Visitor Accommodation throughout the Proposed Thames Coromandel District Plan ("Proposed Plan") as they relate to renting out of private dwellings/holiday homes.

There is no proven evidence that the consumption of local resources and the amenity effects on neighbours are any different with holiday rental holiday homes compared to properties used by their owner/family/friends.

The proposed changes will affect existing holiday home owners, as well as those that aspire to holiday home ownership in the Coromandel. In particular I believe the rules:

- Will decrease the income I receive from my holiday home income I use to offset expenses such as rates and maintenance.
- Could reduce the value of my property as holiday home ownership becomes less desirable in the Coromandel due to the limitations imposed on holiday rental.
- Will mean less choice for tourists wishing to stay in the Coromandel, resulting in fewer visitors to the region, impacting on Coromandel businesses as result.
- Will not change the amenity effects arising from holiday home usage on the Coromandel

I seek the following decision from the Thames Coromandel District Council:

## **As Principal Relief**

(i) Amend the definition of "Visitor Accommodation" in the Proposed Plan, such that the rental of holiday homes is specifically excluded from the definition.

## Or, in the alternative, if the principal relief in (i) above is not accepted

(ii) Amend all references to the permitted activity conditions for *Visitor Accommodation* in the various zones throughout the Proposed Plan relating to "6 tariff-paid customers on-site at any one time" instead amending this to "12 tariff-paid customers on-site at any one time", and delete any condition requiring the activity to be undertaken within an existing dwelling, minor unit or accessory building.

## And, in relation to both (i) and (ii) above

(iii) Any consequential amendments necessary as a result of the amendments to grant the relief sought above.

I look forward to your response.

Aule

Yours faithfully,

## **Proposed Thames-Coromandel**

# **District Plan**





Form 5 Clause 6 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

## Your submission can be:

Online:

www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr

Using our online submissions form

Posted to:

Thames-Coromandel District Council

**Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan** 

Private Bag, Thames 3540
Attention: District Plan Manager

Email to:

customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz

Delivered to:

Thames-Coromandel District Council, 515 Mackay Street, Thames

Attention: District Plan Manager (or to the Area Offices in Coromandel, Whangamata or Whitianga)

## **Submitter Details**

Full Name(s)	Dr. Lisa Michelle Searle	
or Organisation	(if relevant)	
	169_adrian@hotmail.com 352b Wohimavama Ro	1; Kohimovama, Andload
Phone no. include area code	528 4374	Mobile no. 02/56 432/

## Submissions must be received no later than 5 pm Friday 14 March 2014

If you need more writing space, just attach additional pages to this form.

## PRIVACY ACT 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be accessible to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Council is required to make this information available under the Resource Management Act 1991. Your contact details will only be used for the purpose of the Proposed District Plan process. The information will be held by the Thames-Coromandel District Council. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.



Your Submission	PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF
The specific provisions of the Proposed District Plan that my submission relates t	
(please specify the Objective, Policy, Rule, Map or other reference your submission relates t	
The specific provisions to which our submission relates, as laid out in submission.	the letter attached to this
submission.	
My submission is: (clearly state whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE specific parts of the Proposed District Plan reasons for your view)	or wish to have amendments made, giving
I support oppose the above plan provision.  Reasons for my views:	
Please refer to the accompanying letter which forms part of this submis	ssion.
The decision I seek from the Council is that the provision above be:  Retained Deleted Amended as follows:  Please refer to the accompanying letter which forms part of this submissions.	ssion.
Proposed District Plan Hearing	
I wish to be heard in support of my submission.	
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with t	them at a hearing. $\square Y \square N$
Signature of submitter Double Do	ate 10.3 114
Signature of submitter	ate 10 3 7
Person making the submission, or authorised to sign on behalf of an organisation making the submiss	sion.
Trade Competition	
Please note that if you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through Submission may be limited by Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.	the submission, your right to make a
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.	□ <i>y</i>
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission plea	ase complete the following:
I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that –	
a) adversely affects the environment; and	
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	X Y N

 $If you \ require \ further \ information \ about \ the \ Proposed \ District \ Plan \ please \ visit \ the \ Council \ website \ {\bf www.tcdc.govt.nz/dpr}$ 

THAMES-COROMANDEL DISTRICT COUNCIL
Private Bag, 515 Mackay Street, Thames 3540
phone: 07 868 0200 | fax: 07 868 0234
customer.services@tcdc.govt.nz | www.tcdc.govt.nz



From: Rachel Ives [annacrusisnz@gmail.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, 11 March 2014 23:36:43

To: TCDC General Mail Address

Subject: Submission on Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

## **Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan**

#### Name

Rachel Ives

#### **Address**

17A Dickson Rd, Papamoa Papamoa Beach, BOP 3118 New Zealand Map It

Phone

027 338 6052

#### **Email**

annacrusisnz@gmail.com

## My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

- I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.
- The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).
- I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.
- The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.
- I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.
- I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

- Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.
- I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.
- I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

- I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.
- I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.
- I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.
- Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section Pag. 12 19 s gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

Submission 305

- The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Part and signal able and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.
- There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

## My further comments:

I was raised in Colville and if I could have articulated all these points better in my own words, I would've. This submission PERFECTLY represents my thoughts and beliefs and I am diametrically opposed to ANY mining activities on this unique and vital Peninsula.

## I would like to speak to my submission.

No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

Yours sincerely,

Rachel Ives

**Date** 

From: Sarah Wallingford [Sassyacorn@hotmail.com]

**Sent:** Wednesday, 12 March 2014 00:07:33

To: TCDC General Mail Address

Subject: Submission on Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

## **Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan**

Name

Sarah Wallingford

**Address** 

1631 Port Charles rd, Sandy bay Coromandel 3584 New Zealand Map It

Email

Sassyacorn@hotmail.com

## My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

Submission 306

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

- I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.
- The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).
- I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.
- The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.
- I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.
- I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

- Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.
- I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.
- I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

- I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.
- I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.
- I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.
- Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section 14.2.2 this gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.
- The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the plans and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.

• There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledged this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

## I would like to speak to my submission.

No

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

No

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

## Yours sincerely,

Sarah Rose Wallingford

Date

From: Heidi Tirikatene-Nash [heiditirikatenenash@yahoo.com]

Sent: Wednesday, 12 March 2014 00:21:52

To: TCDC General Mail Address

Subject: Submission on Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

## **Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan**

## Name

Heidi Tirikatene-Nash

#### **Address**

230 Mina road Cheviot 7832 New Zealand Map It

#### **Phone**

021457276

#### **Email**

heiditirikatenenash@yahoo.com

### My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

- I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.
- The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).
- I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.
- The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.
- I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.
- I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

- Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.
- I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.
- I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

- I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.
- I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.
- I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.
- Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section Pag. 12 (%) gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

Submission 307

- The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Paramos in and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.
- There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

## My further comments:

The coromandle is too special to even leave open opportunities for it to be potentially polluted by mining

### I would like to speak to my submission.

Yes

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

## Yours sincerely,

Heidi Tirikatene-Nash

## Date

From: Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash [nukuroa@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, 12 March 2014 00:24:13

To: TCDC General Mail Address

Subject: Submission on Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan

## **Proposed Thames-Coromandel District Plan**

#### Name

Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash

#### **Address**

230 Mina Road Cheviot 7382 New Zealand Map It

#### **Phone**

033198755

### **Email**

nukuroa@gmail.com

### My submission is:

Given the outstanding landscapes and ecology of the Coromandel Peninsula and for the benefit of communities and future generations, we need much stronger planning regulations to protect our environment from Mining Activities. The PDP does not articulate the special Qualities, Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel Peninsula, therefore:

I oppose any part of the Proposed District Plan (PDP) which allows Mining Activities, including underground mining, in the District, especially in CONSERVATION, COASTAL, RURAL and RESIDENTIAL ZONES.

- I require the PDP to uphold biodiversity values expressed in the RMA Section 6. I require the Plan to Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in the Section 32 Rules.
- The Objectives and Policies in Section 14 do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS), the Resource Management Act (RMA) and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act (HGMPA).
- I require the Plan to specifically protect our coastal environment from mining. The Coastal Zone has been removed without giving adequate protection to coastal biodiversity from adverse impacts of mining. I require the Coastal Environment Overlay to include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.
- The TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' (ONL). I require the Plan to accurately protect Schedule 4 land on the Coromandel Peninsula from all Mining Activities by including all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.
- I am concerned that Newmont's Mining Activity in Waihi, including broken promises and mining expansion under people's homes without their consent, is a threat to our small coastal communities. I want the Plan to Prohibit Mining Activities under people's homes.
- I need to be confident that the TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the PDP.

I oppose Section 37 - Mining Activities.

- Section 37.4 Note 1 fails to provide any rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone.
- I want the TCDC to amend Section 37.4 Table 1 of the PDP to state that all Mining Activities are Prohibited in all Zones, including prospecting and exploration, or other such relief that has the same effect.
- I support Quarrying activities to be separated from Mining Activities to avoid confusion.

I oppose Section 14 - Mining Activities.

- I want the language of in Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) to clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. We must acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern Mining Industry on small communities.
- I want the TCDC to remove the sentence: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." (p73), and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the Mining Activities of today.
- I want the Plan to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects.
- Of particular concern to me is the statement "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land." (p73) Along with Section Pag. 12 % gives mining priority over other forms of development. I oppose Mining Activities having such a priority. I completely disagree with the intention of Section 14.2.2 and require this to be removed as it is unrepresentative of community values.

Submission 308

- The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed, has not been fully translated into the Plantanio sustainable and development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. I support the council to change the wording in the PDP to uphold these values expressed by Coromandel communities.
- There is no acknowledgment of the fact that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, TCDC must acknowledge this, and that the 40 year history of the 'No Mining' campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

In summary: I require the plan to be amended so that all mining activities are prohibitied in all zones and overlays, or other such relief that has the same effect, and the language amended in Section 14 to accurately represent the history of mining and the opposition to it.

The special nature of the Coromandel warrants robust protection especially as there is so much economic revenue and employment dependent on our reputation as a clean green holiday destination. It is vital we do not allow mining into the Peninsula, as this is contrary to the existing Natural Character of the Thames-Coromandel District.

## I would like to speak to my submission.

Yes

I would consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.

Yes

I would like to thank the Council for this opportunity to submit on the PDP.

### Yours sincerely,

Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash

Date