

**Submission Summary: of Submissions by Plan Section**

**Category Name: Thames-Coromandel Proposed District Plan - November 2013**

**PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1179	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ngati Huarere ki Whangapoua Trust
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345983</a> ; <a href="#">3349397</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1179.1(Part II - Overlay issues, objectives and policies)	<b>Category</b>	PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes overlays on private land without landowner permission.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Only include overlays on private land where the land owner has given consent.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1199	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michael Gunson
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Surfbreak Protection Society		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338380</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1199.33(Part II Overlays Whangamata Surfbreak)	<b>Category</b>	PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks inclusion of amended overlay maps representing the Whangamata Surfbreak.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include amended overlay maps representing the Whangamata Surfbreak into Plan.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	431	<b>Submitter:</b>	Joel Haugh - RMS Surveyors
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338556</a> ; <a href="#">3338529</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	431.4(Sections 6-11 Overlay Maps)	<b>Category</b>	PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the extent of some overlays as they are over designated building sites.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the extent of Overlay Maps to exclude designated building sites.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	443	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kerry Watson
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342136</a> ; <a href="#">3382330</a> ; <a href="#">3382332</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	443.1(Plan Structure Section 1.3 )	<b>Category</b>	PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Requests Mining Activities not be subject to zone rules.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Sections 1.3 and 1.6 to clarify that mining activities shall not be subject to zone rules. Clarify that where a mining activity is not mentioned in the overlay rules then it has the zone status in Section 37. Amend Section 1.5 to clarify the intent of the rule in the Activity Table.(refer to original submission for specific wording)		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	568	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ben and Donna Grubb
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	---------------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336591](#); [3336590](#); [3336589](#); [3336588](#); [3336587](#); [3336586](#); [3336584](#); [3349405](#); [333](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.39(New issue and provisions) **Category** PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the measures about pollution and siltation of streams, rivers and harbours, which are well short of providing comprehensive protection.

**Decision Requested:** This issue deserves a well thought Background Statement, identification of the sub-issues and then clear objectives and policies.

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.40(New issue and provisions) **Category** PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose lack of provisions for wilding pines - a highly important district-wide issue.

**Decision Requested:** Add a considered Background Statement about wilding pines, a comprehensive list of sub-issues and clear objectives and policies, leading to properly rules and possibly new and innovative solutions.

---

**Submitter Number:** 811 **Submitter:** Wati Ngamane

**On behalf of:** Ngati Maru Runanga

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341946](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 811.11(PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES) **Category** PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to the Plan to address sites identified in iwi management plans, on the Council Cultural Heritage Inventory or NZAA records and sites that are known to iwi but have not yet been disclosed or where sites are disclosed, accidental discoveries.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan to include an "alert layer" ensuring that all sites not currently included in the Plan are addressed.

---

**Submitter Number:** 817 **Submitter:** Liane Ngamane

**On behalf of:** Ngati Tamatera

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342046](#); [3342045](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.26(Part II - Overlay Issues, Objectives and Policies) **Category** PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Notes that some of the objectives, polices and methods in Part II do not adequately recognise and provide for the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu and other taonga and the role of kaitiaki.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6 to recognise and give effect to the relationship of Ngati Tamatera with biodiversity and enable Ngati Tamatera as kaitiaki and to use biodiversity resources for customary use and cultural activities.

---

**Category Name: PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

**Section 6 - Biodiversity**

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1055	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sue Wright
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Upper Coromandel Branch of Forest and Bird		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344673</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1055.1(Section 6.3 Objectives and policies)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Generally supports the Objectives and Policies.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Place more emphasis on Policy 1a, 1e, 1f and 1g and police Objective 2, Policy 2a and 2b closely.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1197	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ben Castelow
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338589</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1197.2(Sections 6 and 29)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	I require the Proposed Plan to uphold biodiversity values expressed in RMA Section 6.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> The Proposed Plan to uphold biodiversity values expressed in RMA Section 6.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1199	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michael Gunson
--------------------------	------	-------------------	----------------

<b>On behalf of:</b>	Surfbreak Protection Society		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338380</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1199.9(Sections 6, 29)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Concern that the PDP will not adequately protect biodiversity or the nationally threatened species in the district. Reliance on SNA report rather than assessment and mapping of key ecological sites. Unsatisfactory that the Plan is subject to 'ground-truthing' by a suitably qualified ecologist before consent can be granted.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1241	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultant Ltd
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Powerco Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342088</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1241.8(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks vegetation trimming and clearance for maintenance and safe operation of electricity assets as a permitted activity in all areas.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Retain Section 6 Objective 2.2. Delete Section 6 Policy 2b c).3. Add "or trimming" to Section 6 Policy 2a.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	130	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rebecca Beals
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	---------------



**On behalf of:** KiwiRail Holdings Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3329384](#); [3329386](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 130.6(Biodiversity Objective 2) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Biodiversity Objective 2.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Biodiversity Objective 2.

---

**Submission Point No:** 130.7(Amend Biodiversity Policy 2a) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Amend Biodiversity Policy 2a.

**Decision Requested:**

Amend Policy 2a as follows:

*Policy 2a Limited indigenous vegetation clearance shall be enabled where necessary for the safe maintenance and functioning of roads, accessways and **network** utilities.*

---

**Submission Point No:** 130.8(Amend Biodiversity Policy 2b) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Amend Biodiversity Policy 2b.

**Decision Requested:**

Amend Policy 2b as follows:

*Policy 2b*



with other agencies, community groups, iwi and stakeholders such as the Waikato Biodiversity Forum.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Method 2.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.11(Planning Maps - new biodiversity and SNA overlay) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Add biodiversity sites and SNAs on the planning maps to provide a clear indication of where biodiversity is located for planning purposes.

**Decision Requested:** Add biodiversity sites and SNAs to the Overlay Planning Maps.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.2(Section 6.2 - new issues, Issue 3) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Amend issues to include content about species loss, fragmentation of natural areas, ecological corridors, the importance of scrubland and forestry as habitat, and the identification and protection of corridors, buffers and connections.

**Decision Requested:** Add species in 6.2b or provide for their loss with a separate issue. Add an issue which addresses the fragmentation of natural areas and the importance of ecological corridors. Add an issue that reflects the importance of scrubland and forestry blocks as a habitat for threatened species. Expand Issue 3 to include requiring identification and protection of corridors, buffers and connections to improve biodiversity and significant natural areas.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.3(Section 6 - Objective 1) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Objective 1 but remove “at the time of subdivision, use and development”.

**Decision Requested:** Remove “at the time of subdivision, use and development”.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.4(Section 6 - Policy 1a)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Policy 1a - change to emphasize the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity first in relation to subdivision, use and development.

**Decision Requested:** Change this policy to emphasize the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity first in relation to subdivision, use and development. Suggestions include:a) Retain habitat for threatened species when subdividing or developing.b) Provide buffers for significant natural areas when subdividing or developing.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.5(Section 6 - Policy 1b)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Amend Policy 1b to emphasize the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity first in relation to subdivision use and development, through avoiding effects on ecological corridors and enhancing biodiversity values.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1b to emphasize the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity first in relation to subdivision use and development. Suggestions include:a) Avoids affecting ecological corridors buffers and connections.b) Provides for enhancing biodiversity values on the property under subdivision or development. This could include requirements such as restoration, predator and/or weed control.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.6(Section 6 - Policy 1d)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Policy 1d, but it needs to include detail of what “sustainable uses” can take place which still maintain and protect biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1d to include detail of what “sustainable uses” can take place which still maintain and protect biodiversity.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.7(Section 6 - Objective 2 and policies)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** This objective and policies seems to fit in the section related to Infrastructure, not biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Move Objective 2 and policies to the Utilities policy section.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.8(Section 6 - new objective and policies)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Add objectives and policies to protect and maintain SNAs to give effect to the Proposed Regional Policy Statement Policy 11.2.

**Decision Requested:** Include objectives that state how the plan will protect and maintain SNAs.

---

**Submission Point No:** 134.9(Section 6 - Method 1)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Method 1 as it is important for gaining landowner support for biodiversity protection.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Method 1.

---

**Submitter Number:** 169      **Submitter:** Dirk Sieling - Sieling Farms

**On behalf of:** Dirk and Kathy Sieling

**ECM File Numbers:** [3329879](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 169.1(Section 6 - new principle and WRC map reference, Section 29,      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

earthworks rules)

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Amend vegetation clearance and earthworks rules to allow for fencelines, farm tracks and races. Add a guiding principle seeking outcomes through collaboration, incentives and encouragement of voluntary remedies/solutions - not an ecologist unless by mutual consent. Oppose references to WRC planning maps re SNAs.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Earthworks and clearance of vegetation for the purpose of fencelines, farm tracks and races should be included as a permitted activity in Section 29. 2. Section 6 Biodiversity needs to have a guiding principle added that states that outcomes will be sought through collaboration, incentives and encouragement of voluntary remedies/solutions. The use of ecologists should be on the basis that it is a last resort by mutual consent and only apply where major areas of biodiversity are at stake. 3. Remove references to WRC planning maps re SNAs in Sections 6 and 29.

---

**Submitter Number:** 198

**Submitter:** Frank Thornton

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3331687](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 198.1(Section 6 Biodiversity Objective 1, Policy 1e)

**Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Objective 1 and Policy 1e will deter prospective purchasers, devalue property and be an obstacle for future development. Far too complex a subject.

**Decision Requested:** Simplify the Objective and Policy.

---

**Submitter Number:** 245

**Submitter:** Liz Courtney

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3332697](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 245.1(Section 6.3 Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Significant Natural Areas and restrictions on biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 254

**Submitter:** Robert Lilly

**On behalf of:** Wilson Bay Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3332961](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 254.2(Section 6 Biodiversity) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 6 and in particular restrictions on cutting kanuka and manuka.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 271

**Submitter:** Peter and Gillian Hutchison

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333837](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	271.1(Section 6 Biodiversity Overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Remove Overlay from Map 26C to allow for subdivision without consent.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove Overlay from Map 26C to allow for subdivision without consent.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	298	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dean Glen
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334658</a> ; <a href="#">3334659</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	298.9(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.1 Background)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendments to Section 6 that the Waikato Regional Council ecological report has not been ground-truthed; subdivision, use and development does not necessarily contribute to biodiversity loss; to encourage subdivision for biodiversity restoration and enhancement; and to recognise existing and approved landowner activities.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In section 6.1: Paragraph 2, amend 2nd sentence to: "SNAs may contain indigenous..."; and Paragraph 3, Delete third sentence; and Retain paragraph 4; and Paragraph 5, amend to require a professional report only when a proposal requires removal of indigenous vegetation or has obvious ecological effects.		
	Amend Issues 6.2.1 to: "Some subdivision, use and development may contribute to the continuing loss ..."		
	Amend Policy 6.3.1c to read "... Subdivision for restoration or enhancement of indigenous biodiversity shall be <del>considered</del> <u>encouraged</u> in the rural Area ..."; and include "j) <u>Legally protects in perpetuity areas confirmed to be a Significant Natural Area.</u> "		
	Introduce objectives and policies for limited clearance of indigenous vegetation for		



the maintenance of existing private accessways, driveways, utilities and services. Introduce objectives and policies that recognise both existing and approved activities including peoples' right to continue with their existing agricultural activities, collecting firewood, maintaining assets and infrastructure, etc.

---

**Submitter Number:** 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit

**On behalf of:** Environmental Defence Society Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334665](#); [3334666](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 320.4(Section 6 Biodiversity.) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Believes that current Plan biodiversity provisions will not safeguard biodiversity. Concern particularly about the lack of site specific protection of Significant Natural Areas and mechanisms to enable transferable development rights.

**Decision Requested:** Undertake a district-wide, robust assessment of significant ecological sites and map significant ecological sites to remove reliance on the coarser-grained regional analysis and provide more certainty to landowners, resource users and the wider community. Add provisions to update the Significant Natural Area over time as ecosystems are created and restored. Retain recognition of biodiversity as an Issue in Section 6. Amend Issue 2 to refer to all indigenous habitats. Amend Objective 1 as per submission to reflect a longer term approach to the protection, maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity. Add 3 new policies as per submission to set out how no net loss of indigenous biodiversity at a district level will be achieved. Amend Policy 1a to: "Subdivision, use and development shall avoid, remedy and mitigate adverse effects by:"; in g) replace "consider" with "require". In Policy 1b b) add "locally, regionally, or" after "risk to"; in Policy 1b c) add "and extent" after "function". Amend Policy 1c to provide for transferable development rights and net biodiversity gain as per submission. Amend Policy 1d as per submission to allow for sustainable use provided no net effects result. Policy 1e is supported. Add additional policy giving effect to New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement Policy 11 b). Amend Objective 2 as per submission to require infrastructure work to 'avoid, remedy and mitigate' rather than 'minimise' removal of indigenous vegetation. Amend Policies 2a and 2b as per submission to safeguard biodiversity values while providing for roads, accessways and utilities. In relation to other biodiversity, retain policy 2b with a reference to the no net loss principles set out in new Policy 3 requested. Amend

Method 2 to link it to Objective 1 and associated methods. Add further methods e.g. rates relief, pest control, Council taking the lead on its land.

---

**Submitter Number:** 358 **Submitter:** Chris Carlsson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334389](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 358.1(Section 6 - Biodiversity) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 6 - Biodiversity and objects to the whole plan

**Decision Requested:** Not stated in submission

---

**Submitter Number:** 365 **Submitter:** Chris & Lyndsay Ison

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335909](#) ; [3335910](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 365.12(Section 6.3 Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The Plan does not include provisions that will safeguard biodiversity in the District.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	390	<b>Submitter:</b>	Steve & Rosemary Garland
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336782</a> ; <a href="#">3336783</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	390.2(Section 6 - significant natural areas designations)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the imposition of unreasonable restrictions on our usage of our land according to S85 of the RMA. SNA is claimed over our driveway, vegetable garden, lawn areas, orchards, paddocks and tiered landscaped gardens.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	390.3(Section 6)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose no account taken of biodiversity gain and loss, no ground analysis or evidence supporting the overlays, and effect on property values and resale.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	391	<b>Submitter:</b>	Simon Powell
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337302</a>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	391.1(Section 6)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support acknowledgement of the natural environment.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	405	<b>Submitter:</b>	Joyce Birdsall
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337657</a> ; <a href="#">3337658</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.1(Section 6.1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 6.1 note that the unique and special biodiversity values of the Coromandel cannot be overemphasised.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.2(Section 6.2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 6.2 Issues.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.3(Section 6 - Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
-----------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The objective that biodiversity is maintained, restored and enhanced is supported. However, this should not be only in relation to subdivision.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1 to remove the qualification that Indigenous biodiversity is maintained, restored or enhanced ~~at the time of subdivision, use and development.~~

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.4(Section 6 - Policy 1a)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 6.3 Policy 1a but notes that the emphasis seems to be on subdivision use and development, rather than the maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1a to read: "Subdivision use and development shall only be occur where biodiversity can be safeguarded. Subdivision shall: ...e) Avoid the introduction of pest species and introduced predators into habitats ~~where nationally threatened and at risk fauna and flora live; and ..."~~

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.5(Section 6 - Policy 1b)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Policy 1b in part as it is not strong enough.

**Decision Requested:** Change the should to shall in Policy 1b.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.6(Section 6 - Policy 1c)      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes policy 1c as this policy does not state no net loss of biodiversity for the whole area - should not be an offset to allow for loss in the remaining land.

**Decision Requested:** None stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.7(Section 6 - Policy 1e)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Take care in allowing subdivision to affect biodiversity if it is not rare or nationally endangered. There is a need to carefully restrict development in the Coastal Environment to allow for inland retreat.

**Decision Requested:** None stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.8(Section 6 - Objective 2, Policies 2a and 2b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Particularly support “where there is no alternative option” for Policy 2b.

**Decision Requested:** Objective 2 and Policy 2a - not stated. Policy 2b - Retain where there is no alternative option in the policy.

---

**Submitter Number:** 421      **Submitter:** Martin Williams - Shakespeare Chambers

**On behalf of:** Tasman Buildings Limited

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338068](#); [3338069](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 421.7(Section 6 Biodiversity)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks less stringent controls and new, more specific wording for objectives and policies in Section 6 Biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Delete reference to WRC Report 2010/36 from final paragraph of Section 6.1 Background. Amend Section 6 Objective 1 to place an emphasis or priority on avoidance of effects, but allowing for some effects on indigenous biodiversity with appropriate remediation/mitigation. Amend Section 6 Policies 1a and 1c to clarify the relative priority and relationship between the respective provisions. Delete the words 'ecological sustainability and natural characteristics' from Policy 1a item (a) and replace them with the word 'viability'. Add the word 'significant' before the words 'indigenous vegetation' in Policy 1a items (b), (c) and (d). Delete "integrity and sustainability" from Policy 1b a), and add "significant" before "indigenous habitats and species". Amend Policy 1b b) to refer to nationally important or significant populations of at risk or threatened species, and confine application of the policy item to them. Delete Policy 1b d). Retain "one or more of the following" within Policy 1c introduction. Commence Policy 1c b) with "contributing to the establishment of mountain to sea corridors ..." Amend Policy 1e to provide for remediation and/or mitigation of effects on the specified resources and/or that avoidance is only required "where practicable". Amend Policy 1e a) and d) to confine to nationally significant or important populations of Threatened or At Risk species. Delete Policy 1e d).

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	427	<b>Submitter:</b>	James Edmondson - Property owners
<b>On behalf of:</b>	The Edmondson Family		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338202</a> ; <a href="#">3343316</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	427.1(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the 'SNA Maps' on submitter's property (330A the 309 Road).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Correct SNA Maps on 330A the 309 Road.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	434	<b>Submitter:</b>	Patrick Maenulein
<b>On behalf of:</b>			

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338507](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 434.1(Section 6 Biodiversity ) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Supports the protection of significant vegetation but notes that the maps are not accurate.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Map 24D overlays to accurately reflect the vegetation.

---

**Submitter Number:** 436 **Submitter:** Sue Langton

**On behalf of:** Mist Preservation Society Scenic Reserve

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338397](#); [3338396](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 436.1(Section 6 Objectives) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks new objectives and policies to give effect to PRPS Policy 11.2

**Decision Requested:** Seeks new objectives to give effect to PRPS Policy 11.2, particularly to acknowledge impacts on habitat of threatened species in the Moehau Ecological Area; remove 'at the time of subdivision, use and development' from Objective 1.

---

**Submission Point No:** 436.2(Section 6.3 Policy 1d, 6.4 Non-regulatory Methods) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Policy 1d is supported, should provide detail on 'sustainable use.' Support 6.4 and acknowledge long-term commitment of landowners.





<b>Submitter Number:</b>	481	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stewart Robinson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334820</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	481.3(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Notes that Section 6 mentions stormwater management but does not recognise the importance of storm water management in upper catchments for flood mitigation.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 6 to emphasise the potential for positive land management practices like tree planting/restoration of indigenous vegetation to mitigate water runoff and flood risk and to indicate when the Biodiversity Strategy will be reviewed. Include a rule in Section 29 Biodiversity to reduce water runoff from upper water catchments by regulating where it is permissible to clear indigenous vegetation.</p>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	524	<b>Submitter:</b>	John and Verona McLeod
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335241</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	524.3(Section 6.1 Background)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>The Council used the WRC Technical Report 2010/36 as the basis for identifying locations to be targeted for priority management in the District. Areas of titree on farmland do not require priority management by the Council.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.</p>		

---

**Submission Point No:** 524.4(Section 6.2.1 Issues)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The issues listed a) to f) may require Council's priority management in terms of Section 6 of the Resource Management Act if and when subdivision is applied for.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 524.5(6.2.2 Issues)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Issue 6.2.2 as being factually incorrect, there is not evidence to support loss of biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Remove all overlays from the Plan.

---

**Submitter Number:** 534      **Submitter:** Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council

**On behalf of:** Waikato Regional Council

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336040](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 534.2(Section 6 Biodiversity)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Supports policies that seek targeted biodiversity gains through subdivision, seeks amendments to policies to achieve greater protection of biodiversity rather than encouragement of subdivision and requests addition of Objective and Policies to manage SNAs. Seeks reference to a new biodiversity strategy as a collaborative piece of work between TCDC and WRC.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6.1 Background. Amend Issue 6.2 to be more explicit and accurate about the District's specific biodiversity issues. Amend

Objective 1. Delete Policies 1a and 1b. Retain Policies 1c and 1e. Amend Policy 1d to redefine 'Sustainable Use' and narrow its scope as per written submission. Delete Objective 2 and associated Policies OR move to Section 19 of the Plan. Add new Objective and Policies to specifically address SNAs. Amend Section 6.4 'Non Regulatory Method 2'. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 547 **Submitter:** Sue Wright

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.2(Section 6 - all Issues) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support all Issues, particularly Issues 2 and 3.

**Decision Requested:** Retain all Issues.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.3(Section 6 - Policies 1a, 1b) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Policies 1a and 1b, and enforce their importance.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Policies 1a and 1b, and replace "shall" with "must".

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.4(Section 6 - Policy 1c) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Policy 1c.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Policy 1c.

---

**Submitter Number:** 555

**Submitter:** Gary Blake

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336569](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 555.1(Section 6 - Biodiversity)

**Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Suggests that biodiversity is a big issue; the Plan needs to address the DoC forest park; we should be looking after our indigenous vegetation and looking at it as a resource and habitat.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 568

**Submitter:** Ben and Donna Grubb

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336591](#) ; [3336590](#) ; [3336589](#) ; [3336588](#) ; [3336587](#) ; [3336586](#) ; [3336584](#) ; [3349405](#) ; [3336583](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.41(Section 6 - Objective 1)

**Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Rewrite and reorder to acknowledge that biodiversity maintenance and improvements are just dependant on what happens at the time of subdivision, use and development, and that subdivision incentives should not be the principal or only means for protecting/improving biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1: "The indigenous biodiversity of the district is maintained, restored and enhanced at the time of subdivision, use and development."

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.42(Section 6.4) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Rewrite and reorder to acknowledge that biodiversity maintenance and improvements are just dependant on what happens at the time of subdivision, use and development, and that subdivision incentives should not be the principal or only means for protecting/improving biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Move non-regulatory methods in Section 6.4 to be new Policy 1, with Methods 1 and 2 becoming Policy 1 a) and b). Add further policy.

(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.43(Section 6 - Objective 2 and Policies) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Rewrite and reorder to acknowledge that biodiversity maintenance and improvements are just dependant on what happens at the time of subdivision, use and development, and that subdivision incentives should not be the principal or only means for protecting/improving biodiversity.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objectives 1 and 2. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.44(Section 6 - new issue and provisions) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose lack of provisions for indigenous species' habitat in forestry, around commercial rights vs protection of endangered species.

**Decision Requested:** Raise the issue around commercial rights vs protection of endangered species, and develop policies and solutions.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.56(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Show Priority Locations as shown in Section 38 - Figure 1 (page 282) as an overlay on Planning Maps.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Show Priority Locations as shown in Section 38 - Figure 1 (page 282) as an overlay on Planning Maps.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	578	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christine Vickerman
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337120</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.12(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the judgemental nature of Section 6.2.1. It is a sweeping statement which is not universally true.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Section 6.2.1, or add the word "may" before "contribute".		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.13(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the clause "poor land management practices" in Section 6.2.2. It is a subjective value judgement being applied with no context.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Section 6.2.2.		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.14(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The appropriateness of Section 6 Policies 1a and 1e depend on the definitions of “use” and “development”. They are too directive for “use” as applied to existing farmland.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Replace “shall” and “will” with “should” in section 6 Policies 1a) and 1e).		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.15(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose Section 6 Policy 1a d) as “Buffers” render even more land unusable for private property owners - confiscation of land.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Section 6 Policy 1a d).		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.16(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	6.3 Policy 1d is unnecessary and is covered by 6.3 Policy 1b.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete 6.3 Policy 1d		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.17(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.4)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support Section 6.4, e.g. Education, encouraging voluntary measures. This is the most effective way of engaging land-owners in biodiversity enhancement.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain and strengthen this methodology in Section 6.4.		
<hr/>			



**Submitter Number:** 619 **Submitter:** Bruce Vickerman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337375](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 619.3(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.1) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the use of the WRC SNA maps for the rules related to biodiversity as they are inaccurate and unverified.

**Decision Requested:** Remove paragraph 3 in Section 6.1.

---

**Submission Point No:** 619.4(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.2) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Biodiversity is improving (as stated in Section 32 document) so losses cannot be attributed to private landowners.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Section 6.2.2.

---

**Submitter Number:** 624 **Submitter:** Chris Lux

**On behalf of:** James Lux, Kimberlea Lux

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337419](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	624.12(Section 6 - Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Recognise that subdivision, development, communities and people can contribute to positive biodiversity outcomes rather than seeking to impose biodiversity rules over people and their properties.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. That the issues, objectives and policies in Section 6 better reflect that economic and social/cultural values also have rights alongside biodiversity objectives.2. Remove blanket controls over all indigenous vegetation.</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	639	<b>Submitter:</b>	Moira Cursey
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Waikato Biodiversity Forum Coordinator		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337504</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	639.1(Section 6 - Biodiversity )	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>The Waikato Biodiversity Forum is an organisation comprised of research and management agencies, iwi groups, private landowners, community groups and individuals who work to improve native biodiversity in the Waikato region. It is a voluntary organisation which operates independently of these management agencies through funding grants.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b></p> <p>The Forum offers its support and assistance to the Council with the review and development of the Biodiversity Strategy; and</p> <p>The Forum offers its 0800BIODIV advice service to landowners who live in the Coromandel District who may like to explore options for protecting biodiversity on their property.</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	644	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mike Jebson
<b>On behalf of:</b>	QEII National Trust		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337252</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	644.2(Sections 6, 29, 38)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The QEII National Trust raises concern regarding the Plans approach towards the restoration of threatened and under-represented ecosystems.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated in submission.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	650	<b>Submitter:</b>	Arthur Raymond Attfield
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337620</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	650.1(Section 6 - Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Council's approach to policy implementation through the Resource Management Act suggesting that the Council must be transparent and honest.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the Plan to take into account private property rights.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	662	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gian McGregor and Michael Smither
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-----------------------------------



---

**Submission Point No:** 765.2(Section 6 - Biodiversity)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the measures taken to protect, preserve or restore biodiversity and ecological values in the District.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 776      **Submitter:** Peter Alexander Buddle

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338193](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 776.1(Section 6 Biodiversity Overlay)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks stronger protection for threatened habitats and species and tighter activity statutes for vegetation clearance.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1a to require retention of threatened species habitat and creation of buffers for SNAs through subdivision and development. amend Policy 1b to seek avoidance of degradation of ecological corridors, buffers and connections and no net loss of biodiversity when subdividing and developing.

---

**Submitter Number:** 780      **Submitter:** Al Fleming

**On behalf of:** Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338431](#)



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	782	<b>Submitter:</b>	Augusta Macassey-Pickard
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Greenspot Guardians		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338378</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	782.1(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Neutral		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Is concerned that Section 6 in its current form does not reflect the purpose and principles of the Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include special note that 'in this section "use and development" includes mining activities. Mapping areas of biodiversity might add to give better effect to the purpose and principles of the Act.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	787	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jenni Fitzgerald
<b>On behalf of:</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338388</a> ; <a href="#">3338389</a> ; <a href="#">3338390</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	787.5(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 6 provisions but requests amendment to Policy 2a to enable limited indigenous vegetation clearance for network utilities operation and maintenance.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 2a as per written submission.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	790	<b>Submitter:</b>	Nathan Kennedy
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338486</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	790.11(Section 6)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Apart from a vague reference, the section includes no mention of the very important aspects of biodiversity for Maori, or what this means from a Maori perspective, or consideration of Maori management approaches and associated knowledge.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Add introductory Māori perspective on biodiversity.2. Biodiversity issues, objectives and policies need to include Māori-specific responses to pressures on biodiversity.3. Māori cultural indicators need to be developed in conjunction with tangata whenua.</p>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	791	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sue Edens and Others
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338258</a> ; <a href="#">3338259</a> ; <a href="#">3338260</a> ; <a href="#">3338261</a> ; <a href="#">3338262</a> ; <a href="#">3338263</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	791.31(Section 6)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Oppose entire Section 6 as there is insufficient analysis of the issue, no consideration of biodiversity gain, and no consideration of extent of conservation estate.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Remove Section 6 or reconsider the above issues and rewrite to reflect these issues. 2. Change “shall” to “should” through the document.</p>		



---

**Submission Point No:** 791.32(Section 6.1 - Para 3) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The Section 6 Background needs positive outcomes identified. It's not all bad on the Peninsula.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6 paragraph 3 as follows: "... and significant habitats of native fauna in the RPS "as a desk top exercise only" and further assessed ..."At the end of paragraph 3, add "Over the last 10 years (life of the operative plan) that biodiversity has increased from the previous years circa 1950s, 1960s."(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.33(Section 6 - Issue 1) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Subdivision, use and development doesn't always contribute to the continued loss of biodiversity. In fact, many times now it adds to the value.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 6, amend Issue 1 as follows: "... development sometimes contributes ..."

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.34(Section 6 - Policy 1a) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Policy 1a comments Policy 1a b) - Earthworks can be restricted within but how do you expect to erect a fence beside these areas if you can't do earthworks adjacent. Policy 1a d) - Remove as open to abuse. How far is a buffer? Stick to what is important. Policy 1a g) - Make sure that mapping in Section 38 is workable. What does this mean in real terms.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Amend 1a to: "Subdivision use and development shall where appropriate..." or change to "should".2. In Policy 1a b), remove: "and adjacent".3. In Policy 1a d), remove d) and any other time "buffers around land use activities" appears in the document.4. Change Policy 1a g) if needed.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	791.35(Section 6 - Policy 1b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose buffers in Section 6.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In Section 6 remove buffers.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	794	<b>Submitter:</b>	Debbie Waterhouse
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Surveying Services Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337930</a> ; <a href="#">3337931</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	794.2(Section 6.1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendments to Section 6.1 Background to offer subdivision incentives for biodiversity management across the District not just 'priority locations' and Rural Lifestyle zone.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 6.1 paragraph 4 to offer incentives across the District, not just 'priority locations' and the Rural Lifestyle Zone.		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	794.3(Section 6 - Issue 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Neutral		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks additions to Section 6.2 Issue 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Issue 1 by adding " <u>In some</u> " to the start of the issues and the word " <u>can</u> " before "contribute to the loss ..."		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	794.4(Section 6.2 - Issue 2 and 3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
-----------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Issue 2 and 3.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Issue 2 and 3.

---

**Submission Point No:** 794.6(Section 6 - Objective 1, Policies 1a, 1b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Section 6.3 Objective 1, Policies 1a and 1b.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Objective 1, Policies 1a and 1b.

---

**Submission Point No:** 794.7(Section 6 - Policy 1c)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Section 6.3 Policy 1c with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1c as follows: "Subdivision for restoration or enhancement of indigenous biodiversity shall be considered in the Rural Area Rural Residential Area ...a) Restoring or enhancing priority locations mapped in Section 38 Subdivision, identified for protection and other areas; ..."

---

**Submission Point No:** 794.8(Section 6 - Policy 1e)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Policy 1e.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Policy 1e.

---

**Submission Point No:** 794.9(Section 6 - Objective 2, Policies 2a, 2b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Objective 2, Policies 2a, 2b.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Objective 2, Policies 2a, 2b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 797

**Submitter:** Richard Forbes - Boffa  
Miskell Limited

**On behalf of:** The Vela Trust

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337927](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 797.1(Section 6 - Biodiversity Objective 1) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 6 Biodiversity Objective 1 and Policy 1c.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 6 Objective 1 and Policy 1c

---

**Submitter Number:** 799

**Submitter:** Gloria and Graeme Ready

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337925](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.4(Section 6.1) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the restrictions implied in Section 6.1.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan to reflect the importance of a collaborative approach being taken by Council in partnership with private property owners, as a preferred first step.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.5(Section 6.2)                      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the issues in Section 6.2 as they are general and lack scientific robustness.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan accordingly (no detail provided).

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.6(Section 6 - Policy 1a d))                      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Suggests that it is not clear what the term 'buffer' mean as used in Policy 1a d).

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1a d) to describe a buffer's make-up, its purpose and what it would contain.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.7(Section 6 - Policy 1d)                      **Category**                      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes use of the term "sustainable use" in Section 6 Policy 1d.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 1d, or amend to allow for reasonable use and protect property rights.

---

**Submitter Number:** 803

**Submitter:** Ian Michael Wernham

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338612](#); [3338608](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 803.5(Section 6 Biodiversity) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 6.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6 to include reference to Environment Waikato 2000 Report on Ecological Regionally Significant Areas.

---

**Submitter Number:** 814

**Submitter:** George Robert Foster

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3341958](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 814.2(Section 6 - Biodiversity 6.2) **Category** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Suggests that vegetation clearance should be allowed for farming but balanced out with retention of vegetation for stock shelter.

**Decision Requested:** Leave bush in selected areas for stock shelter because stock needs shelter.

---

**Submitter Number:** 817

**Submitter:** Liane Ngamane

**On behalf of:** Ngati Tamatera

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342046](#); [3342045](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.27(Section 6 - biodiversity)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 6 as the issues, objectives, policies and methods do not adequately recognise and provide for the relationship of Maori, their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu and other taonga as their role of kaitiaki.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6 to recognise and give effect to the role of kaitiaki and the use of biodiversity resources for customary use and cultural activities.

---

**Submitter Number:** 825      **Submitter:** Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell

**On behalf of:** Whauwhau Environmental Group Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342083](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 825.1(Section 6 Objective 1, Policy 1c)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the intent of Section 6.

---

**Submitter Number:** 827      **Submitter:** Christopher Berry

**On behalf of:** Department of Conservation

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342091](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.10(Section 6.3 - Policy 1c )      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 1c in part with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1c to replace the word "for" with "resulting in" and Policy 1c f) to use terminology that is used in the New Zealand Threat Classification System 2010 (refer to submission for specific wording).

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.11(Section 6.3 - Policy 1d)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Policy 1d in part but seeks clarification around the types of uses and controls to be imposed in relation to sustainable use.

**Decision Requested:** Seeks clarification around the types of uses and controls to be imposed with regards to sustainable use.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.12(Section 6.3 - Policy 1e)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 1e in part but seeks amendments to include relevant aspects of Policy 11 b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS).

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1e to include relevant aspects of Policy 11 b) NZCPS.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.13(Section 6.3 - Policy 2b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 2b in part with amendments.



**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 2b to add an additional point “d) Actions are taken to identify likely impacts on indigenous fauna and processes are implemented to maintain indigenous fauna on site where possible or consider alternatives such as translocation”.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.14(Section 6.4 Non-regulatory methods)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 6.4 including provision of biodiversity advice and review of Council’s Biodiversity Strategy.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 6.4 non-regulatory methods.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.5(Section 6)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Supports the identification and mapping of locations for priority management; use of the Waikato Regional Council Significant Natural Area (SNA) technical report as a basis for identification of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and the significant habitats of indigenous fauna; and reference to no net loss in Section 6 Policy 2b . Acknowledges the resource required to groundtruth all SNA sites within the District.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6 to map and schedule SNA sites as identified in the Waikato Regional Council Technical Report 2010/36 in the Plan; consider a funding policy in the Plan that cost-shares ground-truthing of SNA sites; draft additional provisions to ensure WRPS policies 11.1 and 11.2 are given effect to; and include a new definition of biodiversity offsetting and policies consistent with national biodiversity offsetting principles and guidelines (refer to original submission for specific wording).

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.6(Section 6.2 - Issues 1, 2, 3)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support in part the inclusion of Issue statements 1-3.

**Decision Requested:** Retain non-regulatory methods 1. and 2.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.7(Section 6.3 - Policy 2b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 2b in part with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 2b to include an additional point: “d) Actions are taken to identify likely impacts on indigenous fauna and processes are implemented to maintain indigenous fauna on site where possible or consider alternatives such as translocation”.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.8(Section 6.3 - Policy 1a)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 1a in part with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1a to include reference to habitats of indigenous (refer to original submission for specific wording).

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.9(Section 6.3 - Policy 1b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 1b in part with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1b to also refer to at risk species and 1d) to include fire as a natural hazard risk (refer to submission for specific wording).

---

**Submitter Number:** 855

**Submitter:** Katie Treadaway - KTB  
Planning

<b>On behalf of:</b>	Rayonier New Zealand Ltd and Earnslaw One Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342127</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	855.8(Section 6.3 Policy 1a)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes Policy 1a as harvesting of forest can result in temporary loss of indigenous vegetation.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 6 Policy 1a to include an additional matter "provide for the temporary loss of indigenous vegetation associated with production forestry cycles."		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	877	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mary Barton
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Chorus New Zealand		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342152</a> ; <a href="#">3342153</a> ; <a href="#">3342154</a> ; <a href="#">3342155</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	877.5(Section 6 - Biodiversity Policy 2b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Requiring that there is no net loss of biodiversity values in terms of any development is considered to be too uncertain and may be unreasonable where only minor localised clearance occurs.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Section 6 Policy 2b(c).		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	878	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mary Barton
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-------------

<b>On behalf of:</b>	Telecom New Zealand Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342156</a> ; <a href="#">3342157</a> ; <a href="#">3342158</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	878.3(Section 6 - Biodiversity Policy 2b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Requiring that there is no net loss of biodiversity values in terms of any development is considered to be too uncertain and may be unreasonable.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Section 6 Policy 2b(c).		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	895	<b>Submitter:</b>	Vivienne McLean
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Kauri 2000 Trust		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342171</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	895.10(Section 6.3 - Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Maintenance, restoration and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity should not be limited but ongoing.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete from Section 6 Objective 1 "... <del>at the time of subdivision, use and development.</del> "		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	895.11(Section 6 - Policies 1a, 1b, 1d)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Neutral		

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Policies 1a and 1b, support in part Policy 1d, as environmental values should have priority over development, without destruction of biodiversity on-site.

**Decision Requested:** Rewrite Policies 1a and 1b in accordance with the submission text. Reword Policy 1d to remove ambiguity so biodiversity destruction is not permissible.

---

**Submission Point No:** 895.12(Section 6 - Objective 2, Policies 2a, 2b)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Section 6 Objective 2, Policies 2a, 2b as the priority position should be the protection of biodiversity, not infrastructure and utilities.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 6 Objective 2, Policies 2a, 2b as set out in the subdivision to give priority to protection of biodiversity.

---

**Submission Point No:** 895.13(Section 6 - new objectives)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The Plan does not have provisions relating to the protection and maintenance of Significant Natural Areas.

**Decision Requested:** Include objectives identifying how Significant Natural Areas will be protected and maintained under the Plan.

---

**Submission Point No:** 895.14(Section 6.4)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Section 6 Methods 1 and 2, with the Biodiversity Strategy to canvas support from the wider community.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Methods 1 and 2. In Method 2, involve community and environmental groups, iwi, Department of Conservation, Waikato Regional Council and other agencies.

---

**Submission Point No:** 895.3(Section 6.1 Biodiversity)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Section 6 needs to more strongly describe and emphasise national importance of Coromandel's biodiversity, and the Council's specific legal obligations.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Describe and emphasise national importance of Coromandel's biodiversity.2. Add new text to paragraph 2 per detailed written submission.3. Describe Council's specific responsibilities under Resource Management Act and Regional Policy Statement.

---

**Submission Point No:** 895.4(Section 6.2 Biodiversity issues)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Section 6.2 needs more detail spelling out cause and effect.

**Decision Requested:** Reword Section 6 Issues 1, 2 and 3 as set out in the submission.

---

**Submitter Number:** 899      **Submitter:** Catherine Rose Mary Croft

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343113](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 899.2(Section 6 Biodiversity)      **Category**      Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Prohibit mining activities within the Biodiversity Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Prohibit mining activities within the Biodiversity Overlay.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	912	<b>Submitter:</b>	Renee Annan
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Coromandel Watchdog of Hauraki Inc		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343201</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	912.8(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Strengthen policies to protect biodiversity.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend policies to better reflect RMA, RPS, HGMPA requirements.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	935	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sally Millar
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342192</a> ; <a href="#">3342193</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	935.5(Section 6 Biodiversity)	<b>Category</b>	Section 6 - Biodiversity
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 6 (Biodiversity) in part and seeks amendments to clarify that the 'identification' of significant natural areas has been a desktop exercise to date, and that Objectives and Policies address 'significant' indigenous vegetation.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add clarification on nature of Waikato Regional Council significant natural areas report and a description of the current state of biodiversity in the District. Amend Issue 6.2.1 and 6.2.2. Rewrite Issue 3. Refer to 'significant' indigenous biodiversity in Objective 1 and Policy 1a. Minor rewording of Policies 1b and 1d. Amend to Policy 1c 'i) and 1e. Delete Objective 2 and subsequent Policies.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

**Submitter Number:** 964 **Submitter:** Catherine Donoghue

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343765](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 964.5(Sections 6, 29) **Category:** Section 6 - Biodiversity

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Critical to look at enhancing biodiversity, not just maintaining it. Support reducing over time the amount of land in pine trees.

**Decision Requested:** Retain parts of the Plan that promote enhancing biodiversity, not just maintaining it.

---



**Category Name: PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

**Section 7 - Coastal Environment**

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1154	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephen Bootten
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345397</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1154.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1 and seeks addition of a new Policy.		
	<p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Objective 1.Add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structures in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1190	<b>Submitter:</b>	Melissa Slatter - Beca Limited
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Wharekaho 2013 Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3367900</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1190.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Coastal Environment overlay on the site.		
	<p><b>Decision Requested:</b> The Coastal Environment Overlay be deleted from the site.</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	254	<b>Submitter:</b>	Robert Lilly
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Wilson Bay Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3332961</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	254.1(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Coastal Environment Overlay on his property at Wilson Bay (Map 15 zones).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	260	<b>Submitter:</b>	Brian and Karen McMillan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3333635</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	260.2(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Coastal Environment Overlay in its entirety.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Coastal Environment in its entirety.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	275	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephanie Burgess
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-------------------

<b>On behalf of:</b>	Davies Family Trust		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3333883</a> ; <a href="#">3333892</a> ; <a href="#">3333898</a> ; <a href="#">3333899</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	275.2(Section 7 Planning Maps 10 and 11)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks deletion or amendment of Coastal Environment Line on land owned by the Davies Trust including CT 628/46, CT 307/9 and CT 33D/390.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Either delete or amend Coastal Environment Line land owned by the Davies Trust including CT 628/46, CT 307/9 and CT 33D/390.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	296	<b>Submitter:</b>	Tony Banbrook
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Pauanui Dream Estate Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334636</a> ; <a href="#">3334638</a> ; <a href="#">3334637</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	296.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment - shown on 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks review of location, extent and rules attached to the “coastal environment overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the boundary of the coastal environment overlay so it aligns with the other zones and overlays applying to Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, and reduce the area that it covers.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	298	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dean Glen
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334658</a> ; <a href="#">3334659</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	298.1(Section 7 - Coastal Environment )	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Supports the appropriate location of the Coastal Environment Line, particularly as it is located and shown on Planning Map 13, 13D and 13E; supports Section 7 that recognises the special features of the coastal environment and balances these with need to enable people to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing; and requests amendment to Section 7 Objective 1 to enable consideration of existing uses within the Coastal Environment.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain the Coastal Environment Line unchanged as shown on Overlay Maps 13, 13D and 13E. Retain proposed provisions within Section 7 and the Coastal Environment area. Add to Objective 1: "<u>Recognises existing use of the coastal environment that enables people to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing.</u>"</p>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	319	<b>Submitter:</b>	Del Cynthia Cameron
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334663</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	319.2(Section 7 Coastal Environment )	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Support for Objectives and Policies of Section 7, suggestion for new Policy 1a to keep open space areas free from buildings and structures.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Add new Policy 1a to Section 7.3 as follows: Avoid buildings and structures in open space areas set aside to preserve natural</p>		

attributes and contain development to clusters within settlements located in the coastal environment.

---

**Submitter Number:** 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit

**On behalf of:** Environmental Defence Society Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334665](#) ; [3334666](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 320.31(Dwelling rules in the Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Requests controls on dwellings in the Coastal Environment.

**Decision Requested:** Make dwellings in the Coastal Environment controlled activities, with control over matters including location of building platform, floor area, height, colour, reflectivity, planting, earthworks, effects on landscape, natural character and biodiversity, and other relevant matters.

---

**Submission Point No:** 320.7(Section 7 Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks overall strengthening of protection of the special values of the Coastal Environment. The mapping of the Coastal Environment is also inaccurate in some places, e.g. Hahei.

**Decision Requested:** Accurately identify the coastal environment on the Planning Maps. Require notification for resource consent applications for activities in the Coastal Environment, particularly subdivision, dwellings and other large buildings, and activities on headlands and ridges.

Amend definition of Coastal Environment in the Background as per submission. Amend Issue 1 as per submission to point to the growing pressures on coastal areas in the District. Amend Objective 1 as per submission to mention protection of biodiversity values of the Coastal Environment, to avoid subdivision use and development where above is not achieved and retention of special remote

character of coastal settlements.

---

**Submitter Number:** 33 **Submitter:** Neville Meredith Cameron

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3305027](#) ; [3336595](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 33.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Coastal Environment Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 334 **Submitter:** Paul M Warner

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335349](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 334.2(Section 7 Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support of Objective and Policies of Section 7 and suggested new Policy 1a to retain open spaces free of buildings and structures.

**Decision Requested:** Add new Policy 1a to Section 7.3:'Avoid buildings and structures in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlements located in the coastal

environment.

---

**Submitter Number:** 348 **Submitter:** John and Bev Sanford

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335182](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 348.8(Section 7 - Coastal Environment) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns about the scientific background of the Coastal Environment Line.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Coastal Environment Line or put back the Waikato Regional Council one.

---

**Submitter Number:** 365 **Submitter:** Chris & Lyndsay Ison

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335909](#); [3335910](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 365.26(Public notification in Coastal Environment) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the removal of the discretion to publicly notify resource consent applications.

**Decision Requested:** Require resource consent applications in the Coastal Environment to be publicly notified.



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	365.8(Section 7.3 Objectives and Policies )	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that the coast is one of the most outstanding aspects of the Coromandel Peninsula but it has been compromised in places by insensitive and substandard development.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Control dwellings in the coastal environment in relation to location of the building platform, floor area, height, colour, reflectivity, planting, earthworks, effects on landscape, natural character and biodiversity and other relevant matters.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	385	<b>Submitter:</b>	Merrilyn Louden
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Rex and Merrilyn louden		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337162</a> ; <a href="#">3336300</a> ; <a href="#">3337164</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	385.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Coastal Environment Overlay in its entirety.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Coastal Environment Overlay in its entirety.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	388	<b>Submitter:</b>	Amy Wilson-White - Brown & Company Planning Group Ltd
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Gusty Ltd		

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337031](#); [3337032](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 388.2(Section 7 - Lot 51 DP390650, (by Te Tutu Street))      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Move the Coastal Environment line so it follows the base of the hill on the coastal side, where coastal wetlands are recovering.

**Decision Requested:** Move the Coastal Environment line so it follows the base of the hill on the coastal side, not the ridgeline.

---

**Submitter Number:** 390      **Submitter:** Steve & Rosemary Garland

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336782](#); [3336783](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 390.6(Section 7 - Coastal Environment Line location and conditions)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Objects to the Coastal Environment Line Overlay and planning implications for submitter's property.

**Decision Requested:** Consult with coastal property owners and possible affected persons within the current 'proposed parameters' where this line should be.

---

**Submitter Number:** 394      **Submitter:** Anne Beston

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337433](#); [3337427](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 394.2(Section 7)                      **Category**                      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 7 in its entirety.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 399    **Submitter:** William & Carrie Davies

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337588](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 399.1(Coastal environment provisions)                      **Category**                      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the provisions proposed for within the Coastal Environment line.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

**Submitter Number:** 405    **Submitter:** Joyce Birdsall

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337657](#); [3337658](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.9(Section 7.2)                      **Category**                      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Work and play in the Coastal Environment cannot be "balanced" against protection. Allowing development and infrastructure along the coastline is not wise.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 7.2 to reflect the need to protect the coastal environment as a buffer against climate change and sea level rise.

---

**Submitter Number:** 421 **Submitter:** Martin Williams - Shakespeare Chambers

**On behalf of:** Tasman Buildings Limited

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338068](#) ; [3338069](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 421.3(Section 7.3 ) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 7.3 Objective 1.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the first bullet point of Objective 1 and delete or amend second bullet point to be better in line with s 6(a) Resource Management Act and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010.

---

**Submitter Number:** 440 **Submitter:** Brian and Karen McMillan

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333698](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 440.1(Section 7 Coastal Environment Line ) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Coastal Environment Line shown on planning maps as a Blue/purple line and all provisions relating to it.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the Coastal Environment in its entirety.

---

**Submitter Number:** 441

**Submitter:** Robyn Stewart

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342864](#) ; [3342865](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 441.2

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Coastal Environment line shown on maps and all provisions relating to it.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the coastal environment in its entirety.

---

**Submitter Number:** 445

**Submitter:** Alan Alfred Bartrom

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342867](#) ; [3342876](#) ; [3341979](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 445.1(Section 7 Coastal Environment )

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Plan's introduction of a 'Coastal Environment Line'.

**Decision Requested:** Delete 'Coastal Environment Line' in its entirety.

---

**Submitter Number:** 467

**Submitter:** Grant and Kristine McCurrach

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334748](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 467.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Objective 1 of Section 7 and seeks addition of new Policy.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Objective 1 and add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."

---

**Submitter Number:** 487

**Submitter:** Christina Needham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334932](#); [3334931](#); [3337023](#); [3337022](#); [3337021](#); [3337082](#);

---

**Submission Point No:** 487.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Proposes that the north and south boulder banks (beaches) on Slipper Island be included in the Coastal Environment Overlay on Planning Map 30

**Decision Requested:** That the north and south boulder banks (beaches) on Slipper Island be included in the Coastal Environment Overlay on Planning Map 30

---

**Submitter Number:** 492 **Submitter:** Richard and Brenda Kelsey

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335070](#); [3335071](#); [3335229](#); [3413840](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 492.1(Section 7 - Coastal Environment) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Coastal Environment line and all provisions relating to it.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the Coastal Environment in its entirety from the Plan.

---

**Submitter Number:** 501 **Submitter:** Robin Mahood

**On behalf of:** Kuaotunu Peninsula Tramping Group

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335036](#); [3335037](#); [3335035](#); [3335034](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 501.3(All sections re: Coastal Environment) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the omission of policies to enable effect to be given to the maintenance and enhancement of public access to and along the coast.

**Decision Requested:** Council officers consider the opportunities for enhancement of public access to the coast, rivers and lakes whenever it is necessary to do so under relevant legislation. Alternatively, a financial contribution in the form of land to gain enhancement of access under s108 (10) RMA provided there is a rule in the District Plan.

---

**Submission Point No:** 501.4(Coastal Environment line)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the Coastal Environment line in the Plan's Overlay Maps, as the Waikato Regional Policy Statement's line will take precedence and does more to protect the environment.

**Decision Requested:** Support the Coastal Environment line in the Waikato Regional Policy Statement.

---

**Submitter Number:** 515      **Submitter:** Katherine Piper

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335142](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 515.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment - 27 Purangi Landing)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the Coastal Environment Overlay on 27 Purangi Landing (Map 18J Overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Coastal Environment Overlay on 27 Purangi Landing

---



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	524	<b>Submitter:</b>	John and Verona McLeod
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335241</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	524.6(Section 7.1.2 )	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that the Coastal Environment also has private land that provides economic benefits and employment.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the Coastal Environment Line to follow property boundaries.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	532	<b>Submitter:</b>	Max Toomey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335680</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	532.3(Section 7)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The "Coastal Environment" designation is confused, imprecise and unclear as it does not exactly specify how it affects the planning considerations.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	534	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Waikato Regional Council		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336040</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	534.24(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes exclusion of towns and coastal settlements from Coastal Environment overlay.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include towns and coastal settlements within Coastal Environment Overlay.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	534.3(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendment to the Coastal Environment Line to include coastal towns or alternatively amendment to relevant area policies and rules to implement Policy 6.2 of the PWRPS.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all coastal towns within the Coastal Environment Line or amend relevant area and zone policies and rules as per written submission.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	541	<b>Submitter:</b>	Chris Chisholm
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Little Bay Ratepayers Association		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336308</a>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	541.3(Section 7)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concern as to the accuracy of the Coastal Environment Line.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Spell out that the Coastal Environment takes precedence because it is a designation by Waikato Regional Council, with its planning implications.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	544	<b>Submitter:</b>	Karen Bootten
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336549</a> ; <a href="#">3336548</a> ; <a href="#">3345257</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	544.6(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1 of Section 7 and seeks addition of a new policy.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Objective 1.Add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	551	<b>Submitter:</b>	Peter and Paula Clarke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336563</a> ; <a href="#">3336564</a> ; <a href="#">3336565</a> ; <a href="#">3336566</a> ; <a href="#">3336567</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	551.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	552	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephen Nathan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336483</a> ; <a href="#">3336484</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	552.1(Section 7.1 Background)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that the 'Coastal Environment' provisions in Section 7 are confusing and need further explanation.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Improve Section 7 to provide a better explanation of how the Coastal Environment works.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	565	<b>Submitter:</b>	Tom Hollings
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337011</a> ; <a href="#">3337010</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	565.3(Section 7 - Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Objective 1 should be amended.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b>		
	Amend Objective 1 as follows:"Subdivision, use and development in the Coastal Environment: * Maintains the integrity, form, functioning and resilience of the Coastal Environment; and * <u>Avoids remedies and/or mitigates adverse effects on the natural character, natural features and landscape values of the Coastal Environment taking into account: - the extent to which functional need or an existing use limits location and development options; - the presence of man-made changes to landforms and vegetation; - the presence/absence of buildings and structures; - the particular elements which contribute to the natural character of the area and the extent to which they are affected; and Recognises the relationship of tangata whenua with the Coastal Environment; and <u>Avoids remedies and/or mitigates adverse effects on public open space and recreation opportunities in the Coastal Environment; and ...Manages coastal hazard risks; andProtects and enhances historic heritage values."</u></u>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	565.4(Section 7 - issues and objectives)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Is concerned that issues and objectives fail to recognise the functional need for some activities to locate near the coast, and emphasise absolute protection of the coast without recognition of existing modified environments.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> None stated.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	568	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ben and Donna Grubb
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336591</a> ; <a href="#">3336590</a> ; <a href="#">3336589</a> ; <a href="#">3336588</a> ; <a href="#">3336587</a> ; <a href="#">3336586</a> ; <a href="#">3336584</a> ; <a href="#">3349405</a> ; <a href="#">3336583</a> ; <a href="#">3336582</a> ; <a href="#">3336581</a> ; <a href="#">3336580</a> ; <a href="#">3336579</a> ; <a href="#">3336578</a> ; <a href="#">3336577</a> ; <a href="#">3336576</a> ; <a href="#">3336575</a> ; <a href="#">3336574</a> ; <a href="#">3336573</a> ; <a href="#">3336572</a> ; <a href="#">3336571</a> ; <a href="#">3336570</a> ; <a href="#">3336569</a> ; <a href="#">3336568</a> ; <a href="#">3336567</a> ; <a href="#">3336566</a> ; <a href="#">3336565</a> ; <a href="#">3336564</a> ; <a href="#">3336563</a> ; <a href="#">3336562</a> ; <a href="#">3336561</a> ; <a href="#">3336560</a> ; <a href="#">3336559</a> ; <a href="#">3336558</a> ; <a href="#">3336557</a> ; <a href="#">3336556</a> ; <a href="#">3336555</a> ; <a href="#">3336554</a> ; <a href="#">3336553</a> ; <a href="#">3336552</a> ; <a href="#">3336551</a> ; <a href="#">3336550</a> ; <a href="#">3336549</a> ; <a href="#">3336548</a> ; <a href="#">3336547</a> ; <a href="#">3336546</a> ; <a href="#">3336545</a> ; <a href="#">3336544</a> ; <a href="#">3336543</a> ; <a href="#">3336542</a> ; <a href="#">3336541</a> ; <a href="#">3336540</a> ; <a href="#">3336539</a> ; <a href="#">3336538</a> ; <a href="#">3336537</a> ; <a href="#">3336536</a> ; <a href="#">3336535</a> ; <a href="#">3336534</a> ; <a href="#">3336533</a> ; <a href="#">3336532</a> ; <a href="#">3336531</a> ; <a href="#">3336530</a> ; <a href="#">3336529</a> ; <a href="#">3336528</a> ; <a href="#">3336527</a> ; <a href="#">3336526</a> ; <a href="#">3336525</a> ; <a href="#">3336524</a> ; <a href="#">3336523</a> ; <a href="#">3336522</a> ; <a href="#">3336521</a> ; <a href="#">3336520</a> ; <a href="#">3336519</a> ; <a href="#">3336518</a> ; <a href="#">3336517</a> ; <a href="#">3336516</a> ; <a href="#">3336515</a> ; <a href="#">3336514</a> ; <a href="#">3336513</a> ; <a href="#">3336512</a> ; <a href="#">3336511</a> ; <a href="#">3336510</a> ; <a href="#">3336509</a> ; <a href="#">3336508</a> ; <a href="#">3336507</a> ; <a href="#">3336506</a> ; <a href="#">3336505</a> ; <a href="#">3336504</a> ; <a href="#">3336503</a> ; <a href="#">3336502</a> ; <a href="#">3336501</a> ; <a href="#">3336500</a> ; <a href="#">3336499</a> ; <a href="#">3336498</a> ; <a href="#">3336497</a> ; <a href="#">3336496</a> ; <a href="#">3336495</a> ; <a href="#">3336494</a> ; <a href="#">3336493</a> ; <a href="#">3336492</a> ; <a href="#">3336491</a> ; <a href="#">3336490</a> ; <a href="#">3336489</a> ; <a href="#">3336488</a> ; <a href="#">3336487</a> ; <a href="#">3336486</a> ; <a href="#">3336485</a> ; <a href="#">3336484</a> ; <a href="#">3336483</a> ; <a href="#">3336482</a> ; <a href="#">3336481</a> ; <a href="#">3336480</a> ; <a href="#">3336479</a> ; <a href="#">3336478</a> ; <a href="#">3336477</a> ; <a href="#">3336476</a> ; <a href="#">3336475</a> ; <a href="#">3336474</a> ; <a href="#">3336473</a> ; <a href="#">3336472</a> ; <a href="#">3336471</a> ; <a href="#">3336470</a> ; <a href="#">3336469</a> ; <a href="#">3336468</a> ; <a href="#">3336467</a> ; <a href="#">3336466</a> ; <a href="#">3336465</a> ; <a href="#">3336464</a> ; <a href="#">3336463</a> ; <a href="#">3336462</a> ; <a href="#">3336461</a> ; <a href="#">3336460</a> ; <a href="#">3336459</a> ; <a href="#">3336458</a> ; <a href="#">3336457</a> ; <a href="#">3336456</a> ; <a href="#">3336455</a> ; <a href="#">3336454</a> ; <a href="#">3336453</a> ; <a href="#">3336452</a> ; <a href="#">3336451</a> ; <a href="#">3336450</a> ; <a href="#">3336449</a> ; <a href="#">3336448</a> ; <a href="#">3336447</a> ; <a href="#">3336446</a> ; <a href="#">3336445</a> ; <a href="#">3336444</a> ; <a href="#">3336443</a> ; <a href="#">3336442</a> ; <a href="#">3336441</a> ; <a href="#">3336440</a> ; <a href="#">3336439</a> ; <a href="#">3336438</a> ; <a href="#">3336437</a> ; <a href="#">3336436</a> ; <a href="#">3336435</a> ; <a href="#">3336434</a> ; <a href="#">3336433</a> ; <a href="#">3336432</a> ; <a href="#">3336431</a> ; <a href="#">3336430</a> ; <a href="#">3336429</a> ; <a href="#">3336428</a> ; <a href="#">3336427</a> ; <a href="#">3336426</a> ; <a href="#">3336425</a> ; <a href="#">3336424</a> ; <a href="#">3336423</a> ; <a href="#">3336422</a> ; <a href="#">3336421</a> ; <a href="#">3336420</a> ; <a href="#">3336419</a> ; <a href="#">3336418</a> ; <a href="#">3336417</a> ; <a href="#">3336416</a> ; <a href="#">3336415</a> ; <a href="#">3336414</a> ; <a href="#">3336413</a> ; <a href="#">3336412</a> ; <a href="#">3336411</a> ; <a href="#">3336410</a> ; <a href="#">3336409</a> ; <a href="#">3336408</a> ; <a href="#">3336407</a> ; <a href="#">3336406</a> ; <a href="#">3336405</a> ; <a href="#">3336404</a> ; <a href="#">3336403</a> ; <a href="#">3336402</a> ; <a href="#">3336401</a> ; <a href="#">3336400</a> ; <a href="#">3336399</a> ; <a href="#">3336398</a> ; <a href="#">3336397</a> ; <a href="#">3336396</a> ; <a href="#">3336395</a> ; <a href="#">3336394</a> ; <a href="#">3336393</a> ; <a href="#">3336392</a> ; <a href="#">3336391</a> ; <a href="#">3336390</a> ; <a href="#">3336389</a> ; <a href="#">3336388</a> ; <a href="#">3336387</a> ; <a href="#">3336386</a> ; <a href="#">3336385</a> ; <a href="#">3336384</a> ; <a href="#">3336383</a> ; <a href="#">3336382</a> ; <a href="#">3336381</a> ; <a href="#">3336380</a> ; <a href="#">3336379</a> ; <a href="#">3336378</a> ; <a href="#">3336377</a> ; <a href="#">3336376</a> ; <a href="#">3336375</a> ; <a href="#">3336374</a> ; <a href="#">3336373</a> ; <a href="#">3336372</a> ; <a href="#">3336371</a> ; <a href="#">3336370</a> ; <a href="#">3336369</a> ; <a href="#">3336368</a> ; <a href="#">3336367</a> ; <a href="#">3336366</a> ; <a href="#">3336365</a> ; <a href="#">3336364</a> ; <a href="#">3336363</a> ; <a href="#">3336362</a> ; <a href="#">3336361</a> ; <a href="#">3336360</a> ; <a href="#">3336359</a> ; <a href="#">3336358</a> ; <a href="#">3336357</a> ; <a href="#">3336356</a> ; <a href="#">3336355</a> ; <a href="#">3336354</a> ; <a href="#">3336353</a> ; <a href="#">3336352</a> ; <a href="#">3336351</a> ; <a href="#">3336350</a> ; <a href="#">3336349</a> ; <a href="#">3336348</a> ; <a href="#">3336347</a> ; <a href="#">3336346</a> ; <a href="#">3336345</a> ; <a href="#">3336344</a> ; <a href="#">3336343</a> ; <a href="#">3336342</a> ; <a href="#">3336341</a> ; <a href="#">3336340</a> ; <a href="#">3336339</a> ; <a href="#">3336338</a> ; <a href="#">3336337</a> ; <a href="#">3336336</a> ; <a href="#">3336335</a> ; <a href="#">3336334</a> ; <a href="#">3336333</a> ; <a href="#">3336332</a> ; <a href="#">3336331</a> ; <a href="#">3336330</a> ; <a href="#">3336329</a> ; <a href="#">3336328</a> ; <a href="#">3336327</a> ; <a href="#">3336326</a> ; <a href="#">3336325</a> ; <a href="#">3336324</a> ; <a href="#">3336323</a> ; <a href="#">3336322</a> ; <a href="#">3336321</a> ; <a href="#">3336320</a> ; <a href="#">3336319</a> ; <a href="#">3336318</a> ; <a href="#">3336317</a> ; <a href="#">3336316</a> ; <a href="#">3336315</a> ; <a href="#">3336314</a> ; <a href="#">3336313</a> ; <a href="#">3336312</a> ; <a href="#">3336311</a> ; <a href="#">3336310</a> ; <a href="#">3336309</a> ; <a href="#">3336308</a> ; <a href="#">3336307</a> ; <a href="#">3336306</a> ; <a href="#">3336305</a> ; <a href="#">3336304</a> ; <a href="#">3336303</a> ; <a href="#">3336302</a> ; <a href="#">3336301</a> ; <a href="#">3336300</a> ; <a href="#">3336299</a> ; <a href="#">3336298</a> ; <a href="#">3336297</a> ; <a href="#">3336296</a> ; <a href="#">3336295</a> ; <a href="#">3336294</a> ; <a href="#">3336293</a> ; <a href="#">3336292</a> ; <a href="#">3336291</a> ; <a href="#">3336290</a> ; <a href="#">3336289</a> ; <a href="#">3336288</a> ; <a href="#">3336287</a> ; <a href="#">3336286</a> ; <a href="#">3336285</a> ; <a href="#">3336284</a> ; <a href="#">3336283</a> ; <a href="#">3336282</a> ; <a href="#">3336281</a> ; <a href="#">3336280</a> ; <a href="#">3336279</a> ; <a href="#">3336278</a> ; <a href="#">3336277</a> ; <a href="#">3336276</a> ; <a href="#">3336275</a> ; <a href="#">3336274</a> ; <a href="#">3336273</a> ; <a href="#">3336272</a> ; <a href="#">3336271</a> ; <a href="#">3336270</a> ; <a href="#">3336269</a> ; <a href="#">3336268</a> ; <a href="#">3336267</a> ; <a href="#">3336266</a> ; <a href="#">3336265</a> ; <a href="#">3336264</a> ; <a href="#">3336263</a> ; <a href="#">3336262</a> ; <a href="#">3336261</a> ; <a href="#">3336260</a> ; <a href="#">3336259</a> ; <a href="#">3336258</a> ; <a href="#">3336257</a> ; <a href="#">3336256</a> ; <a href="#">3336255</a> ; <a href="#">3336254</a> ; <a href="#">3336253</a> ; <a href="#">3336252</a> ; <a href="#">3336251</a> ; <a href="#">3336250</a> ; <a href="#">3336249</a> ; <a href="#">3336248</a> ; <a href="#">3336247</a> ; <a href="#">3336246</a> ; <a href="#">3336245</a> ; <a href="#">3336244</a> ; <a href="#">3336243</a> ; <a href="#">3336242</a> ; <a href="#">3336241</a> ; <a href="#">3336240</a> ; <a href="#">3336239</a> ; <a href="#">3336238</a> ; <a href="#">3336237</a> ; <a href="#">3336236</a> ; <a href="#">3336235</a> ; <a href="#">3336234</a> ; <a href="#">3336233</a> ; <a href="#">3336232</a> ; <a href="#">3336231</a> ; <a href="#">3336230</a> ; <a href="#">3336229</a> ; <a href="#">3336228</a> ; <a href="#">3336227</a> ; <a href="#">3336226</a> ; <a href="#">3336225</a> ; <a href="#">3336224</a> ; <a href="#">3336223</a> ; <a href="#">3336222</a> ; <a href="#">3336221</a> ; <a href="#">3336220</a> ; <a href="#">3336219</a> ; <a href="#">3336218</a> ; <a href="#">3336217</a> ; <a href="#">3336216</a> ; <a href="#">3336215</a> ; <a href="#">3336214</a> ; <a href="#">3336213</a> ; <a href="#">3336212</a> ; <a href="#">3336211</a> ; <a href="#">3336210</a> ; <a href="#">3336209</a> ; <a href="#">3336208</a> ; <a href="#">3336207</a> ; <a href="#">3336206</a> ; <a href="#">3336205</a> ; <a href="#">3336204</a> ; <a href="#">3336203</a> ; <a href="#">3336202</a> ; <a href="#">3336201</a> ; <a href="#">3336200</a> ; <a href="#">3336199</a> ; <a href="#">3336198</a> ; <a href="#">3336197</a> ; <a href="#">3336196</a> ; <a href="#">3336195</a> ; <a href="#">3336194</a> ; <a href="#">3336193</a> ; <a href="#">3336192</a> ; <a href="#">3336191</a> ; <a href="#">3336190</a> ; <a href="#">3336189</a> ; <a href="#">3336188</a> ; <a href="#">3336187</a> ; <a href="#">3336186</a> ; <a href="#">3336185</a> ; <a href="#">3336184</a> ; <a href="#">3336183</a> ; <a href="#">3336182</a> ; <a href="#">3336181</a> ; <a href="#">3336180</a> ; <a href="#">3336179</a> ; <a href="#">3336178</a> ; <a href="#">3336177</a> ; <a href="#">3336176</a> ; <a href="#">3336175</a> ; <a href="#">3336174</a> ; <a href="#">3336173</a> ; <a href="#">3336172</a> ; <a href="#">3336171</a> ; <a href="#">3336170</a> ; <a href="#">3336169</a> ; <a href="#">3336168</a> ; <a href="#">3336167</a> ; <a href="#">3336166</a> ; <a href="#">3336165</a> ; <a href="#">3336164</a> ; <a href="#">3336163</a> ; <a href="#">3336162</a> ; <a href="#">3336161</a> ; <a href="#">3336160</a> ; <a href="#">3336159</a> ; <a href="#">3336158</a> ; <a href="#">3336157</a> ; <a href="#">3336156</a> ; <a href="#">3336155</a> ; <a href="#">3336154</a> ; <a href="#">3336153</a> ; <a href="#">3336152</a> ; <a href="#">3336151</a> ; <a href="#">3336150</a> ; <a href="#">3336149</a> ; <a href="#">3336148</a> ; <a href="#">3336147</a> ; <a href="#">3336146</a> ; <a href="#">3336145</a> ; <a href="#">3336144</a> ; <a href="#">3336143</a> ; <a href="#">3336142</a> ; <a href="#">3336141</a> ; <a href="#">3336140</a> ; <a href="#">3336139</a> ; <a href="#">3336138</a> ; <a href="#">3336137</a> ; <a href="#">3336136</a> ; <a href="#">3336135</a> ; <a href="#">3336134</a> ; <a href="#">3336133</a> ; <a href="#">3336132</a> ; <a href="#">3336131</a> ; <a href="#">3336130</a> ; <a href="#">3336129</a> ; <a href="#">3336128</a> ; <a href="#">3336127</a> ; <a href="#">3336126</a> ; <a href="#">3336125</a> ; <a href="#">3336124</a> ; <a href="#">3336123</a> ; <a href="#">3336122</a> ; <a href="#">3336121</a> ; <a href="#">3336120</a> ; <a href="#">3336119</a> ; <a href="#">3336118</a> ; <a href="#">3336117</a> ; <a href="#">3336116</a> ; <a href="#">3336115</a> ; <a href="#">3336114</a> ; <a href="#">3336113</a> ; <a href="#">3336112</a> ; <a href="#">3336111</a> ; <a href="#">3336110</a> ; <a href="#">3336109</a> ; <a href="#">3336108</a> ; <a href="#">3336107</a> ; <a href="#">3336106</a> ; <a href="#">3336105</a> ; <a href="#">3336104</a> ; <a href="#">3336103</a> ; <a href="#">3336102</a> ; <a href="#">3336101</a> ; <a href="#">3336100</a> ; <a href="#">3336099</a> ; <a href="#">3336098</a> ; <a href="#">3336097</a> ; <a href="#">3336096</a> ; <a href="#">3336095</a> ; <a href="#">3336094</a> ; <a href="#">3336093</a> ; <a href="#">3336092</a> ; <a href="#">3336091</a> ; <a href="#">3336090</a> ; <a href="#">3336089</a> ; <a href="#">3336088</a> ; <a href="#">3336087</a> ; <a href="#">3336086</a> ; <a href="#">3336085</a> ; <a href="#">3336084</a> ; <a href="#">3336083</a> ; <a href="#">3336082</a> ; <a href="#">3336081</a> ; <a href="#">3336080</a> ; <a href="#">3336079</a> ; <a href="#">3336078</a> ; <a href="#">3336077</a> ; <a href="#">3336076</a> ; <a href="#">3336075</a> ; <a href="#">3336074</a> ; <a href="#">3336073</a> ; <a href="#">3336072</a> ; <a href="#">3336071</a> ; <a href="#">3336070</a> ; <a href="#">3336069</a> ; <a href="#">3336068</a> ; <a href="#">3336067</a> ; <a href="#">3336066</a> ; <a href="#">3336065</a> ; <a href="#">3336064</a> ; <a href="#">3336063</a> ; <a href="#">3336062</a> ; <a href="#">3336061</a> ; <a href="#">3336060</a> ; <a href="#">3336059</a> ; <a href="#">3336058</a> ; <a href="#">3336057</a> ; <a href="#">3336056</a> ; <a href="#">3336055</a> ; <a href="#">3336054</a> ; <a href="#">3336053</a> ; <a href="#">3336052</a> ; <a href="#">3336051</a> ; <a href="#">3336050</a> ; <a href="#">3336049</a> ; <a href="#">3336048</a> ; <a href="#">3336047</a> ; <a href="#">3336046</a> ; <a href="#">3336045</a> ; <a href="#">3336044</a> ; <a href="#">3336043</a> ; <a href="#">3336042</a> ; <a href="#">3336041</a> ; <a href="#">3336040</a> ; <a href="#">3336039</a> ; <a href="#">3336038</a> ; <a href="#">3336037</a> ; <a href="#">3336036</a> ; <a href="#">3336035</a> ; <a href="#">3336034</a> ; <a href="#">3336033</a> ; <a href="#">3336032</a> ; <a href="#">3336031</a> ; <a href="#">3336030</a> ; <a href="#">3336029</a> ; <a href="#">3336028</a> ; <a href="#">3336027</a> ; <a href="#">3336026</a> ; <a href="#">3336025</a> ; <a href="#">3336024</a> ; <a href="#">3336023</a> ; <a href="#">3336022</a> ; <a href="#">3336021</a> ; <a href="#">3336020</a> ; <a href="#">3336019</a> ; <a href="#">3336018</a> ; <a href="#">3336017</a> ; <a href="#">3336016</a> ; <a href="#">3336015</a> ; <a href="#">3336014</a> ; <a href="#">3336013</a> ; <a href="#">3336012</a> ; <a href="#">3336011</a> ; <a href="#">3336010</a> ; <a href="#">3336009</a> ; <a href="#">3336008</a> ; <a href="#">3336007</a> ; <a href="#">3336006</a> ; <a href="#">3336005</a> ; <a href="#">3336004</a> ; <a href="#">3336003</a> ; <a href="#">3336002</a> ; <a href="#">3336001</a> ; <a href="#">3336000</a> ; <a href="#">3335999</a> ; <a href="#">3335998</a> ; <a href="#">3335997</a> ; <a href="#">3335996</a> ; <a href="#">3335995</a> ; <a href="#">3335994</a> ; <a href="#">3335993</a> ; <a href="#">3335992</a> ; <a href="#">3335991</a> ; <a href="#">3335990</a> ; <a href="#">3335989</a> ; <a href="#">3335988</a> ; <a href="#">3335987</a> ; <a href="#">3335986</a> ; <a href="#">3335985</a> ; <a href="#">3335984</a> ; <a href="#">3335983</a> ; <a href="#">3335982</a> ; <a href="#">3335981</a> ; <a href="#">3335980</a> ; <a href="#">3335979</a> ; <a href="#">3335978</a> ; <a href="#">3335977</a> ; <a href="#">3335976</a> ; <a href="#">3335975</a> ; <a href="#">3335974</a> ; <a href="#">3335973</a> ; <a href="#">3335972</a> ; <a href="#">3335971</a> ; <a href="#">3335970</a> ; <a href="#">3335969</a> ; <a href="#">3335968</a> ; <a href="#">3335967</a> ; <a href="#">3335966</a> ; <a href="#">3335965</a> ; <a href="#">3335964</a> ; <a href="#">3335963</a> ; <a href="#">3335962</a> ; <a href="#">3335961</a> ; <a href="#">3335960</a> ; <a href="#">3335959</a> ; <a href="#">3335958</a> ; <a href="#">3335957</a> ; <a href="#">3335956</a> ; <a href="#">3335955</a> ; <a href="#">3335954</a> ; <a href="#">3335953</a> ; <a href="#">3335952</a> ; <a href="#">3335951</a> ; <a href="#">3335950</a> ; <a href="#">3335949</a> ; <a href="#">3335948</a> ; <a href="#">3335947</a> ; <a href="#">3335946</a> ; <a href="#">3335945</a> ; <a href="#">3335944</a> ; <a href="#">3335943</a> ; <a href="#">3335942</a> ; <a href="#">3335941</a> ; <a href="#">3335940</a> ; <a href="#">3335939</a> ; <a href="#">3335938</a> ; <a href="#">3335937</a> ; <a href="#">3335936</a> ; <a href="#">3335935</a> ; <a href="#">3335934</a> ; <a href="#">3335933</a> ; <a href="#">3335932</a> ; <a href="#">3335931</a> ; <a href="#">3335930</a> ; <a href="#">3335929</a> ; <a href="#">3335928</a> ; <a href="#">3335927</a> ; <a href="#">3335926</a> ; <a href="#">3335925</a> ; <a href="#">3335924</a> ; <a href="#">3335923</a> ; <a href="#">3335922</a> ; <a href="#">3335921</a> ; <a href="#">3335920</a> ; <a href="#">3335919</a> ; <a href="#">3335918</a> ; <a href="#">3335917</a> ; <a href="#">3335916</a> ; <a href="#">3335915</a> ; <a href="#">3335914</a> ; <a href="#">3335913</a> ; <a href="#">3335912</a> ; <a href="#">3335911</a> ; <a href="#">3335910</a> ; <a href="#">3335909</a> ; <a href="#">3335908</a> ; <a href="#">3335907</a> ; <a href="#">3335906</a> ; <a href="#">3335905</a> ; <a href="#">3335904</a> ; <a href="#">3335903</a> ; <a href="#">3335902</a> ; <a href="#">3335901</a> ; <a href="#">3335900</a> ; <a href="#">3335899</a> ; <a href="#">3335898</a> ; <a href="#">3335897</a> ; <a href="#">3335896</a> ; <a href="#">3335895</a> ; <a href="#">3335894</a> ; <a href="#">3335893</a> ; <a href="#">3335892</a> ; <a href="#">3335891</a> ; <a href="#">3335890</a> ; <a href="#">3335889</a> ; <a href="#">3335888</a> ; <a href="#">3335887</a> ; <a href="#">3335886</a> ; <a href="#">3335885</a> ; <a href="#">3335884</a> ; <a href="#">3335883</a> ; <a href="#">3335882</a> ; <a href="#">3335881</a> ; <a href="#">3335880</a> ; <a href="#">3335879</a> ; <a href="#">3335878</a> ; <a href="#">3335877</a> ; <a href="#">3335876</a> ; <a href="#">3335875</a> ; <a href="#">3335874</a> ; <a href="#">3335873</a> ; <a href="#">3335872</a> ; <a href="#">3335871</a> ; <a href="#">3335870</a> ; <a href="#">3335869</a> ; <a href="#">3335868</a> ; <a href="#">3335867</a> ; <a href="#">3335866</a> ; <a href="#">3335865</a> ; <a href="#">3335864</a> ; <a href="#">3335863</a> ; <a href="#">3335862</a> ; <a href="#">3335861</a> ; <a href="#">3335860</a> ; <a href="#">3335859</a> ; <a href="#">3335858</a> ; <a href="#">3335857</a> ; <a href="#">3335856</a> ; <a href="#">3335855</a> ; <a href="#">3335854</a> ; <a href="#">3335853</a> ; <a href="#">3335852</a> ; <a href="#">3335851</a> ; <a href="#">3335850</a> ; <a href="#">3335849</a> ; <a href="#">3335848</a> ; <a href="#">3335847</a> ; <a href="#">3335846</a> ; <a href="#">3335845</a> ; <a href="#">3335844</a> ; <a href="#">3335843</a> ; <a href="#">3335842</a> ; <a href="#">3335841</a> ; <a href="#">3335840</a> ; <a href="#">3335839</a> ; <a href="#">3335838</a> ; <a href="#">3335837</a> ; <a href="#">3335836</a> ; <a href="#">3335835</a> ; <a href="#">3335834</a> ; <a href="#">3335833</a> ; <a href="#">3335832</a> ; <a href="#">3335831</a> ; <a href="#">3335830</a> ; <a href="#">3335829</a> ; <a href="#">3335828</a> ; <a href="#">3335827</a> ; <a href="#">3335826</a> ; <a href="#">3335825</a> ; <a href="#">3335824</a> ; <a href="#">3335823</a> ; <a href="#">3335822</a> ; <a href="#">3335821</a> ; <a href="#">3335820</a> ; <a href="#">3335819</a> ; <a href="#">3335818</a> ; <a href="#">3335817</a> ; <a href="#">3335816</a> ; <a href="#">3335815</a> ;		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.1(Overlay Map 24C)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	All land on Overlay Map 24C from the Coastal Environment Line to the sea be classified as either Outstanding Landscape or Natural Character or both.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Overlay Map 24C so all land within the Coastal Environment shown as either Outstanding Landscape or Natural Character or both.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	572	<b>Submitter:</b>	Colleen Toomey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337056</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	572.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns that it is unclear what the planning implications of Section 7 are.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated in submission.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	575	<b>Submitter:</b>	Barbara Beate Ingrid Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337097</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	575.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The location, extent and rules attaching to the “coastal environment overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the boundary of the coastal environment overlay so it aligns with the other zones and overlays applying to Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, and reduce the area of the site that it covers.

---

**Submitter Number:** 576

**Submitter:** Gregory Abe Needham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337099](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 576.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The location, extent and rules attaching to the “coastal environment overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the boundary of the coastal environment overlay so it aligns with the other zones and overlays applying to Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, and reduce the area of the site that it covers.

---

**Submitter Number:** 577

**Submitter:** Paul Wilson - Dawsons Lawyers

**On behalf of:** Pauanui Mountain Estate Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337115](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 577.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The location, extent and rules attaching to the “coastal environment overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the boundary of the coastal environment overlay so it aligns with the other zones and overlays applying to Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, and reduce the area of the site that it covers.

---

**Submitter Number:** 578

**Submitter:** Christine Vickerman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337120](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.18(Section 7 - Coastal Environment 7.1)

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the Coastal Environment boundary line as it appears inconsistent and arbitrary. Identifying the line needs more transparency.

**Decision Requested:** Review the process and the outcome of identifying the Coastal Environment Line to ensure it is consistent throughout the District.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.19(Section 7 - Coastal Environment 7.1)

**Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Support the clause in Section 7.1 about the social, economic and cultural well-being of people, and the importance of industries such as farming and aquaculture in the coastal environment.

**Decision Requested:** Retain this clause in Section 7.1, and carry over into Section 7.2 objectives and Section 7.3 policies.

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.20(Section 7 - Coastal Environment 7.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support Section 7.3 in part with amendments proposed.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In Section 7.3:1. Add a clause providing for ongoing economic development.2. 3rd bullet point – reword to be consistent with Section 6(e) RMA.3. Delete last bullet point – historic heritage values.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	580	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rod Inglis
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337138</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	580.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy under Section 7 Objective 1: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	581	<b>Submitter:</b>	William Guy and Sophie Margaret Black
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337153</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	581.1(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Questions the application of the Coastal Environment at Te Puru (Map 26C Overlays).</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the Coastal Environment Line so that it takes into account the unique characteristics and environment of Te Puru South (Map 26C Overlays).</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	602	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Lamason
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Ward Family		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337312</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	602.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes Section 7 Coastal Environment as it places undue restrictions on property owners.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 7 to recognise that certain activities, such as farming operations, occur within the Coastal Environment and should not be unduly affected as a result.</p>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	602.34(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the extent of the Coastal Environment shown on Maps 1, 2 and 6 Overlays.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Undertaken an independent, open, transparent landscape assessment to determine the extent of the actual coastal environment and amend accordingly.</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	605	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence - Lawrence Cross Chapman and Co
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Giles Were		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337327</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	605.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	606	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Stephen Fisher		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337335</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	606.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	607	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Ross Green		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337337</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	607.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	612	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	James Were		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337356</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	612.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	613	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Toby King		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337358</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	613.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	615	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Landowners Adjacent to Holes 1 and 2 of the Matarangi Golf Course		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337364</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	615.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	616	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Nick Dodd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337368</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	616.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	617	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Jay Cameron		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337371</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	617.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment - Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	618	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Ken and Jaine Were		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337373</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	618.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 7 Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy: " <u>Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment.</u> "		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	626	<b>Submitter:</b>	Liz Butterfield
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337447</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	626.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Requests a new Coastal Environment rule to prohibit mining.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new rule in Section 7 Coastal Environment Overlay to prohibit mining.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	632	<b>Submitter:</b>	Grahame Christian
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337230</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	632.1(Section 7 - Coastal Environment )	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks more stringent protection of New Chums Beach.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b>		
	We would like Council to make any applications for development of any areas such as New Chum Beach be classified as a notified activity.		
	In the case of New Chum Beach our preferred position would be to have the District Plan changed so that development would not be permitted.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	647	<b>Submitter:</b>	Bernard Montgomery and Susan Anne Davies
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337617</a> ; <a href="#">3344717</a> ; <a href="#">3344718</a> ; <a href="#">3344726</a> ; <a href="#">3344732</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	647.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the coastal environment line at 235 and 258 Colville Road (Map 10 Overlay).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the coastal environment overlay from 235 and 258		



Colville Road (Map 10 Overlay).

---

**Submitter Number:** 709 **Submitter:** Matt Allot - Boffa Miskell

**On behalf of:** Blue Water Estates Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337742](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 709.1(Section 7 Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The Policy framework of Section 7 is supported.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated in submission.

---

**Submitter Number:** 711 **Submitter:** Hilary Needham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337762](#); [3337761](#); [3337760](#); [3337759](#); [3337758](#); [3337764](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 711.4(Section 7 Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Objective 1 of Section 7 seeks inclusion of sites on Slipper Island within the Coastal Environment Overlay (Map 30).

**Decision Requested:** Retain Objective 1 and include the north and south boulder banks (beaches) on Slipper Island within the Coastal Environment Overlay (Planning Map 30).

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	742	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence - Lawrence Cross Chapman and Co Ltd
<b>On behalf of:</b>	David and Jeanette Griffiths		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338013</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	742.4(Supports Objective 1.)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	743	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Meagan Schick		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338016</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	743.4(Section 7.3 Objective 1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	751	<b>Submitter:</b>	John Drummond
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Tairua Environment Society		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338034</a> ; <a href="#">3338035</a> ; <a href="#">3338036</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	751.25(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes any Coastal Living Zones made by joining the Coastal Residential Policy Area and the Coastal Village Policy Area.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Review changes to Coastal Residential Policy Area and Coastal Village Policy Area in the proposed Plan.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	751.7(Coastal Environment provisions)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support an overlay method for the Coastal Environment, however objectives and policies need strengthening.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Strengthen the objectives and policies for the Coastal Environment that recognise the significance of the Coastal Environment for the Coromandel Peninsula and the nation. We need rules that give effect to NZCPS and RMA and protect the Coastal Environment.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	751.8(Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Zoning away from the existing Coastal Zone to Rural Zone will have a detrimental effect on the new Coastal Environment Zone.		

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Coastal Environment Overlay application so where a lot is partly within the Coastal Environment, the Coastal Environment rules apply to the entire lot.

---

**Submitter Number:** 771 **Submitter:** Kenneth Ian and Claire Anna Hayr

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338186](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 771.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment Objective 1) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Objective 1.

**Decision Requested:** Add a new policy as follows: " Avoid buildings in structure in open space areas set aside to preserve natural attributes and contain development to clusters within settlement located in the coastal environment."

---

**Submitter Number:** 780 **Submitter:** Al Fleming

**On behalf of:** Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338431](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 780.3(Section 7 Coastal Environment) **Category** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Seeks wording changes to Issues and inclusion of 'restoration' into Objective 1.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2 as per written submission to better reflect the issue of climate change.

---

**Submitter Number:** 781 **Submitter:** Augusta Macassey-Pickard

**On behalf of:** Mercury Bay branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337947](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 781.2(Section 7 Coastal Environment) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 7 and requests amendments to better recognise climate change effects and the necessity to restore Coastal Environment values.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 7.1 Background. Add reference to projected effects of climate change to Sections 7.1.2 and 7.2 as per written submission. Add 'Maintains and restores the integrity...' to Objective 1.

---

**Submitter Number:** 785 **Submitter:** Paul Cook

**On behalf of:** Opito Bay Ratepayers Association

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337942](#); [3337941](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.27(Section 7 - Coastal Environment) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the extent of the Coastal Environment Overlay within Opito Bay. Seeks amendments to Objective 1.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1 to include additional paragraphs:1) Referring to maintaining the special remote character of coastal settlements;2) Protecting the indigenous biodiversity values of the Coastal Environment and 3) Stating that subdivision, use and development will be avoided where the above two points cannot be achieved.

---

**Submitter Number:** 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

**On behalf of:** Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338486](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.12(Section 7) **Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Supports tangata whenua acknowledgement in Background. Notes that single issue regarding the coast is too generic. Notes that one objective is not enough and Section 7 should be more aspirational about enhancing coastal values. Raises concerns about lack of coastal environment specific policies.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 7 as follows:1. Single Māori-related provision in the objective requires that subdivision, use and development "Recognises the relationship of tāngata whenua with the Coastal Environment." 2. Need to articulate Māori objective for the coastal environment.3. Bring key coastal environment related policies back to this section.

---

**Submitter Number:** 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338258](#) ; [3338259](#) ; [3338260](#) ; [3338261](#) ; [3338262](#) ; [3338263](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	791.36(Section 7)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Raises concerns that Section 7 does not take sufficiently account of economic development opportunities within the Coastal Environment.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove Section 7 or rewrite to reflect this submission. In particular add to Objective 1 “and allow for people to live and work” with appropriate policy. Interweave the amenity overlay with this and make them sensible.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	791.74(Overlay Map 14A)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the Coastal Environment Line through submitter's property.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the maps to show the Coastal Environment Line along the ridgelines.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	797	<b>Submitter:</b>	Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell Limited
<b>On behalf of:</b>	The Vela Trust		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337927</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	797.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment )	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Supports Section 7 Coastal Environment Objective 1.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Section 7 Objective 1</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	799	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gloria and Graeme Ready
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337925</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	799.8(Section 7 and Coastal Environment Line)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the arbitrary nature of the Coastal Environment Line. Notes that the importance of economic development is not recognised.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section7 and have the rationale for the placement of the Coastal Environment Line independently and objectively reviewed.Include consideration of importance of economic development within Objective 1.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	803	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ian Michael Wernham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338612</a> ; <a href="#">3338608</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	803.2(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks exclusion of property 9-41 Sailor's Grave Road from Coastal Environment Overlay (Map 24c overlay).  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the Coastal Environment Line to exclude 9-41 Sailor's Grave Road property (Map 24c overlay).		



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	814	<b>Submitter:</b>	George Robert Foster
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3341958</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	814.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Suggests that account should be taken of the need to produce and employment from farms on the coast.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Seeks an independent review of the coastal environment line.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	817	<b>Submitter:</b>	Liane Ngamane
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Ngati Tamatera		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342046</a> ; <a href="#">3342045</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	817.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that the third bullet point in Section 7.3 under Objective 1 recognizes the relationship of tangata whenua with the coastal environment but does not state that it will provide for it.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the third bullets point under Section 7.3 Objective 1		

to add the word "provide."

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.4(Section 7 - Coastal Environment - 7.2)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The issues relating to tangata whenua relationship with the coastal environment have not been considered fully so it sets up an inadequate framework for the objectives, policies and methods.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 7 to ensure that the policy framework recognizes and gives effect to the importance of the coast environment to Ngati Tamatera for their social, cultural and economic wellbeing, for the expression of their role as kaitiaki and to undertake customary use and cultural activities.

---

**Submitter Number:** 823      **Submitter:** Barry Weeber

**On behalf of:** Environment and Conservation Organisations of NZ INC

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342079](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 823.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The Plan should specifically protect the coastal environment from mining.

**Decision Requested:** The Coastal Environment Overlay should include a rule prohibiting all mining activities.

**Submitter Number:** 825      **Submitter:** Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell

**On behalf of:** Whauwhau Environmental Group Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342083](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 825.2(Section 7 Objective 1)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 7 Objective 1 which recognises that subdivision can - to a certain degree - be carried out in the Coastal Environment.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 7 Objective 1.

---

**Submitter Number:** 833      **Submitter:** James Needham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342109](#); [3342108](#); [3342107](#); [3342106](#); [3342105](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 833.4(Section 7 Coastal Environment)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Include north and south boulder banks on Slipper Island within Coastal Environment Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Include north and south boulder banks on Slipper Island within Coastal Environment Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 855      **Submitter:** Katie Treadaway - KTB Planning

**On behalf of:** Rayonier New Zealand Ltd and Earnslaw One Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342127](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	855.9(Section 7.1.2 Why is the Coastal Environment important?)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendments to include plantation forestry that is located in the Coastal Environment.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the second paragraph of Section 7.1.2 to include plantation forestry in the list of activities.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	870	<b>Submitter:</b>	Port Charles Residents and Ratepayers Association
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342854</a> ; <a href="#">3342862</a> ; <a href="#">3342861</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	870.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Coastal Environment Overlay.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Coastal Environment Overlay in its entirety.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	875	<b>Submitter:</b>	Joan Patricia Knudsen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342898</a> ; <a href="#">3342899</a> ; <a href="#">3342900</a>		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	875.2(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Coastal Environment Overlay on 510 Kapanga Road, Coromandel (Map 11E Overlays).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Coastal Environment Overlay from 510 Kapanga Road (Map 11E Overlays).		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	879	<b>Submitter:</b>	Philip James Smith
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342914</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	879.1(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Submitters is concerned to have the Coastal Environment line on his/her properties in McQuoid Road and Tiki Road (SH 25).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> The Coastal Environment Line should stretch from the coast to the road.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	883	<b>Submitter:</b>	Cath Wallace and Barry Weeber
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342928</a>		

---

**Submission Point No:** 883.3(Section 7 - Coastal Environment)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The Plan is contrary to the principles of sustainable management and will fail to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

**Decision Requested:** The effects of climate change including sea level rise are clearly included in the plan provisions, especially in the coastal environment.

---

**Submitter Number:** 889      **Submitter:** Michael Savage

**On behalf of:** Whangapoua Beach Ratepayers Association Incorporated

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342163](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 889.7(Coastal Environment Line)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the Coastal Environment Line within the New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay and Whangapoua Harbour environs.

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Coastal Environment Line position within the New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay and Whangapoua Harbour environs.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 893      **Submitter:** Karl Cook - Barker and Associates Ltd

**On behalf of:** Isaac Lane and Noel Lane

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342167](#)

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	893.3(Section 7)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Generally support identifying the Coastal Environment, maps, overlays, and rules, the Coastal Living Zone and other zoning.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Retain mapped overlays and specific "Coastal Environment" rules in the Plan.2. Include 'coastal zoning' as an appropriate management approach in Section 7.1.4.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	912	<b>Submitter:</b>	Renee Annan
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Coromandel Watchdog of Hauraki Inc		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343201</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	912.6(Section 7 Coastal Environment)	<b>Category</b>	Section 7 - Coastal Environment
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1 and seeks policies to prohibit Mining Activities in the Coastal Environment.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Objective 1.Add policies to prohibit Mining Activities in the Coastal Environment. Add a Section in Part VI to include rules to prohibit Mining Activities in the Coastal Environment.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	913	<b>Submitter:</b>	Wendy Livingstone
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Te Aroha College		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343203</a>		

---

**Submission Point No:** 913.2(Section 7 Coastal Environment)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Remove Coastal Environment Line at Kikiwhakarere.

**Decision Requested:** Remove Coastal Environment Line at Kikiwhakarere.

---

**Submitter Number:** 919      **Submitter:** Sheena Beaton

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343222](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 919.3(Section 7 Coastal Environment)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Prohibit Mining Activities in the Coastal Environment Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Add a rule in Section 7 prohibiting all mining activities in the Coastal Environment Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 935      **Submitter:** Sally Millar

**On behalf of:** Federated Farmers of New Zealand

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 935.6(Section 7 Coastal Environment)      **Category**      Section 7 - Coastal Environment



**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 7 (Coastal Environment) in part as long as normal farming operations can continue within the Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 7 provided normal farming activities can continue to be undertaken within the Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 956

**Submitter:** Marcus Crawshaw and Anne Elizabeth Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343702](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 956.1(Section 7 Coastal Environment)

**Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of submitter's property within Coastal Environment.

**Decision Requested:** Remove Coastal Environment line from submitter's property.

---

**Submitter Number:** 958

**Submitter:** Penelope Anthea Crawshaw Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343716](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 958.7(Section 7 Coastal Environment)

**Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Explain the rationale for the blue Coastal Environment line.

**Decision Requested:** Explain the rationale for the blue Coastal Environment line.

---

**Submitter Number:** 960

**Submitter:** Theodora C Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343751](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 960.1(Section 7 Coastal Environment)

**Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of Block Nos. Moehau 1K3,1,11,111 within the Coastal Environment.

**Decision Requested:** Exclude Block Nos. Moehau 1K3,1,11,111 from the Coastal Environment

---

**Submitter Number:** 962

**Submitter:** Alexander Bruce and Catherine Suzanne Ward - Puriri Partnership

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343754](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 962.20(Overlay Map 1 - 2611 Port Jackson Road)

**Category:** Section 7 - Coastal Environment

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the Coastal Environment Line and the extra restrictions that erode private property rights.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Coastal Environment Line.

---

**Category Name: PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

**Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas**

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1064	<b>Submitter:</b>	Barry Brickell
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Driving Creek Railway and Potteries		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344711</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1064.1(Section 8.3 Objectives and policies)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 3, Policy 3a, 3g and Policy 4d.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Section 8 Objective 3, Policy 3a, 3g and Policy 4d.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1199	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michael Gunson
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Surfbreak Protection Society		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338380</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1199.1(Section 8; Appendices A1.1, A1.2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support including a layer of protection for the Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites in Appendix 1. Agree it is appropriate for local hapu and iwi to define the nature and extent of sites of cultural value within their rohe and that the identified sites are only a small fraction of sites that need active protection.		

**Decision Requested:** Set in place a system for new archaeological sites and Maori cultural sites to be protected as an objective or policy, not a non-regulatory method.

**Submitter Number:** 128 **Submitter:** Karen McMillan and Brenda Kelsey

**On behalf of:** Coromandel Town Business Association

**ECM File Numbers:** [3328925](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 128.4(Section 31.6 and Appendix 1.3 Tables 3 and 5) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose deletion of buildings from the Heritage Register and to not protect the current streetscape buildings.

**Decision Requested:** Reinstate the buildings deleted off the Heritage Register, and strengthen the new building rules.

---

**Submission Point No:** 128.7(Overlay Maps 11E and 11F) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the Coromandel Town Heritage Area, and extend it to include the Coromandel Wharf to the corner of Tiki Road and Whangapoua Road.

**Decision Requested:** Extend the proposed Heritage Area to include the Coromandel Wharf to the corner of Tiki Road and Whangapoua Road.

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	130	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rebecca Beals
<b>On behalf of:</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3329384</a> ; <a href="#">3329386</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	130.10(Section 8 Historic Heritage Policy 3e)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendment to Section 8 Historic Heritage Policy 3e.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 3e to add "a matter of public safety"(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	130.9(Section 8 Policy 1a)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks to retain Historic Heritage Policy 1a.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Policy 1a in Section 8 Historic Heritage.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	16	<b>Submitter:</b>	Terry and Patricia Haydon
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3298420</a> ; <a href="#">3298421</a>		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	16.2(Section 8.4 - new Method 3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes scheduling of Historic Heritage Items without landowner consultation and agreement.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Requests amendments to the Plan to provide compensation to landowners for costs associated with having a scheduled Historic Heritage Item.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	24	<b>Submitter:</b>	Patricia M Hishon
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3304940</a> ; <a href="#">3304941</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	24.4(Historic Heritage objectives and policies)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Policy 3c in part but would like to see policy to support landowners of historic heritage items with resource consent requirements and staff time to assist with preparation of resource consent applications.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b>		
	Amend the Plan to allow for guides and funding policy to be developed to support implementation of Section 8 Historic Heritage Policy 3c (i.e. recognize the need for financial incentives and assistance).		



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	350	<b>Submitter:</b>	Chris Stark
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335535</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	350.1(Section 8.3 Objectives and Policies - Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns about the adequacy of the Coromandel Historic Heritage Area and that the Proposed District Plan does not do what the community wanted.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1) Acknowledge Coromandel as an area with different requirements from the rest of the Peninsula. 2) Identify and protect Coromandel towns heritage.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	372	<b>Submitter:</b>	Scott Wynands
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336407</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	372.2(Section 8)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			

**Submission Summary:** More attention needs to be paid to the aesthetic nature of houses and commercial buildings built in Coromandel Town. The historic colonial style of original buildings is being continually degraded by large modern structures.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 394 **Submitter:** Anne Beston

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337433](#); [3337427](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 394.3(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Section 8 in its entirety.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 403 **Submitter:** Anne Stewart Ball

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337669](#); [3337670](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 403.1(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic

Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the protection of heritage as important and our long and rich history being preserved. It is pleasing to see new items added to the Heritage Register and District Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	403.3(Section 8.4)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--------------------	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the direction to include other 'methods' that recognise the economic importance of heritage resources to the District.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	421	<b>Submitter:</b>	Martin Williams - Shakespeare Chambers
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	--

**On behalf of:** Tasman Buildings Limited

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338068](#); [3338069](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	421.4(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Reword or delete Objectives and Policies in Section 8 that seek 'absolute protection' or 'retention of identity and integrity of the District's history and culture'.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1 to protect historic and cultural values of archaeological sites (and Maori cultural sites) from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and delete the words "to retain the identity and integrity of the district's history and culture. "Amend Policies 1a and 2a to provide for modification of known archaeological and Maori cultural sites in accordance with approvals obtained under the Historic Places Act 1993 and for the management of any disturbance of such sites with reference to the advice and recommendations of appropriate experts. Amend Objective 2 to refer to subdivision, use and development "recognising and providing for" the relationship of Maori with archaeological sites and Maori cultural sites.Delete Policy 2b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 432 **Submitter:** Bryan Fotheringham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338549](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 432.1(Section 8 Historic Heritage) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Wants automatic inclusion of pre- 1900 sites into Schedule of protected sites/buildings.

**Decision Requested:** Include provision for automatic recognition and protection of heritage buildings/developed sites- say anything dated pre-1900.

---

**Submitter Number:** 452 **Submitter:** Graeme Burgess

**On behalf of:** Burgess & Treep Architects

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342190](#)

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	452.1(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>General support for Objectives and Policies with some specific suggested amendments.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Reword Policy 3g to read 'no greater than the scale and form of the Heritage item' instead of 'similar scale'; and mention materials, wall/window ratio and other factors. Identify places that contribute to the overall character of a Heritage Area through Policy 4a and better describe these in Appendix A1.3.</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	487	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christina Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334932</a> ; <a href="#">3334931</a> ; <a href="#">3337023</a> ; <a href="#">3337022</a> ; <a href="#">3337021</a> ; <a href="#">3337082</a> ;		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	487.2(Section 8.3 )	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Supports Objective 1 and its associated policies, Objective 2 and Policy 2a and Objective 3.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	524	<b>Submitter:</b>	John and Verona McLeod
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335241</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	524.7(Section 8.1.1 Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports all known archaeological sites being listed in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> All known sites of significance to Maori should be identified in the Plan. Reference to Maori Cultural Sites should be replaced with Sites of Significance to Maori.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	531	<b>Submitter:</b>	Duncan McKenzie
<b>On behalf of:</b>	New Zealand Historic Places Trust Pouhere Taonga		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335677</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.1(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The NZHPT considers that the format of the proposed plan in Section 8, the identification of historic heritage issues, objectives and policies being within one section of the plan, assists the reader in understanding the background and reasons for the rules.		

**Decision Requested:** That a stand-alone heritage section, in the form of an overlay, with detailed identification of historic heritage be retained.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.15(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** That 'Historic Heritage' is not readily differentiated as the Section Topic. The words succeeding Historic Heritage should not appear as equivalents as they are components of the term.

**Decision Requested:** That the wording "Historic Heritage" be clearly distinguishable from the list as follows: "Historic Heritage: (Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)"

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.16(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The NZHPT considers that this section generally contains inaccuracies, in that it confuses and conflates the values associated with archaeological sites and Maori cultural sites. The NZHPT suggest that the section be rewritten and edited to provide separate background descriptions for Archaeology and Maori Cultural Sites to recognise the different sets of values that are being provided for.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 8 include the following:8.1.2 Maori Cultural Sites "Historic Heritage includes sites of cultural value to Maori (including wahi tapu and wahi tapu areas). The RMA requires that the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wahi tapu, and other taonga be recognised and provided for as a matter of national importance under Section 6(e) of the RMA. Eleven wahi tapu sites and reasons have been identified as being of cultural value to Maori and in Appendix A1.2. All but one of these wahi tapu sites and areas are also listed in the NZHPT Register."(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.17(Section 8 - Historic	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage:
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.1)

Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The NZHPT is concerned that reference to the implementation of an Accidental Discovery Protocol to consider unidentified Maori cultural sites is ambiguous and requires further clarification to outline how such a method may be given effect and to what end. The ADP as currently referred requires amendment in line with NZHPT standard discovery protocol.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 8, 8.1.1, remove the following sentence from the last paragraph: "Where sites have not been identified on the Planning Maps or have not yet been discovered, the Accidental Discovery Protocol applies."

---

**Submission Point No:** 531.18(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.1.2)

**Category**

Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** While 8.1.2 relates specifically to the background for Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas, the NZHPT concurs with the mention of archaeology as archaeological values that may not have been previously identified or evaluated may be attributed to buildings, structures and Heritage Areas. The NZHPT considers that 'unwitting damage' to historic heritage may be incurred by other factors as well and that the potential for this harm should be articulated in the plan.

**Decision Requested:** That Section 8, 8.1.2 fourth paragraph be amended as follows: "Unwitting damage to heritage values includes inappropriate additions and alterations, subdivision, modification to historic landscape setting, plantings and trees (including associated original outbuildings), siting fences on archaeological sites, or demolition or removal of buildings or structures with significant heritage values."

---

**Submission Point No:** 531.19(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Area 8.2)

**Category**

Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas



**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The NZHPT agrees with the identification of issues and seeks minor amendments for clarification.

**Decision Requested:** That Section 8.2 is amended (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.2(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The NZHPT notes that the word 'historical' is not defined in the proposed plan nor in the, RMA or HPA. The RMA provides a definition of historic heritage.

**Decision Requested:** That all references to 'historical' (where used in relation to heritage) throughout the proposed plan are amended, where appropriate to read "historic heritage".

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.20(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The Plan is required to recognise and provide for matters of national importance, in particular 6(f) 'the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development'. The NZHPT is concerned that objectives and policies are weighted towards managing the effects of activities on historic heritage as opposed to its protection.

**Decision Requested:** That the objectives and policies in Section 8.3 in respect of archaeological sites and Maori cultural sites be separated out and presented in two separate groups. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.21(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

	Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.3)		Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>The NZHPT supports the inclusion of objectives and policies relating to built historic heritage. There is however insufficient policy basis for the assessment and incorporation of historic heritage items and places into the historic heritage overlay.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> That the Section 8 objectives and policies in respect of historic heritage items and historic heritage areas be amended.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.22(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>The NZHPT seeks acknowledgement of and reference to the ICOMOS New Zealand Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Heritage value, revised 2010 in the proposed plan as a guide for heritage conservation work.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Insert into Section 8, a second note as follows: "2. Refer to the ICOMOS New Zealand Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Heritage Value, revised 2010 which is widely used in the New Zealand heritage sector and forms a recognised benchmark for conservation standards and practice."</p>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.3(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>RMA policies and plans should provide guidance and regulation to promote and improve heritage building safety. The proposed plan does not contain any objectives, policies or rules relating to the building safety of heritage buildings. Building safety provisions improve safety for buildings and people.Refer to</p>		

Attachment A in original submission for example of possible rules.

**Decision Requested:** That the proposed plan is amended, through the addition of policy and rules to address the matter of building safety in heritage buildings. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	531.4(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The NZHPT considers that incentives, or positive regulatory methods, can contribute to the preservation and protection of the district's heritage. The NZHPT seeks that the proposed plan be amended to include rules relating to incentive provisions to be applied to heritage buildings and sites and any related redevelopment. This should be supported by a new policy framework.

**Decision Requested:** Add a new Policy to the proposed plan: The protection of the District's historic heritage shall be encouraged through incentive provisions. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	547	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sue Wright
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	------------

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.10(Section 8 - Objective 4 and its policies)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The Plan must reflect the importance of Objective 4 and recognise that its policies

are of the utmost importance.

**Decision Requested:** In Policies 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d, replace "shall" and "should" with "must".

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.6(Section 8.2)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Consider the importance of consulting local people who have a wide knowledge of local history throughout this section.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.7(Section 8 - new method)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Continually monitor Policies 1a, 1b, 2a and 2b to retain stated objectives.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.8(Section 8 - Objective 3, Policies 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e a))      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Objective 3, Policies 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e a)

**Decision Requested:** Retain Objective 3, Policies 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e a)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.9(Section 8 - Policy 3g)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	"Should" be replaced with "must".		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In Policy 3g, replace "should" with " <u>must</u> ".		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	555	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gary Blake
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336569</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	555.3	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The Plan fails to capitalise on the potential social and economic benefits. Too much talk and not enough do.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	578	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christine Vickerman
<b>On behalf of:</b>			

ECM File Numbers: [3337120](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.21(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** In Section 8, the term “Maori Cultural Sites” in the Plan is not consistent with Section 6(e) of the RMA. Sites of significance to Maori” would be more appropriate. Supports the Schedule to identify “sites of significance to Maori”.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Replace “Maori Cultural Sites” with "Sites of significance to Maori” throughout the Plan. 2. Include a Schedule in the Plan to identify the “Sites of significance to Maori”.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.22(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.1.1)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** I support the Plan Schedule to identify the “sites of significance to Maori”. I oppose the allowance for some sites to be kept “secret”. All landowners and affected parties must have transparency.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Sites must be identifiably significant in order to be included in the schedule.2. The RPS sites must be in Appendix 1B Schedule.3. Policies and Rules in this Plan must only be applied to the sites identified in Appendix 1B. Refer to the submission for more detail.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.23(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The cost of assessment as well as protecting and preserving archaeological sites can be huge. The Plan assumes owners will pay, yet these are “matters of national significance”.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Add an issue to Section 8 acknowledging the economic impact of requirements related to historic heritage.2. Add a clause to Section 8 stating no charge will be applied to a resource consent applicant or landowner for archaeological and/or cultural assessments.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.24(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas Objective 2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Section 8 Objective 2, Policies 2a and 2b should only apply to the most significant identified sites. It is unclear what is expected here, and it should not impinge on private property rights.

**Decision Requested:** Delete or clarify Section 8 - Objective 2, Policies 2a and 2b.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.25(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas 8.4)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Sites for acknowledgement and protection in the Plan should be those of “significance”. These would be known by all if significant. All others are covered by the accidental discovery protocol.

**Decision Requested:** Delete non-regulatory method 8.4.2

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	662	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gian McGregor and Michael Smither
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-----------------------------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337676](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	662.7(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports all 3 Objectives and seeks consistent implementation.

**Decision Requested:** Retain all 3 Objectives in 8.3 and implement consistently.

---

**Submitter Number:** 667

**Submitter:** Alison Gray, Robert Gray  
Catherine Matthews, David Gray

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337686](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	667.1(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of 302 Queen Street - B259 ML NOKENOKE as a Heritage Building and Heritage Site in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Delete 302 Queen Street - B259 ML NOKENOKE as a Heritage Building and Heritage Site in the Plan.

---



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	711	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hilary Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337762</a> ; <a href="#">3337761</a> ; <a href="#">3337760</a> ; <a href="#">3337759</a> ; <a href="#">3337758</a> ; <a href="#">3337764</a> ;		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	711.1(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Seeks inclusion of 13 archaeological sites as per 'Slipper Island New Zealand Archaeological Association site records' into the District Plan Schedule and some refinement of the Background of Section 8.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Background Section 8.1 to acknowledge survey work done on Slipper Island. Amend Issues 8.2 to acknowledge that sites on Slipper Island are at risk. Retain Objectives 1, 2 and 3; and Policies 1a, 1b and 2a. Add the recorded NZAA sites on Slipper Island to schedule A1.1 'Archaeological Sites Schedule' Table 1. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	759	<b>Submitter:</b>	Russell Skeet
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338088</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	759.1(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The intent of this submission is to suggest that statements made and objectives and policies espoused, around historic heritage do not present a coherent intent in sufficient detail to be of practical utility to a user. The plan rests solidly on the use of "values"; which are, perhaps at best, imprecise, subjective and intangible. Values are vulnerable to varying definition and interpretation, according to the agenda being pursued, with results that might not sit comfortably with the intent of the plan.

**Decision Requested:** A desired outcome would be the adoption of an amended policy as an interim measure while the plan segment was reworked perhaps using the Heritage Hauraki Coromandel forum as a vehicle for community input.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	759.3(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas )	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The plan needs to recognize the clear and present threat posed to historic heritage assets, particularly buildings, in light of the recent Canterbury earthquake and the consequent upgrading of legislation, rules and regulations relative to the construction (building) industry. The compliance thresh-hold has the potential to see heritage buildings demolished.

**Decision Requested:** The plan must be honest, clear, concise, definite and passionate in its statement of intent for heritage on the Coromandel, so that developers absolutely understand the position of heritage.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	759.6(Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The introduction paragraph refers, in part, to heritage values. Values, it is argued, are not tangible items. A value is not a building or a site or an item. The value of these tangible items is their presence and the subsequent use of the prescence to allow an interpretation of history. For the plan to have greater utility, it needs to define what constitutes historic heritage and what heritage values are.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 8:Amend 8.2 and 8.2.1, Policy 3c and 4b(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 772 **Submitter:** Margaret Harrison

**On behalf of:** Coromandel-Colville Community Board

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338195](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 772.21(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The issues objectives and policies need precise and consistent wording to protect heritage and cultural values, rather than general terms.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the rules, objectives and policies to ensure they clearly protect heritage values.

---

**Submitter Number:** 773 **Submitter:** J A Crimmins Crocker and D M Crocker

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338199](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 773.1(Overlay Map 31D - Queen St from Richmond to Sealey) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic

Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the inclusion of Queen Street section between Richmond Street and Sealey Street included in the Shortland Heritage Area.

**Decision Requested:** Exclude the section of Queen Street between Richmond Street and Sealey Street from the Shortland Heritage Area.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	773.2(Section 8; Appendix A1.3 Historic Heritage Items)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the intention behind the heritage provisions, including a list of heritage items that exhibit heritage value such as 300 and 302 Queen Street, though some important houses in Thames have been omitted.

**Decision Requested:** Retain a list of heritage items that exhibit heritage value.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	775	<b>Submitter:</b>	Frances Burton
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	----------------

**On behalf of:** Thames Heritage Collective

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338201](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	775.2(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
-----------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	--

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks a general strengthening of provisions in Section 8 and reference to RPS criteria and ICOMOS NZ charter.

**Decision Requested:** Full weight to be given to Plan's heritage provisions. Include archaeological sites; amend selected issues and policies; Add ICOMOS Charter criteria directions; Make changes to management of historic heritage items in and out of heritage areas.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 785 **Submitter:** Paul Cook

**On behalf of:** Opito Bay Ratepayers Association

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337942](#); [3337941](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.46(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports measures within the Plan to protect historic heritage, including identification of archaeological sites in the Opito Bay area.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.47(Section 8 Objective 2 and Policy 2a) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Objective 2 and Policy 2a.

**Decision Requested:** Amend:1) Objective 2 so that subdivision, use and development avoids, remedies or mitigates all adverse effects on archaeological sites and Maori cultural sites.2) Policy 2a to ensure protection of historic heritage by avoiding any degradation caused by subdivision, use and development.

---

**Submitter Number:** 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

**On behalf of:** Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338486](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.13(Section 8.1) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The background gives the impression that only 25 of the tens of thousands of sites met the significance criteria. The reason few sites are in the plan is that iwi refuse to list their sites with TCDC. Council has no basis for stating "The locations listed in the Schedule represent some of the most significant heritage resources in the District". Numerous Ngati Whanaunga sites that we consider of the utmost significance are not listed.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Rephrase Background to state "The District has in excess of 11,000 recorded archaeological sites". Indicate that this is likely to represent a small proportion of actual sites. 2. Separate these paragraphs.3. Lose the comparative statement [in quotations in Submission **Submission Summary**].(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.14(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Modify provisions to include cultural areas, not just sites, in line with Historic Places Act.

**Decision Requested:** Reword Section 8 Issue 1, Issue 2 and subsequent objectives and policies to add "or area".(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.15(Section 8 - new objective)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Given identification of lack of knowledge there should be an objective that council bring its knowledge of its historic heritage up to scratch.

**Decision Requested:** Add an objective that council bring its knowledge of its historic heritage up to scratch.

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.16(Section 8 - new method)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Iwi are reluctant to lodge information with councils, lack of protocols and properly developed technical solutions. Need as a method a commitment to addressing these issues so that iwi are able to release information and protect sites.

**Decision Requested:** Add non-regulatory method to develop process and technical solutions to facilitate Māori willingness to lodge site information with TCDC.

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.43(Section 8)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Iwi currently won't invest their sites knowledge with Council, a lack of trust, lack of protocols and mechanisms for intellectual ownership, management of information and technical solutions are all currently impediments to iwi listing sites, including for this overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Council has identified the need for a future plan change to

accommodate sites information post settlement.

---

**Submitter Number:** 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338258](#) ; [3338259](#) ; [3338260](#) ; [3338261](#) ; [3338262](#) ; [3338263](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.37(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Remove the number of archaeological sites from specific mention in the plan; these sites are not accurately recorded and nor is any buffer.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 8:1. Remove the word "value" from document. 2. Change "Maori cultural sites" to "sites of significant to Maori" 3. Remove archaeological sites from document.4. Add "and when an investigation is required on private property the Iwi and NZHPT who benefit from the information gained from the dig should be party to the cost. The dig is for the benefit of the public at large not the landowner."(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.70(Overlay Map 13, 14; Appendix A1.1 Table 1 - Items 23, 24, 25) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Object to archaeological overlay on our property. It's not necessary as it's covered by the NZHPA.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Remove archaeological overlay from our property.2.



The Plan needs a method that Iwi and Government will pay when NZHPT site excavated. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 799 **Submitter:** Gloria and Graeme Ready

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337925](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.10(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes use of the term "Maori Cultural Site" throughout the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan to replace the term "Maori Cultural Sites" with "Sites of Significance to Maori."

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.11(Section 8 - Maori Cultural Sites) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Suggests that "Maori Cultural Sites" must be of such significance that they are clearly identifiable and information relating to them is publicly available.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan to reflect the above concerns.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.9(Section 8.1.1 - para 2) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes reference to the New Zealand Archaeological Association (NZAA) in paragraph 2 of Section 8.1.1.

**Decision Requested:** Delete all reference to the NZAA, unless proof is included in the Plan that NZAA's data has been subject to independent validation or been officially sanctioned.

---

**Submitter Number:** 815

**Submitter:** Carol Margaret Isdale

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341978](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.2(Section 8.1  
Background)

**Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage:  
Archaeological Sites; Maori  
Cultural Sites; Historic  
Heritage Items and Historic  
Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to Section 8.1 Background.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the background by replacing 'heritage values' in the last sentence with 'historic heritage.'

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.3(Section 8.1.1  
Archaeological Sites; Maori  
Cultural Site)

**Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage:  
Archaeological Sites; Maori  
Cultural Sites; Historic  
Heritage Items and Historic  
Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to Section 8.1.1 by including additional text.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 8.1.1 by adding a new second paragraph recognising that all buildings and structures over 100 years old are part of our historic heritage (refer to submission for specific wording).

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.4(Section 8.1.1 Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendment to Section 8.1.1 to include a definition where the Waikato Regional Policy Statement (RPS) is referred to.

**Decision Requested:** Where the RPS is referred to include a reference that to clarify that Waikato or New Zealand history means our districts history being the old Auckland provincial area of New Zealand.

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.5(Section 8.1.2 Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to the opening statement of Section 8.1.2.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the start of Section 8.1.2 to read 'In the district plan all buildings and structures over 100 years old are deemed worthy of the designation of "heritage items" by virtue of the age.

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.6(Section 8.1.2 Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to the definition of historic heritage items to include all buildings and structures over 100 years old.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 8.1.2 to ensure that the terms Historic Heritage items includes all buildings and structures over 100 years old.

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.7(Section 8.2 Issues)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Supports issue 3.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.8(Section 8.3 Objectives and Policies)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Supports Objective 3 and seeks amendments to Objective 1 and Policy 3a, 3b, 3d, 3e to ensure the preservation of historic heritage and acknowledge ICOMOS.

**Decision Requested:** Seeks amendments to Objective 1 to emphasise the importance of Maori historic heritage; to Policy 3a, b, d and e to add reference to the ICOMOS charter; and to objective 4 to ensure that heritage values are not degraded (refer to the submission for specific wording).

---

**Submission Point No:** 815.9(Section 8.4 Non-regulatory methods)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to Section 8.4 to ensure local input into Council decisions on historic heritage and to recognise the New Zealand ICOMOS charter.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 8.4 by adding additional methods relating to the establishment of a heritage committee; designation of a staff member to support the heritage committee; and to acknowledge and incorporate the provisions and policies of the New Zealand ICOMOS charter (refer to original submission for specific wording).

---

**Submitter Number:** 817 **Submitter:** Liane Ngamane

**On behalf of:** Ngati Tamatera

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342046](#); [3342045](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.5(Section 8 - Historic Heritage - ) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 8 in that it recognises historic heritage and its importance to the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions as a matter of national importance. Raises concern that a precautionary approach has not been adopted towards archaeological sites and Maori Cultural sites.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 8 to reflect a precautionary approach towards Maori historic heritage.

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.6(Section 8 - Historic Heritage) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Notes that Section 8 does not recognise the significance of 11,000 archaeological sites has not been recognized and provided for in the Plan. Suggests that the New Zealand Historic Places Trust does not protect pre 1900 sites and facilitates the modification or destruction of them despite their significance to Maori.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan to include the 11,000 archaeological sites and to include Maori when determining significance.

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.7(Section 8.3 Objective 1)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 8.3 Objective 1 but notes that the historic and cultural values should also be enhanced; and that their protection is not only for the benefit of the districts history and culture but also to recognize and provide for the relationship of Maori, their culture and traditions, with these sites. Also notes the Policy 1a should provide for modification of sites for reconstruction or rehabilitation.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1 to recognise the importance of protection and enhancement and to recognise and provide for the relationship of Maori, their culture and traditions with these sites; and amend Policy 1a to recognise that in some cases modification for enhancement of cultural values is appropriate.

---

**Submitter Number:** 827      **Submitter:** Christopher Berry

**On behalf of:** Department of Conservation

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342091](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.15(Section 8.4 - Non-regulatory methods 1 and 2)      **Category**      Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Methods 1 and 2.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Methods 1 and 2.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	833	<b>Submitter:</b>	James Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342109</a> ; <a href="#">3342108</a> ; <a href="#">3342107</a> ; <a href="#">3342106</a> ; <a href="#">3342105</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	833.2(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports provisions in Section 8 and seeks protection of sites on Slipper Island to be included.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Objective 1 and extend its scope to sites on Slipper Island. Retain Policy 1a and 1b. Retain Objectives 2 and 3 and Policy 2a. Update A1.1 Archaeological sites schedule Table 1 to include sites on Slipper Island as per written submission. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	835	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ken and Marianne Nation
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342112</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	835.2(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of 80 Kopu Road into Historic Heritage Schedule.

**Decision Requested:** Do not show 80 Kopu Road on Historic Heritage Schedule and remove from any other Council office plan.

---

**Submitter Number:** 892

**Submitter:** Judith Jannis

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342164](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 892.1(Sections 8, 31;  
Appendix 1.3)

**Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage:  
Archaeological Sites; Maori  
Cultural Sites; Historic  
Heritage Items and Historic  
Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the deletion of historic properties from the current Plan. Support Boffa Miskell report in 1994 which forms part of the previous District Plan. Coromandel is reliant on its heritage ambience for its tourist business. Designated Heritage areas on the town map are not sufficient protection.

**Decision Requested:** 1. All items deleted in the Plan from the current Plan must be reinstated.2. Any new items in the Plan not in the current Plan should be retained.3. Extend the Heritage area to Whangapoua Road.4. Deny permission to demolish heritage houses and properties in the rules.5. Tighten up all the heritage rules.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 899

**Submitter:** Catherine Rose Mary Croft

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343113](#)



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	899.3(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Prohibit mining activities within and under the Historic Heritage Overlay.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Prohibit mining activities within and under the Historic Heritage Overlay.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	911	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Nikorima Robson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343196</a> ; <a href="#">3343195</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	911.1(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks separate Objectives and Policies in Section 8 to cater for Maori values.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include separate Objectives and Policies in Section 8 for Maori Cultural Sites from Archaeological Sites.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	912	<b>Submitter:</b>	Renee Annan
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-------------

<b>On behalf of:</b>	Coromandel Watchdog of Hauraki Inc		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343201</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	912.10(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Strengthen policies to protect all sites from effects of Mining Activities.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Strengthen policies to protect all sites from effects of Mining Activities.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	935	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sally Millar
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342192</a> ; <a href="#">3342193</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	935.7(Section 8 Historic Heritage)	<b>Category</b>	Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks deletion of reference to 'NZ Archaeological Association', and specific wording changes to Objectives and Policies.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete all references to the 'NZ Archaeological Association'. Amend Policies 2a and 2b. Add new Objective to acknowledge that the maintenance of historic heritage is not the sole responsibility of the property owner. Delete or reword Policy 1b. Amend Policy 3a and 3d. Retain Policy 3c. Clarify in Objective 4 that the owner can make functional use of the heritage		

item.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 961 **Submitter:** David Ramsay Foreman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343693](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 961.2(Section 8 Historic Heritage) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Partial support for Objectives and Policies for Heritage Items.

**Decision Requested:** Add 'and enhance' to Objective 1.Strengthen Objective 2 to include 'must' and other changes. Amend Policy 1a to read ' visual relationship with the streetscape and neighbouring properties. 'Add new issue : 'The historical use and context of a place, if it is a building.' Amend 1c (demolition), 1e (Relocation) and 1f (External addition or alteration).Change 'shall' to 'must' in Policies 1h (Maintenance and repair) and 1i (New or relocated building).Add additional matters to Policy 1k (Signs).Add aspects of materials used to Policy 1l (Fences).(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 961.3(Section 8 Historic Heritage) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendments to Objectives and Policies as they apply to Heritage Areas particularly with regard to implementing the 'Heritage Strategy for Coromandel Town'.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1a, 2b, 2c, and 2g. Refine Policy 2h (Fences). Include Objectives and Policies for heritage sites, which are currently not explicitly catered for in the Plan. Change Objective 2 to read: ' Subdivision, use and development occurring within a Heritage Area MUST maintain AND ENHANCE the important heritage characteristics and values that exist in these areas.' (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 964 **Submitter:** Catherine Donoghue

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343765](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 964.7(Section 8) **Category** Section 8 - Historic Heritage: Archaeological Sites; Maori Cultural Sites; Historic Heritage Items and Historic Heritage Areas

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Proper planning processes that incorporate heritage values should be compulsory in all our towns and villages.

**Decision Requested:** Make compulsory proper planning processes that incorporate heritage values in all our towns and villages.

**Category Name: PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

**Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character**

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	10	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephan Herzog
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3293429</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	10.3(Planning Map 24D - Overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the extent of the natural character overlay 180 and 210 Pumpkin Hill Road as it covers areas currently in grass.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the extent of the natural character overlay to remove the areas cleared of bush and currently in grass.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	100	<b>Submitter:</b>	Seba Illingworth
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3323153</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	100.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1000	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lucie Maskouai
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344365</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1000.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1001	<b>Submitter:</b>	Carrie Adlington
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344370</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1001.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1002	<b>Submitter:</b>	Susan Carpenter
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343380</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1002.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1004	<b>Submitter:</b>	Paul Larkin
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344371</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1004.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1005	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kail Watson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344373</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1005.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1006	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stanley Cohen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344382</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1006.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1007	<b>Submitter:</b>	Alex Schobel
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344383</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1007.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1008	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kim Willoughby
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344384</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1008.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1009	<b>Submitter:</b>	Pauline Allan-Downs
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344409</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1009.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	101	<b>Submitter:</b>	Leigh Edlinger
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3323632</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	101.9(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1010	<b>Submitter:</b>	William Downs
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344410</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1010.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1011	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ebba Berggund
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344414</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1011.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1012	<b>Submitter:</b>	T Lawrence
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344419</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1012.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1013	<b>Submitter:</b>	Anneliese Sally Keugler
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344420</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1013.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1014	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jen Swain Nicholls
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344421</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1014.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1015	<b>Submitter:</b>	Daniel Vasku
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344423</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1015.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1016	<b>Submitter:</b>	Susan Marie Lambert
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344431</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1016.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1017	<b>Submitter:</b>	Brian Shone
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344433</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1017.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1018	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rebecca Dunasemant
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344434</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1018.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1019	<b>Submitter:</b>	Guardarasine Zumi
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344437</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1019.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	102	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jude O'Connor
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3323797</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	102.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone. <b>Decision Reason:</b>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1020	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dave Atkins
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344443</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1020.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1021	<b>Submitter:</b>	Candice and Jason Deal
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344444</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1021.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1022	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graham Dan O'Gorman
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344445</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1022.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1023	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jonathan Evatt
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344446</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1023.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1024	<b>Submitter:</b>	Tracey Aparahama
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344452</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1024.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1025	<b>Submitter:</b>	Nova Deua
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344455</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1025.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1026	<b>Submitter:</b>	Annette Perkins
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344458</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1026.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1027	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jennifer Neal
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344460</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1027.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1028	<b>Submitter:</b>	Denise Greppi
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344464</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1028.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1029	<b>Submitter:</b>	Raewyn Gerrard
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344471</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1029.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1030	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michael Murphy
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344474</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1030.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1031	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kate Van Velzen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344487</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1031.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1032	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kim Costello
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344496</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1032.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1033	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jenny Rahman
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344498</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1033.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1034	<b>Submitter:</b>	Carol Black
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344507</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1034.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1035	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Not given
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344508</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1035.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1036	<b>Submitter:</b>	Richard Cruice
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344509</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1036.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1037	<b>Submitter:</b>	Strachan Rivers
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344529</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1037.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1038	<b>Submitter:</b>	Melissa Puschke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344534</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1038.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1039	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kerri Herring
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344535</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1039.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1040	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jonathan Key Shelton
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344578</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1040.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1041	<b>Submitter:</b>	Martin Tegg
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344585</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1041.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1042	<b>Submitter:</b>	Wayne Lallu
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344588</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1042.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1043	<b>Submitter:</b>	Shara Cairncross
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344609</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1043.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1044	<b>Submitter:</b>	Martin Johns
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344611</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1044.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1045	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mea Rapata
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344628</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1045.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1046	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ross McDonald
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344631</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1046.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1048	<b>Submitter:</b>	Martin Marshall
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344636</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1048.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1049	<b>Submitter:</b>	Peter Bankers
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344637</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1049.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the		

Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 105 **Submitter:** John Marks

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3325090](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 105.9(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1051 **Submitter:** Jimmy George

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344659](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1051.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.



**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1052 **Submitter:** Michelle Waring

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344660](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1052.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1053 **Submitter:** Patrick O'Dea

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344664](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1053.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1054 **Submitter:** Ron Schoolcraft

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344671](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1054.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1056 **Submitter:** Haim Barnes

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344674](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1056.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1057 **Submitter:** Amy Lewis

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344680](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1057.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1058 **Submitter:** Chris Oakley

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344682](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1058.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1059 **Submitter:** Jade Thompson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1059.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 106 **Submitter:** Penny Taylor

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3325267](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 106.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1060 **Submitter:** Geoffrey Prenthe

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344699](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1060.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1061 **Submitter:** Natalie B Poole

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344702](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1061.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1062 **Submitter:** Leela Woolf

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344705](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1062.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1063 **Submitter:** Ben Woolf

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344710](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1063.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1065 **Submitter:** Peter J Woolf

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344712](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1065.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1066 **Submitter:** Deborah McDonald Brown

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344730](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1066.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1067 **Submitter:** Paul Gray

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344731](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1067.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1068 **Submitter:** Shelley Carr

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344733](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1068.8(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose



**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1069 **Submitter:** Fraser Bruce

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344734](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1069.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1071 **Submitter:** Paul Rolton

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344740](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1071.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1073 **Submitter:** Arty Chambers

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344742](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1073.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1074 **Submitter:** Matthew Pearson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344752](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1074.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1075

**Submitter:** Tahī Eynon

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344753](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1075.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1077

**Submitter:** Sandra Ardern

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344757](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1077.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1078

**Submitter:** Andrea Por

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344759](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1078.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1080

**Submitter:** Alia Finn

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344763](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1080.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1081

**Submitter:** Kane Lowrie

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344766](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1081.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1082

**Submitter:** Ashlee Bishop

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344772](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1082.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and

Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1083

**Submitter:** Ana L Passadore

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344669](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1083.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1085

**Submitter:** Merena Russell

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344773](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1085.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1086	<b>Submitter:</b>	Cristian Fumagalli
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344777</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1086.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1087	<b>Submitter:</b>	Paul Hodson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344779</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1087.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1089	<b>Submitter:</b>	Justine Keating
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344789</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1089.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	109	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jacqueline Mitcalfe
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3325486</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	109.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1090	<b>Submitter:</b>	Joydah Bernardo
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344790</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1090.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1091	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jaqui Barrett
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344793</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1091.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1092	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rudo Greissworth
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344794</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1092.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1093	<b>Submitter:</b>	Leigh Peters
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344798</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1093.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1094	<b>Submitter:</b>	Bridgette Bowles
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344802</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1094.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1095	<b>Submitter:</b>	Chika Januka
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344806</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1095.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1096	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rachel Ryan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344809</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1096.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1097	<b>Submitter:</b>	Karamea Racey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344814</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1097.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1098	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rebekah Manly
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344816</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1098.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1099	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rosalie D Howard
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344817</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1099.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1100	<b>Submitter:</b>	Penryn Balluyn
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344833</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1100.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1101	<b>Submitter:</b>	Brian Doelenti
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344836</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1101.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1102	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ingrid Huygens
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344841</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1102.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1103	<b>Submitter:</b>	Diane Griffiths
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344862</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1103.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1104	<b>Submitter:</b>	Linley Edmeades
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344864</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1104.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1105	<b>Submitter:</b>	Linda Sayer
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344865</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1105.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1106	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jordan Lee Madden
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344866</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1106.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1107	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jesse Seymour
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344868</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1107.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1108	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ryan Green
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344871</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1108.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1109	<b>Submitter:</b>	P A Thomas
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344884</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1109.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1110	<b>Submitter:</b>	Leanne O'Keefe
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344885</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1110.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1111	<b>Submitter:</b>	Maggie Furlong
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344886</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1111.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1112	<b>Submitter:</b>	J Bartulovich
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344887</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1112.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1113	<b>Submitter:</b>	Zachariah Rutledge
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344888</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1113.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1114	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dal and Lesley Minogue
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344941</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1114.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Questions the landscape overlay on 84 Waihirere Drive (Map 7b overlays).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Would like to meet with Council staff to relook at the extent of the landscape overlay.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1115	<b>Submitter:</b>	Elizabeth Sykes
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344944</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1115.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1116	<b>Submitter:</b>	Joshua Underwood
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344965</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1116.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1117	<b>Submitter:</b>	Yoann Lebosse
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344981</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1117.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1118	<b>Submitter:</b>	Todd Fleming
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344983</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1118.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1119	<b>Submitter:</b>	James Rauch
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344984</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1119.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1120	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jurjen Haitsma
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344985</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1120.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1121	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ian Campbell
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344987</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1121.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1122	<b>Submitter:</b>	Veronica Keucke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344988</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1122.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1123	<b>Submitter:</b>	Cristina Schurch
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344990</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1123.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1124	<b>Submitter:</b>	Marcia Keucke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344992</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1124.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1125	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kajal McCartin
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344993</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1125.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1126	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lynda Astley
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344994</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1126.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1127	<b>Submitter:</b>	Chrissie Rayment
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344997</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1127.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1128	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Henderson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344999</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1128.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1129	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mariana Picolo
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345011</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1129.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1130	<b>Submitter:</b>	Tim Parsons
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345013</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1130.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1131	<b>Submitter:</b>	Samantha Haitsma
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345015</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1131.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1132	<b>Submitter:</b>	Amber No surname given
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345018</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1132.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1133	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hilary Donald
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344839</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1133.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1134	<b>Submitter:</b>	Malcolm Drennan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">334964</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1134.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1135	<b>Submitter:</b>	Tara Paetai
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345026</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1135.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1136	<b>Submitter:</b>	Peter Anderson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345057</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1136.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1137	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kim Anderson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345071</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1137.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1141	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sally Hutchison
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345143</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1141.1(Natural character provisions)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Natural Character Overlay on their property.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the natural character overlay from the property (address not provided).		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1143	<b>Submitter:</b>	Vicki Frances McClennan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345162</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1143.2(Natural character provisions)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Natural Character Overlay on their property.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Natural Character Overlay from the property (address not provided).		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1147	<b>Submitter:</b>	William Anthony Lee
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345181</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1147.2(Natural Character Overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Accepts the need to preserve and enhance native bush areas but suggests that the Significant Natural Areas (SNA) and Natural Character Overlays are not accurate.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend or delete the Natural Character and SNA Overlay; cross-reference to Section 29 Biodiversity Overlay Rules; and do not include pasture areas at 2830 Tairua-Whitianga Road within the Natural Character Overlay.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1150	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Lamason
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345202</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1150.11(Section 9.3 Policy 1a j))	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes Policy 1a j) within the outstanding landscape overlay relating to public access through subdivision to public land.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Policy 1a j).		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1150.12(Section 9.3 Policy 3d)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes policy 3d within the Natural Character Overlay relating to enhancement of natural character in the Coastal Environment.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend policy 3d to include the words maintenance and enhancement.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1161	<b>Submitter:</b>	Genevieve Morley
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Fern Lodge Coromandel		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345610</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1161.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1164	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Lamason
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Inspired Property Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345616</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1164.2(Overlay Map 29G - 879 Hikuai Settlement Road)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the Natural Character Overlay on 879 Hikuai Settlement Road.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Natural Character Overlay from 879 Hikuai Settlement Road on Map 29 G.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1167	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jasmin Hamilton
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345816</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1167.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1168	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ashley Kayla Lane
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345818</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1168.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1169	<b>Submitter:</b>	Robert Stott
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345819</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1169.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	117	<b>Submitter:</b>	Johanna van Kooten
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3327337</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	117.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1170	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mahia Haddon
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345820</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1170.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1171	<b>Submitter:</b>	Shaquille Haddon
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345822</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1171.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1172	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kale Webster
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345823</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1172.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1173	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stefanie Oberneder
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345824</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1173.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1174	<b>Submitter:</b>	Shannon Duncan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345846</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1174.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1175	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jessica Lockhart
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345847</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1175.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1176	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lloyd Tuarai McQueen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345849</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1176.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1177	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephanie McKee
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Karuna Falls Co-operative Society Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345927</a> ; <a href="#">3345932</a> ; <a href="#">3345939</a> ; <a href="#">3345942</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1177.3(Map 7 - Sec 2 Blk VI Harataunga SD - natural character overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the natural character overlay at 233 Waikanae Valley Road covering the settlement area of 50-60 acres.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Request the Council carry out "ground truthing" of the landscape at 233 Waikanae Valley Road before finalising the Natural Character Overlay boundaries.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1178	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lillian Adams
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3345972</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1178.3(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the Natural Character Overlay, the Amenity Landscape Overlay and any other restriction, on 57 Te Punga Road Whangapoua (Maori ancestral land) and 2332 Tiki Road Coromandel.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove any overlays and any other restriction on ancestral Maori land, particularly 57 Te Punga Road Whangapoua and 2332 Tiki Road Coromandel.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1188	<b>Submitter:</b>	Colin Clune
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Vodafone New Zealand Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3361656</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1188.1(Section 9.3 Policy 1c)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that Policy 1c sets an impractical expectation that network utilities shall not be located on natural ridgelines.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 1c to enable network utilities to be located on ridgelines and prominent landforms where there is a need to locate in that area and there is no reasonable alternative and amend the examples of mitigation.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1188.2(Section 9.3 Policy 2b, 3b and 3c)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Policy needs to acknowledge that there are times where network utilities are required to establish in Overlay areas.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 2b and 3b to note that in some in instances it may be necessary to install network utilities in the Amenity Landscape overlay. Amend Policy 3c to clarify the interpretation.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1190	<b>Submitter:</b>	Melissa Slatter - Beca Limited
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Wharekaho 2013 Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3367900</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1190.3(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the natural character overlay on areas in the 15 Wharekaho Road Site Development Plan identified for residential development.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Natural Character Overlay at 15 Wharekaho Road where it applies to areas identified for residential development.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1193	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ross Edens and others
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343109</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1193.15(Section 9 - Objective 4, Policies 4a, 4b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose Objective 4 and Policies 4a and 4b as they create ambiguity of where areas of "natural character" lie outside of or adjacent to the Natural Character Overlay. Some items listed in Policy 4b are concerning as they could remove landowner rights.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove Objective 4, and Policies 4a and 4b.		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1193.7(Overlay Maps 13 and 14)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose on Maps 13 and 14 the areas of our property that have been zoned as an "Amenity Landscape" where the land is largely pastoral grassland of an active farm (see attached maps in submission).		

**Decision Requested:** Remove the amenity landscape overlay from the property of: 550 Black Jack Road Part of title, 841 Black Jack Road Lot 2 DP 438903, 721 Black Jack Road Lot 1 DP 438903, 707 Black Jack Road Lot 3 DP 331209, and 621 Black Jack Road Lot 5 DP 428661.

---

**Submission Point No:** 1193.9(Overlay Maps 13, 14, 14A)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the zoning of land as "Natural Character" as shown on the attached maps as it creates ambiguity and does not allow transparency to what is being proposed.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the natural character overlay from our property, namely: 841 Black Jack Road Lot 2 DP 438903, 721 Black Jack Road Lot 1 DP 438903, 707 Black Jack Road Lot 3 DP 331209, and 621 Black Jack Road Lot 5 DP 428661.

---

**Submitter Number:** 1197      **Submitter:** Ben Castelow

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338589](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1197.3(Extension of Outstanding Landscape overlay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** TCDC has failed to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes'.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land as part of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1198	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rachel Harrison
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342838</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1198.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1199	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michael Gunson
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Surfbreak Protection Society		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338380</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1199.14(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concern that seascapes are not recognised in Natural Landscape overlays. Oppose the overlay at Whangamata and in particular its representation of the Whangamata Bar and the primary dune systems.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the landscape overlays and provisions to represent seascapes, surf breaks, particularly the Whangamata Bar and dunes that support the surf break, to give effect to the NZCPS Policy 16; and refine other overlays and planning maps.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1199.25(Section 9 - high value conservation areas)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The Plan fails to translate the 'High Value Conservation Areas' identified in Schedule 4 into Outstanding Natural Landscapes which include seascapes.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1200	<b>Submitter:</b>	Le Metayn Valentin
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344967</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1200.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1240	<b>Submitter:</b>	Katharina Kercher
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3344329</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1240.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	1241	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultant Ltd
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Powerco Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342088</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	1241.10(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks provisions for network utilities to locate in Section 9 overlay areas including signs and asset identification as permitted activities.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. In Section 9 Issues 1 and 2, add "inappropriate" before "subdivision".2. Retain Section 9 Objective 1, Policy 1e, Objective 2 and Policy 2b.3. Amend Section 9 Policy 1c to delete "such as by tunnelling underneath, camouflage or vegetative screens."4. Add new policy in Section 9 Objectives 1, 2, and 3 to recognise existing network utilities in outstanding and amenity landscapes and natural character areas and provide for them as per written submission.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	129	<b>Submitter:</b>	Wendy Pond
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	------------



**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3328906](#); [3328896](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 129.3(Section 9 Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The natural character overlay is not correctly located on Lots 22-24 DPS 4562.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the natural character overlay located on Lots 22-24 DPS 4562.

---

**Submitter Number:** 130      **Submitter:** Rebecca Beals

**On behalf of:** KiwiRail Holdings Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3329384](#); [3329386](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 130.11(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Policy 1c)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Landscape and Natural Character Policy 1c.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Landscape and Natural Character Policy 1c.

**Submitter Number:** 135      **Submitter:** Deb Brock

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3330126](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	135.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	136	<b>Submitter:</b>	Alex Pearce
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330127</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	136.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	137	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lotte Kristoffersen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330128</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	137.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	138	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jolanda Van der Putten
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330129</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	138.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	139	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sylvie Chasteau
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330130</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	139.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone. <b>Decision Reason:</b>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	140	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dean Atchinson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330131</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	140.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	141	<b>Submitter:</b>	Brian Dixon
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330140</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	141.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	142	<b>Submitter:</b>	Eric Zwaan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330139</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	142.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	143	<b>Submitter:</b>	Nancy Zwaan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330138</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	143.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	144	<b>Submitter:</b>	Colleen Sorensen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330137</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	144.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	145	<b>Submitter:</b>	Joanne Richards
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330136</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	145.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	147	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christin Atchinson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330132</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	147.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	148	<b>Submitter:</b>	Marc Van der Putten
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330133</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	148.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	149	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dylan Lajunen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330193</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	149.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	150	<b>Submitter:</b>	Anne Van Leeuwen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330213</a>		



---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	150.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	152	<b>Submitter:</b>	Julie Worth
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330299</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	152.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	153	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Erste and Maire Leadbeater
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330301</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	153.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	162	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jani Dennis
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330570</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	162.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	166	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dawn and Derek McMillan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330578</a>		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	166.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	169	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dirk Sieling - Sieling Farms
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Dirk and Kathy Sieling		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3329879</a>		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	169.2(Section 9 - 2 new principles, Section 32 - Rules 15 and 19)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports the intention in Section 9, but seeks protection of existing use rights.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 9 to add a bullet point that existing use rights must be protected; make earthworks and the clearance of vegetation for the purpose of fencelines, farm tracks and races a permitted activity in Natural Character areas; add a guiding principle to the Natural Character section that outcomes will be sought through collaboration, incentives and encouragement of voluntary remedies/solutions.		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	177	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christine Hatton
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	------------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330767](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 177.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 185    **Submitter:** Paula Kirkwood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331090](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 185.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 186    **Submitter:** Daniel Kirsch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331091](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 186.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 189    **Submitter:** Susan Racey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331385](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 189.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 19    **Submitter:** Julian Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3302799](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 19.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 191    **Submitter:** Otis Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331392](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 191.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 193    **Submitter:** Bonnie O'Keefe

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331393](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 193.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 195    **Submitter:** Jenny Kay Crawshaw

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331685](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 195.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 197    **Submitter:** Brent Crawshaw

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331686](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 197.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 199    **Submitter:** Daniela Suess

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331688](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 199.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 2    **Submitter:** Chris Mygind



<b>On behalf of:</b>	Mygind Family Trust		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3280286</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	2.4(Map 14A - Opito Bay - Overlay Map)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes removal of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay from Opito Bay (North) - Skippers Road Structure Plan (from Operative District Plan).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Reinsert the Outstanding Landscape Overlay over Opito Bay (North) - Skippers Road Structure Plan (from Operative District Plan).		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	201	<b>Submitter:</b>	Paul Schneider
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3331689</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	201.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	202	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lucia Lulutai Sues
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	--------------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331690](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 202.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 203    **Submitter:** Lizzie Sullivan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331415](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 203.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 206    **Submitter:** Amanda Ewing

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331416](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 206.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 207

**Submitter:** Shelley Ruhen and Jeff Whitfield

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331697](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 207.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 208

**Submitter:** Paul Lee Lee

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331419](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 208.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 209    **Submitter:** Keri Manuel

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331704](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 209.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 210    **Submitter:** Evelyne Siegrist

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3331420](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 210.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 22      **Submitter:** Gill Lamason

**On behalf of:** Planners Plus Limited David Lamason

**ECM File Numbers:** [3304484](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 22.2(Overlay Map 12D - 110 Omara Place)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the extent of the natural character overlay opposite 110 Omara Place, Matarangi (Map 12D overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Amend the natural character layer overlay so it does not extend over the road.

---

**Submitter Number:** 220      **Submitter:** Molly Sullivan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331447](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 220.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 221    **Submitter:** Kathryn Jury

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331448](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 221.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 222    **Submitter:** Cody Hill

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331449](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 222.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 227    **Submitter:** Erica Lattughi

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331848](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 227.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 23    **Submitter:** Rowena Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3304342](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 23.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 235      **Submitter:** Rosalie Steward

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331234](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 235.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the District Plan protecting and enhancing ecosystems in the District.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 238      **Submitter:** Erica Rose

On behalf of:



**ECM File Numbers:** [3332531](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 238.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 240    **Submitter:** Marcia Sowman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3332747](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 240.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 241    **Submitter:** Sidney Louis and Vicki May Ovesen

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3332749](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 241.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character - Map 33 Overlays)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays on 133 Puketui Road, Hikuai (Map 33 Overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Delete the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character overlays and undertake onsite assessments.

---

**Submitter Number:** 242      **Submitter:** Russell De Luca - Russell De Luca Consultancy Ltd

**On behalf of:** Waipapa Bay Protection Society

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333170](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 242.5(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** If the Sugar Loaf landing facility is zoned it should also be subject to the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.

**Decision Requested:** If the Sugar Loaf landing facility is zoned it should also be subject to the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.

---

**Submitter Number:** 258      **Submitter:** Jocelyn Elliott

**On behalf of:** Christopher John & Jocelyn Mary Elliott

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333574](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 258.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character Map 14C Overlays)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the extent of the natural character overlay on Map 14C Overlays at 260 Matapaua Bay.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the natural character overlay on Map 14C at 260 Matapaua Bay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 265

**Submitter:** Pat Wood

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333083](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 265.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 27

**Submitter:** Robert McGregor Thom and Barry Allan Hare

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3305008](#); [3343365](#); [3343366](#); [3343367](#); [3343368](#); [3343369](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 27.2(Overlay Map 13A - Natural Character Overlay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the natural character overlay applying to 5 residential zoned sections in Cuvier Crescent, Kuaotunu.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the natural character overlay from the five residential zoned section in Cuvier Crescent, Kuaotunu. (13, 15, 19, 23, 25 Cuvier Crescent Kuaotunu West).

---

**Submitter Number:** 273      **Submitter:** Klaus Pierstorff

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333849](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 273.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 275      **Submitter:** Stephanie Burgess

**On behalf of:** Davies Family Trust

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333883](#); [3333892](#); [3333898](#); [3333899](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 275.3(Section 9 Natural Character Overlay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes use of Natural Character Overlay in its entirety but particularly as it affects residential lots at 276 and 278 Colville Road, Kikowhakarere Bay on Planning Map 10a and land shown as 'proposed road to be stopped subject to final survey in consultation with Council engineers and leaseholders'.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the extent of the natural character overlay to exclude the portions of land on 278 and 276 Colville road and to exclude the area which contains 5 homes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 283      **Submitter:** Chris Budgen

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3333958](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 283.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 284      **Submitter:** Robyn (Saila) Wood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333995](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 284.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 289    **Submitter:** Colin Broadley

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334020](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 289.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 293    **Submitter:** Win and Dianne Tyrrell-Baxter

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333881](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 293.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 295    **Submitter:** Dave Howarth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334405](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 295.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 296    **Submitter:** Tony Banbrook

<b>On behalf of:</b>	Pauanui Dream Estate Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334636</a> ; <a href="#">3334638</a> ; <a href="#">3334637</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	296.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character - amenity landscape overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “amenity landscape overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the location and extent of the amenity landscape overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, to apply only to the elevated parts of the site zoned Rural (Plan Change 6); and retain the provisions of the amenity landscape overlay that limit the scope of regulation of tree planting to forestry activities; and do not regulate the planting of indigenous vegetation including trees for amenity purposes.</p>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	296.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character - natural character overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “natural character overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the location and extent of the natural character overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, so it does not apply to the parts of the site zoned Residential in accordance with Plan Change 6. Apply the natural character overlay to those parts of this site in Plan Change 6 to be set aside as a network of esplanade and other reserves.</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	298	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dean Glen
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334658</a> ; <a href="#">3334659</a>		



---

**Submission Point No:** 298.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character )      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Supports in part identifying outstanding landscapes and natural character landscapes, but opposes the location of landscape and natural character overlays on 272, 272A and 400C Black Jack Road, and raises concerns about their restrictions on reasonable use. Questions the need for the amenity landscape overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Retain areas not subject to overlays on Maps 13D and 13E.

Remove natural character overlay on 400C Black Jack Rd.

Remove the amenity overlay from: the farmed and grazed areas at 272 and 400C Black Jack Road; the driveway and paper road at and adjoining 272 Black Jack Rd, and the house and building area at 272A Black Jack Rd.

Within overlays, acknowledge existing consents and permitted activities. Remove Amenity Landscape from overlays; if not removed, then add objectives and policies that recognise existing activities and the right of people to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing from their land. Delete Section 9 Objective 4 and Policy 4a and 4b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 305      **Submitter:** Rachel Ives

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334291](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 305.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 306 **Submitter:** Sarah Wallingford

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334292](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 306.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 307 **Submitter:** Heidi Tirikatene-Nash

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334293](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 307.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 308 **Submitter:** Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334294](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 308.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 314 **Submitter:** Robin Spragg

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334237](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 314.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 315 **Submitter:** Rosanne Sullivan

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334238](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 315.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 32 **Submitter:** Developments Ltd Sky Mason

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3305024](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 32.2(Map 29B - Overlay) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose natural character overlay on Lots 1 and 2 DP374709.

**Decision Requested:** Delete natural character overlay in respect of Lots 1 and 2 DP374709.

---

**Submitter Number:** 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit

**On behalf of:** Environmental Defence Society Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334665](#) ; [3334666](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 320.15(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Supports identification of outstanding natural landscapes, amenity landscapes and natural character areas. Expresses general concern about the Plan's approach to managing 'outstanding natural features' and request tightening of Objectives and Policies to afford more specific protection to landscape and natural character values.

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character overlays applying in the area of New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay (Overlay Map 12), Hot Water Beach (Overlay Map 24A), and Te Karo Bay (Overlay Map 24C). Retain the outstanding landscape overlay of the islands and eastern headland at Hahei, and rezone the entire Hahei western headland as an outstanding landscape (Overlay Map 19). Retain the natural character overlays in Overlay Map 19, and extend the overlay to include the eastern Hahei headland. Retain the outstanding landscape overlay of the islands off the western and eastern headlands of Opito Bay (Overlay Map 14). Classify the 'amenity landscape' on the end of the western headlands of Opito Bay as an outstanding landscape (Overlay Map 13). Retain the extent of the outstanding natural landscape, amenity landscape and natural character area overlay maps, subject to amendments below. Apply New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement Policy 13(1)(a) to the entire natural character overlay. Provide for the matters in Resource Management Act Sections 6a, 6b and 7c, and Policies 13 and 15 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (particulars given in submission). Amend Background Section 9.1 to be more explicit on Regional Policy Statement and New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement requirements. Amend section 9.1.3 paragraph 3 to clarify that any change needs management to ensure amenity values are retained. Retain Issues in Section 9.2. Amend Objective 1 to read: "Prevent any degradation of the values of Outstanding Landscapes (including degradation caused by cumulative effects)." Amend Policies 1a and 1b to cater for avoidance of subdivision in high natural landscape areas and headlands, as per submission. Amend Policy 1c to

require Network Utility Operators to avoid or remedy and mitigate adverse effects as per submission. Amend Policy 1d as follows: "... (including by avoiding any minor effects) ..." Amend Policy 1e to define 'temporary effects' limiting 'temporary' to three days or less as per submission. Amend Objective 2 to require prevention of degradation of Amenity Landscapes. Amend Policy 2a to acknowledge that there will be cases where subdivision will need to be prohibited/prevented (detailed text in written submission). Strengthen Policy 2b to avoid, remedy or mitigate significant adverse effects on amenity landscapes in the Coastal Environment as per written submission. Amend Objective 3 to clarify direction: protect "from any adverse effects". Retain Policy 3a. Delete or amend Policy 3b to give effect to New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement Policy 13. Delete Policy 3c. Retain Policy 3d. Retain Objective 4 and amend Policy 4a to better reflect New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement requirements as per submission. Retain Policy 4b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 323 **Submitter:** Hennie Van Kooten

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335255](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 323.9(Section 9) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 324 **Submitter:** Jill Kaeppli

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335270](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 324.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 327    **Submitter:** Vanessa Mack

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335273](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 327.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 331    **Submitter:** Tina Spencer

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335326](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 331.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 337    **Submitter:** Angelika Poeschl

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335380](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 337.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 338    **Submitter:** Peter Schmuck-Poschl

**On behalf of:**



ECM File Numbers: [3335381](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 338.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 342    **Submitter:**                      Renee Annan

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335390](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 342.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 343    **Submitter:**                      Sarah Fallon

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335403](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 343.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 344    **Submitter:** Olivia Kaeppli

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3335179](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 344.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 346    **Submitter:** Graham Brown

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335404](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 346.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 349    **Submitter:** Frank Waitai

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335183](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 349.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 351    **Submitter:** Anthony Smythe

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335546](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 351.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 356    **Submitter:** Ben Parsons

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335309](#) ; [3343173](#) ; [3335310](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 356.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 358    **Submitter:** Chris Carlsson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334389](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 358.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character ) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the whole plan with regard to the cost for the landowners and also the effects on the values for the owners.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated

---

**Submitter Number:** 36 **Submitter:** Terry Murray Walker

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3305636](#); [3305638](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 36.2(Map 34E - Landscape and Natural Character Overlays) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay identified on 27 Brenton Place, Whangamata.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Natural Character Overlay on 27 Brenton Place, Whangamata (Map 34E).

---

**Submitter Number:** 360 **Submitter:** Simon Farrell-Green

**On behalf of:** Blackjack Protection Society

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335185](#); [3335186](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 360.11(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports outstanding natural landscape, amenity landscape and natural character overlays applied to the western and eastern headlands of Opito Bay, Map 14.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the overlays to make the amenity landscape applied to the western and eastern headlands of Opito Bay outstanding landscape.

---

**Submitter Number:** 377    **Submitter:** Kim Coppersmith

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336411](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 377.1(Overlay Map 29)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose natural character overlay on 492 Hikuai Settlement Road, Hikuai.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the natural character overlay from 492 Hikuai Settlement Road, Hikuai.

---

**Submitter Number:** 378    **Submitter:** Gary Fowler

**On behalf of:** Hikuai District Trust

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336412](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 378.1(Overlay Map 29)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose natural character overlay along the Tairua River margins.

**Decision Requested:** Remove natural character overlays from Overlay Map 29 along the coastal margin of the Tairua River.

---

**Submitter Number:** 385      **Submitter:** Merrilyn Louden

**On behalf of:** Rex and Merrilyn louden

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337162](#); [3336300](#); [3337164](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 385.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay in its entirety.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the Natural Character Overlay in its entirety.

---

**Submitter Number:** 390      **Submitter:** Steve & Rosemary Garland

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336782](#); [3336783](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	390.4(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the Landscape Amenity and Natural Character overlays on 67 Woods Road South. Also oppose lack of evidence for these, the costs for owners, the effect on values for owners, and the effect on the numbers of interested buyers.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Consult with affected landowners.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	391	<b>Submitter:</b>	Simon Powell
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337302</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	391.2(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose the Natural Character Overlay in Planning Maps as invalid, broad, with superficial description.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Require much greater local knowledge so the overlay maps have authenticity in relation to the natural environments.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	394	<b>Submitter:</b>	Anne Beston
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337433</a> ; <a href="#">3337427</a>		



---

**Submission Point No:** 394.1(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the provisions and objectives listed in Section 9 in their entirety.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 396    **Submitter:** Rachel Garden

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337443](#); [3337445](#); [3337439](#); [3337439](#); [3337441](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 396.2(Section 9 - 22 Springfield Ave (Map 26 D Overlay))                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the extent of the Natural Character Overlay at 22 Springfield Avenue (Map 26D Overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Amend the extent of the Natural Character Overlay at 22 Springfield Avenue to reflect what is actually on the ground (Map 26 D Overlays).

---

**Submitter Number:** 397

**On behalf of:** Thames-Coromandel District Council

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337663](#); [3337643](#); [3344601](#); [3344635](#); [3344662](#); [3344670](#); [3376232](#); [3348161](#); [334](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 397.20(Section 9 - Para 2 Sentence 4)  
**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support  
**Submission Summary:** Seeks amendment to paragraph 2 of 9.1.4 (Natural Character).  
**Decision Requested:** Amend paragraph 2 of 9.1.4 by deleting the last sentence.

---

**Submission Point No:** 397.21(Section 9 - Objective 4, Policy 4a, Policy 4b; Section 24 - new Policies 5e and 6d)  
**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support  
**Submission Summary:** Seeks the deletion of Objective 4, Policy 4a and Policy 4b ("Areas of natural character out  
**Decision Requested:** Delete all of Objective 4, Policy 4a and Policy 4b ("Areas of natural

---

**Submitter Number:** 399 **Submitter:** William & Carrie Davies  
**On behalf of:**  
**ECM File Numbers:** [3337588](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 399.2(Amenity Landscape and Natural Character overlays) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character  
**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose  
**Submission Summary:** Oppose the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.  
**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 399.4(Landscape and Natural Character overlays) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the landscape and natural character overlay, especially in relation 235 & 268 Colville Road, Kikowhakarere Bay & Shelly Bay.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 4 **Submitter:** Peter Roscoe

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3279868](#); [3337894](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 4.4(Map 29G - Pauanui Inset Map) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay on 896A and 896B Hikauai Settlement Road because of existing resource consent and adjacent zones.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Natural Character Overlay from 896A and 896B Hikauai Settlement Road.

---

**Submitter Number:** 40 **Submitter:** Ruth Cargill

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3309437](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 40.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 402 **Submitter:** Barbara Meredith

**On behalf of:** Barbara Meredith and Glenda Mawhinney

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337631](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 402.2(Overlay Map 13 - 593B SH25 Kuaotunu-Wharekaho) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the natural character overlay and the amenity landscape overlay on 593B Kuaotunu-Wharekaho Road (Map 13 overlay)

**Decision Requested:** Delete the natural character overlay and the amenity landscape overlay from 593B SH25 Kuaotunu-Wharekaho Road (Map 13 overlay).

---

**Submitter Number:** 405 **Submitter:** Joyce Birdsall

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337657](#); [3337658](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.10(Section 9.1.3) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the last sentence in Section 9.1.3 paragraph 3.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9.1.3 to change the emphasis of the last sentence in paragraph 3 to maintaining the main elements of the landscape.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.11(Section 9.2)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.2 Issues.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.12(Section 9 - Objective 1)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose that areas of outstanding natural landscape should be used for subdivision, use or development.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1 to read: "Outstanding landscapes remain outstanding and their values and characteristics are protected from inappropriate subdivision use and development and resulting adverse cumulative effects."

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.13(Section 9 - Policy 1c)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 1c.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.14(Section 9 - Policy 1e)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes policy 1e.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete policy 1e.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.15(Section 9 - Objective 2)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports objective 2.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.16(Section 9 - Policy 2a b))	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports in part Section 9.3 Policy 2a b), but notes that if locating below the ridge line is not possible, then consent should not be granted.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 2a b) to read: "Avoid prominent landscape features unless locating below the ridgeline would cause significant geotechnical or erosion issues or would damage natural character."		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.17(Section 9 - Objective 3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 9.3 Objective 3.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.18(Section 9 - Policy 3a)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Policy 3a and notes that "Seawalls" are entered twice.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 3a to remove "Seawalls" being entered twice.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.19(Section 9 - Policy 3b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 9.3 Policy 3b.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.20(Section 9 - Policy 3c)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes Section 9.3 Policy 3c.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Clarify Policy 3c.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.21(Section 9 - Policy 3d)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that the 100 year timeframe in Policy 3d i) means nothing will happen.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete "over a 100 year timeframe" in Policy 3d i).		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	405.22(Section 9 - Objective 4)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Objective 4.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.23(Section 9 - Policy 4a) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Policy 4a.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 405.24(Section 9 - Policy 4b) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Policy 4b but notes that the 100 year timeframe in i) means nothing will happen.

**Decision Requested:** Delete "over a 100 year timeframe" from Policy 4b i)

---

**Submitter Number:** 408

**Submitter:** Keith Johnston

**On behalf of:** Lagan Holdings Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336464](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 408.3(Map 38A - 315 The Drive (Lagan Holdings)) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose



**Submission Summary:** Oppose the natural character overlay map as onerously inaccurate, and potentially counter-productive.

**Decision Requested:** The "natural areas" on Lagan Holdings Ltd. land block need to be tested to justify inclusion in the Plan.

---

**Submitter Number:** 409 **Submitter:** Ian Johnson - Environmental Management Services

**On behalf of:** Roy Carter

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336461](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 409.2(Overlay Map 19A - natural character overlay on and adjacent to 40 Grange Road) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks review and amendment of the Natural Character Overlay at 40 Grange Road, 5 Tutaritari Road and adjacent properties, to accurately reflect what is actually on the ground.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the natural character overlay from areas that do not exhibit natural character values.

---

**Submitter Number:** 41 **Submitter:** Amrita McNab

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3309436](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 41.9(Section 9) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 410 **Submitter:** Ian Johnson - Environmental Management Services Ltd

**On behalf of:** Hahei Limousin Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336460](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 410.20(Section 9 - Outstanding Landscape) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the Outstanding Landscape Overlay but questions the basis on which the boundaries were established at 120 Lees Road (Pt Sec 25 Blk XII Otama SD) (Map 18 overlay).

**Decision Requested:** Review the extent of the Outstanding Landscape Overlay at 120 Lees Road (Pt Sec 25 Blk XII Otama SD) to relate only to areas that justify being so defined (Map 18 overlay).

---

**Submission Point No:** 410.21(Section 9 - Amenity Landscape) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the Amenity Landscape Overlay but questions the rationale for the extent of the overlay at 120 Lees Road (Pt Sec 25 Blk XII Otama SD ) (Map 18 overlay).

**Decision Requested:** Review the extent of the Amenity Landscape Overlay at 120 Lees Road (Pt Sec 25 Blk XII Otama SD) to relate only to areas that exhibit characteristics that distinguish it from the wider rural locality (Map 18

overlay).

---

**Submitter Number:** 416 **Submitter:** Murray Edens

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337823](#) ; [3337824](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 416.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character ) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks removal of the Amenity Landscape Overlay for properties on Maps 13 and 14 Overlays Opito and Otama Bay.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Amenity Landsscape Overlay from 550 BJR Part of title, 841 BJR Lot 2 DP 438903, 721 BJR Lot 1 DP 438903, 707 Lot 3 DP 331209, 621 BJR Lot 5 DP 42661 (Map 13 and 14 overlays).

---

**Submission Point No:** 416.3(Section 9 Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of land on Planning Maps 13, 14 within the Natural Character Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Remove Natural Character overlay from 841BJR Lot 2, DP 438903, 721 BJR Lot 1 DP 438903, 707 BJR Lot 3 DP 331209, 621 BJR Lot 5 DP 428661 (Map 13 and 14 Overlays).

---

**Submission Point No:** 416.9(Section 9.3 Natural Character Overlay) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks removal of Objective 4 and Policies 4a and 4b which manage areas of natural character outside of the Natural Character Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Remove Objective 4 and Policies 4a and 4b which manage areas of natural character outside of the Natural Character Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 42 **Submitter:** Adam Coleman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3309268](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 42.9(Section 9) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 421 **Submitter:** Martin Williams - Shakespeare Chambers

**On behalf of:** Tasman Buildings Limited

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338068](#); [3338069](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 421.17(Section 9.1.4 Natural Character) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the natural character overlay applied to Motukopake Island, Waimate Island, Rangipukea island, Whanganui Island and land around the tip of the Ruffin Peninsula.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the natural character overlay from Tasman-owned properties (Motukopake Island, Waimate Island, Rangipukea island, Whanganui Island and land around the tip of the Ruffin Peninsula).

---

**Submission Point No:** 421.6(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Objective 3 and Policies 3a - 3d, particularly the avoidance imperative of 'damage, diminish or compromise' and seeks definition of "Coastal Forests".

**Decision Requested:** Retain Objective 2 and Policy 2a but amend Policy 2a b) and c) to be more specific as per submission's details. Amend Objective 3 to read "The natural character of the Coastal Environment, wetlands, and lakes and rivers and their margins is protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and where possible and appropriate, enhanced." Amend Policy 3a to avoid reference to 'biodiversity or ecological resilience areas,' 'Coastal Forests' and the requirement to avoid clearance of vegetation. Amend Policy 3c to read "Buildings and other structures shall be located and designed to integrate with the surrounding landscape within the Natural Character Overlay." Amend Policy 3d by adding the words "where practicable and appropriate through subdivision, use and development" after the word "promoted". Delete "over a 100 year timeframe" from Policy 3d i). Delete Objective 4.

---

**Submitter Number:** 43    **Submitter:** Stephen Fowler

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3309249](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 43.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 439 **Submitter:** Maggie Johnson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342313](#); [3342315](#); [3334901](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 439.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 44 **Submitter:** Tammy McGregor

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3309248](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 44.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 442 **Submitter:** Karen Raynolds

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342866](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 442.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 443 **Submitter:** Kerry Watson

**On behalf of:** Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342136](#); [3382330](#); [3382332](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 443.18(Section 9.3 Amenity Landscape Overlay) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Policy 2a with amendments to recognise that rehabilitation is one way to enhance amenity landscapes.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policy 2a to add the word 'rehabilitation' as a way of enhancing amenity landscapes.(refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 443.19(Section 9.3 Natural Character Overlay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Policy 3c, relating to natural character, with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policy 3c to add the words 'avoided, remedied or mitigated' at the end of the sentence.(refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 443.5(Section 9.3 Outstanding Landscape Overlay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Policy 1a and 1e with amendments to Policy 1a to remove reference to indigenous vegetation.(refer to original submission for specific wording)

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policy 1a to delete c) reference to indigenous vegetation cover; and retain Policy 1e. (refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 444

**Submitter:** Sara Cobo

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342875](#)

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	444.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	445	<b>Submitter:</b>	Alan Alfred Bartrom
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342867</a> ; <a href="#">3342876</a> ; <a href="#">3341979</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	445.2(Section 9 Natural Character Overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Plan's use of a 'Natural Character Overlay'.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete 'Natural Character Overlay' in its entirety.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	446	<b>Submitter:</b>	Laetitia Petitsean
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342877</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	446.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and
-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------	---------------------------

Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 447

**Submitter:** Maya Meijer

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342878](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 447.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 449

**Submitter:** Rebecca Reider

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342147](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	449.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	45	<b>Submitter:</b>	Corina Haselhoff
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309247</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	45.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	451	<b>Submitter:</b>	Geoffrey Mason
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342149</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	451.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	453	<b>Submitter:</b>	Murray Brown
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343297</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	453.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	454	<b>Submitter:</b>	Max Challie
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343299</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	454.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	455	<b>Submitter:</b>	Donna Marie Gray
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343318</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	455.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	456	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jack Hutchings
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343319</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	456.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	459	<b>Submitter:</b>	Paul Keesing
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334917</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	459.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	46	<b>Submitter:</b>	Karen Rubado
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309246</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	46.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	461	<b>Submitter:</b>	Donald Shaw
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334921</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	461.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	464	<b>Submitter:</b>	Korbinian Poschl
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334706</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	464.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	465	<b>Submitter:</b>	Franziska Poeschl
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334728</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	465.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	466	<b>Submitter:</b>	Alexander Fulton
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334734</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	466.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	47	<b>Submitter:</b>	Louise Deane
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309245</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	47.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	470	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lorenz G Poeschl
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334774</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	470.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	473	<b>Submitter:</b>	Maria van der Meel
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334743</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	473.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	475	<b>Submitter:</b>	Melissa Spencer
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334800</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	475.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	476	<b>Submitter:</b>	Trif Sitnikoff
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334803</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	476.2(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The environment and community need to be protected from the harmful effects of mining and coastal development, which can irrevocably change the beautiful character of the landscape.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	48	<b>Submitter:</b>	Anna Galvin
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309244</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	48.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	480	<b>Submitter:</b>	Nicola Gibbons
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334849</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	480.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	482	<b>Submitter:</b>	Liliana Morande
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334900</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	482.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	483	<b>Submitter:</b>	Trevor Ross
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334814</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	483.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	484	<b>Submitter:</b>	Abigail Smith
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334912</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	484.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	486	<b>Submitter:</b>	Caroline Snook
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334983</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	486.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	487	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christina Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3334932</a> ; <a href="#">3334931</a> ; <a href="#">3337023</a> ; <a href="#">3337022</a> ; <a href="#">3337021</a> ; <a href="#">3337082</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	487.5(Section 9.3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objective 1, 2, 3 and 4 and Policies 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 4a and 4b as they relate to Slipper Island.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Apply the Natural Character Overlay to Slipper Island (Map 30 Overlays).		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	489	<b>Submitter:</b>	Suzanne Beer
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335006</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	489.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	49	<b>Submitter:</b>	Brenda Wright
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309243</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	49.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	491	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sandra Good
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335007</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	491.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	492	<b>Submitter:</b>	Richard and Brenda Kelsey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335070</a> ; <a href="#">3335071</a> ; <a href="#">3335229</a> ; <a href="#">3413840</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	492.2(Section 9.1.4 Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Natural Character Overlay and all its associated provisions.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Natural Character Overlay in its entirety.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	492.6(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks removal of Natural Character Overlay from 4 areas south and west of the mouth of Furey's Creek.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove Natural Character Overlay from 4 areas south and west of the mouth of Furey's Creek.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	498	<b>Submitter:</b>	Inge Bolt
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335100</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	498.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	50	<b>Submitter:</b>	Trudy Morrison
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309242</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	50.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	503	<b>Submitter:</b>	Catherine Herbert
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335121</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	503.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	504	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gary Comrie
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335125</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	504.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	509	<b>Submitter:</b>	Shiobhan Flanigan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335050</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	509.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	51	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hans Van den Broek
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309241</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	51.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	515	<b>Submitter:</b>	Katherine Piper
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335142</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	515.3(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character - 27 Purangi Landing, Map 18J Overlays)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay on 27 Purangi Landing (Map 18J Overlays).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay on 27 Purangi Landing		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	520	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kevin Harris
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335221</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	520.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	523	<b>Submitter:</b>	Simon Lear
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335224</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	523.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

**Submitter Number:** 524 **Submitter:** John and Verona McLeod

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335241](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 524.10(Section 9.3 Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Policy 3d and 4b using the worlds "shall be promoted" and may include "but is not limited to."

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 524.20(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposed identification of the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character overlay on 1208 Kennedy Bay Road (Map 11C Overlays)

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlay from 1208 Kennedy Bay Road (Map 11C Overlays).

---

**Submission Point No:** 524.8(Section 9.1 Background) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes identification of landscape and natural character on private property.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 524.9(Section 9.2 Issues)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** The District Plan treats human intervention as a negative. Council should be looking to work with landowners to stem the flow of weeds.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 525      **Submitter:** Jude Lay

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335248](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 525.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 527      **Submitter:** Peter Garrick

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335622](#)

---

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	527.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	53	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lyn Dallison
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309708</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	53.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	532	<b>Submitter:</b>	Max Toomey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335680</a>		



---

**Submission Point No:** 532.4(Section 9 - Waikawau Bay and Little Bay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Landscape Overlay over the Waikawau Bay and Little Bay area (Map 7 overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Amend the overlay over the Waikawau Bay and Little Bay area to be Outstanding Landscape instead of Natural Character.

---

**Submission Point No:** 532.5(Section 9 - Amenity Landscape in Maps 7, 7A)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Amenity Landscape (Map 7, 7A overlays).

**Decision Requested:** The Amenity Landscape area should not have any overlay, other than Coastal Environment. Also the areas with only Amenity Landscape Overlay need to be enlarged so as to correspond with existing use.

---

**Submitter Number:** 534      **Submitter:** Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council

**On behalf of:** Waikato Regional Council

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336040](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 534.4(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Expresses general support of Section 9 and seeks amendments to include the need to restore natural character where it has been degraded, to acknowledge that vegetation clearance and earthworks can also have a detrimental effect on landscape and natural character values and to strengthen requirements for

development setback from the coast.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9.1.4 to add '...and to restore natural character where it has been degraded.' Retain Issue 1 and add 'numerous' to small activities in h). Amend Issue 2 to replace 'especially' with 'including'. Add new Issue 3 to highlight that appropriate subdivision and use can be utilised to enhance natural character in already degraded areas. Retain Objective 1. **Cross-reference Section 19:** Delete Policy 1c and add to section 19. Delete Policy 1e. Retain Objective 2 and include historic and scientific values and natural features. Amend Policy 2a to recognise that earthworks and vegetation clearance are also activities that need to be managed to meet the objective. Retain Policy 2b. Retain Objective 3. Amend Policy 3a by deleting all activities and only specifying areas. Amend Policy 3b to not only require 'significant' adverse effects on natural character in the Coastal Environment to be avoided as per written submission. Amend Policy 3c to be more specific on how development needs to integrate with natural values. Add new Policy to manage coastal and riparian margins as per written submission. Retain Policy 3d with wording amendments as per written submission. Retain Objective 4. Retain Policy 4b and replace 'natural character processes' with 'natural ecological processes'.

---

**Submitter Number:** 535 **Submitter:** Robert McGregor Thom and Barry Hare

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335812](#); [3335819](#); [3335818](#); [3335817](#); [3335816](#); [3335815](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 535.1 (Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes any restrictions imposed on Lot 2 DPS 25891, Lot 3 DPS 25892, Lot 5 DPS 25892, Lot 23 DPS 25892, Lot 8 DPS 25892 through Landscape and Natural Character Overlay provisions.

**Decision Requested:** Remove restrictions as they apply on Lot 2 DPS 25891, Lot 3 DPS 25892, Lot 5 DPS 25892, Lot 23 DPS 25892, Lot 8 DPS 25892 through Landscape and Natural Character Overlay provisions.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	538	<b>Submitter:</b>	Simon Ritchie
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336043</a> ; <a href="#">3336042</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	538.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the use the Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character Overlay because of perceived inaccuracies of the overlays on a property specific basis.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Any rules that are based on the Landscape and Natural Character Overlay Maps should only apply after a property specific assessment involving the landowner has confirmed those values. The assessment costs should not be carried by the landowner.</p>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	54	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dan Redfern
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3309688</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	54.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.</p>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	542	<b>Submitter:</b>	Toralee McKenzie
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336329</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	542.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	543	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rowan Campbell
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336470</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	543.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

**Submitter Number:** 547

**Submitter:** Sue Wright

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.11(Section 9.1.2 - "outstanding landscape" term)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9.1.2 where it discusses shortening of the term "outstanding natural features and landscapes" to "outstanding landscape" in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9.1.2 to replace the term "outstanding landscape" with "outstanding natural features and landscapes."

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.12(Section 9.1.3)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Questions Section 9.1.3 relating to Amenity Landscapes and how the landscape's balance can be retained by maintaining the main elements through subdivision and development.

**Decision Requested:** Explain how the landscapes balance can be retained by maintaining the main elements through subdivision and development.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.13(Section 9.1.4)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports reference to the Coromandel Peninsula Ecological Assessment and Natural Character, Jan 2010 in Section 9.1.4.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.14(Section 9.2 - Issues)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 9.2 issue 2 but suggest that issue 1 points a) to h) should "avoid" rather than "mitigate" or "remedy".		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.16(Section 9.3 - Policy 1b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendments to Section 9.3 Policy 1b to add new bullet point e).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 1b by adding a new bullet point: "e) To monitor resource and building requirements to ensure the rules are adhered to."		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.17(Section 9.3 - Policy 3d)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Suggests that use of the word "may" in Section 9.3 Policy 3d allows too much room to deviate from bullet points a) to j).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 3d to replace the word "may" with "must."		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.18(Section 9.3 - Objective 3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Suggests that Objective 3 relating to the Natural Character Overlay must be indicated on a map.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Indicate Objective 3 on a map.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	547.19(Section 9.3 - Objective 4)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Suggests that Objective 4 relating to areas of natural character outside of the Natural Character Overlay must have reference to a map.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Reference Objective 4 on a map.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	549	<b>Submitter:</b>	Erik Hayward
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336471</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	549.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	550	<b>Submitter:</b>	Toni Earby
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336561</a>		
<hr/>			

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	550.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	552	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephen Nathan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336483</a> ; <a href="#">3336484</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	552.2(Section 9.1 Background)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays on 879, 891 and 867 Tuatēawa Road.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the overlays over 879, 891 and 867 Tuatēawa Road to align with the existing use and vegetation. Change the Natural Character Overlay to Outstanding Landscape Overlay.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	553	<b>Submitter:</b>	Johnathan Tukerangi
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336744</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	553.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	555	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gary Blake
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336569</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	555.5(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	A pleasing landscape is desirable but the land owners must be involved.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	559	<b>Submitter:</b>	Steve Lydford
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336574</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	559.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and
-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------	---------------------------

Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 560

**Submitter:** Aled De Malmanche

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336575](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 560.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 561

**Submitter:** Angelique Kasmara

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336576](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	561.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	562	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rosemary Stone
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336577</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	562.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	563	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sebastian Scholz
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336579</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	563.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	564	<b>Submitter:</b>	Nalan Kirsch
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336581</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	564.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	566	<b>Submitter:</b>	Eve McCarthy
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337015</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	566.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	567	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rebecca Priaulx
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337017</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	567.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	568	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ben and Donna Grubb
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336591</a> ; <a href="#">3336590</a> ; <a href="#">3336589</a> ; <a href="#">3336588</a> ; <a href="#">3336587</a> ; <a href="#">3336586</a> ; <a href="#">3336584</a> ; <a href="#">3349405</a> ; <a href="#">333</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.45(Section 9 - Issue 1 h))	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support Issue 1 h).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Section 9Retain Issue 1 h).		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.46(Section 9 - Policy 1d)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support Policy 1d.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Section 9Retain Policy 1d.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.47(Section 9 - Issue 2 b))	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support Issue 2 b).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In Section 9 retain Issue 2 b).		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.48(Section 9 - Issue 1 a) and b))	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	More properly discourage visible man-made elements in outstanding areas.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In Section 9 Issue 1 a): delete " <del>unobtrusive</del> " and substitute " <u>inconspicuous</u> ". Issue 1 b): delete.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	568.49(Section 9 - Policy 1a)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** More properly discourage visible man-made elements in outstanding areas.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policy 1a as follows:b) Deleted) Delete "~~promin~~" and substitute "all"e) Delete "~~obscuring~~" and substitute "degrade the appearance"

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.50(Section 9 - Policy 1b)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** More properly discourage visible man-made elements in outstanding areas.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 9 Policy 1b d): delete "~~prominent~~" and add "when viewed from public land".

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.51(Section 9 - Policy 1e)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** More properly discourage visible man-made elements in outstanding areas.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 9 delete Policy 1e.

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.52(Section 9 - Policy 2b)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** More properly discourage visible man-made elements in outstanding areas.

**Decision Requested:** Delete "~~mitigated~~".

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.53(Section 9 - Objective 3 and its policies)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose that the policies only seem to apply to areas within the Coastal Environment, or a wetland or margin or a lake or river.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 9 rewrite Objective 3 and its policies to include all areas Natural Character Overlay.

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.54(Section 9 - Policy 3b)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Oppose use of the term "mitigated" in Section 9.3 Policy 3b.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 9 delete "mitigated" from Policy 3b.

---

**Submission Point No:** 568.55(Section 9 - Policy 3c)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** More properly discourage visible man-made elements in outstanding areas.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 9 amend Policy 3c as follows: "Buildings and other structures shall be located and designed to integrate with the surrounding Natural Character overlay ~~adverse effects on Natural Character~~ and be inconspicuous."

---

**Submitter Number:** 569      **Submitter:** Jennifer Annan

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337034](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 569.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character



**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 57

**Submitter:** Martin Lawrence Smith

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3310252](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 57.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 572

**Submitter:** Colleen Toomey

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337056](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 572.3(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay over the Waikawau Bay and Little Bay area (Map 7 and 7A overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Amend Map 7 and 7A to replace the Natural Character Overlay with the Outstanding Landscape Overlay in the Waikawau Bay and Little Bay area.

---

**Submission Point No:** 572.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Amenity Landscape Overlay on at 879 Tuatēawa Road (Lot No. DPS 14618).

**Decision Requested:** Remove all overlay, other than the Coastal Environment, from 879 Tuatēawa Road.

---

**Submitter Number:** 573

**Submitter:** Kate Mitcalfe

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337068](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 573.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	574	<b>Submitter:</b>	Fiona McLaughlin
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337095</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	574.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	575	<b>Submitter:</b>	Barbara Beate Ingrid Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337097</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	575.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “amenity landscape overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the location and extent of the amenity landscape overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, to apply only to the elevated parts of the site that are zoned Rural (Plan Change 6). Retain the provisions of the amenity landscape overlay that limit the scope of regulation of tree planting to forestry activities and do not regulate the planting of indigenous vegetation including trees for amenity purposes.		

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	575.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “natural character overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the location and extent of the natural character overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, so it does not apply to the Residential zoned parts of the site (Plan Change 6). Apply the natural character overlay to parts of this site indicated in Plan Change 6 to be set aside as a network of esplanade and other reserves.</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	576	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gregory Abe Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337099</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	576.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “amenity landscape overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the location and extent of the amenity landscape overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, to apply only to the parts of the site zoned Rural (Plan Change 6). Retain the provisions of the amenity landscape overlay that limit the scope of regulation of tree planting to forestry activities and do not regulate the planting of indigenous vegetation including trees for amenity purposes.</p>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	576.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “natural character overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the location and extent of the natural character overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, so it does not apply to the parts of the site zoned Residential (Plan Change 6). Apply the natural character overlay to parts of this site indicated in Plan Change 6 to be set aside as a network of esplanade and other reserves.

---

**Submitter Number:** 577

**Submitter:** Paul Wilson - Dawsons Lawyers

**On behalf of:** Pauanui Mountain Estate Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337115](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 577.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the location, extent and rules relating attaching to the “amenity landscape overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the location and extent of the amenity landscape overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, to apply to the elevated parts of the site are zoned Rural (Plan Change 6). Retain the provisions of the amenity landscape overlay that limit the scope of regulation of tree planting to forestry activities and do not regulate the planting of indigenous vegetation including trees for amenity purposes.

---

**Submission Point No:** 577.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the location, extent and rules relating to the “natural character overlay” on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, Pauanui.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the location and extent of the natural character overlay on Lot 206 DP 375136, 996 Hikuai Settlement Road, so it does not apply to parts of the site zoned Residential (Plan Change 6). Apply the natural character overlay to parts of this site indicated in Plan Change 6 to be set aside as a network of esplanade and other reserves.

---

**Submitter Number:** 578 **Submitter:** Christine Vickerman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337120](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.26(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the extent of areas of outstanding landscapes, amenity landscapes and high natural character, as shown on the Maps.

**Decision Requested:** Review and revise the overlays; and reserve “outstanding landscapes” for truly significant (iconic) and unique areas eg. Cathedral Cove, New Chums Beach, Moehau mountain.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.27(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character 9.1) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Amenity landscape is very subjective and should not in any way impact the use of the land or costs on landowners.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Section 9.1.3, 2nd paragraph; and consider removing the Amenity Landscape overlay altogether.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.28(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

Character 9.1)

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the natural character overlay, in part. Suggests that areas of low or modified natural character should not being included on overlay maps.

**Decision Requested:** Review and revise the interpretation of “high” vs “modified” Natural Character.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.29(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character 9.2)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes use of the word "inappropriate" in Section 9.2 1g.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Section 9.2 1g.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.30(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character 9.2)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** There is no consideration of landowner burden, effect on property values, economic benefits of land use, loss of production due to land being “locked up”.

**Decision Requested:** Add a new Issue 3 to Section 9 to address these matters.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.31(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes 9.3 Policy 1d

**Decision Requested:** Delete 9.3 Policy 1d

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.32(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character 9.3)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the assumption in Policy 2a that presence of human activity (i.e. buildings) detracts from the amenity of an area - contrasts, colour and creativity can enhance amenity.

**Decision Requested:** Delete points g), h) and i) from Section 9 Policy 2a.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.33(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Objective 3)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Questions where the directive to Council to “enhance” natural character comes from.

**Decision Requested:** Delete references to enhancement in Section 9.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.34(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Policy 3a)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Policy 3a. Some of these activities are necessary and should not be restricted.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 3a in Section 9.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.35(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Policy 3c)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral



**Submission Summary:** Suggests that Section 9 Policy 3c does not make sense.

**Decision Requested:** Delete last part of Section 9 Policy 3c – “with adverse effects on Natural Character”.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.36(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Policy 3d)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Section 9.3 Policy 3d relating to the Natural Character Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 3d to replace “shall be promoted” with “should be encouraged” and delete Policy 3d c).

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.37(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Objective 4)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Section 9 Objective 4 as unnecessary. Natural character areas are covered in the overlay. Areas not in the overlay are covered in their underlying zone.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Section 9 Objective 4 and Policies 4a and 4b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 58      **Submitter:** Tomoko Bruce

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:**

---

**Submission Point No:** 58.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 587

**Submitter:** Anne Duncan

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336600](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 587.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 589

**Submitter:** Suzanne Clegg

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336601](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 589.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 59

**Submitter:** Brian Stewart

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3310387](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 59.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 590

**Submitter:** Peter H Wood

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337181](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 590.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 591

**Submitter:** Ben Cleland

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337203](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 591.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 592

**Submitter:** Tessa de la Rive Box

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337206](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 592.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 593

**Submitter:** Pauline Harold

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337207](#) ; [3344589](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 593.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 597

**Submitter:** G D and D L Lee

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337279](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 597.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 598

**Submitter:** Joseph Tauterangi Roberts

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337283](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 598.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay being identified on their property (location not provided).

**Decision Requested:** Delete the Natural Character Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 60

**Submitter:** Catherine Cawley

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3310600](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 60.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 601

**Submitter:** Zara Lynch

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337218](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 601.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 61

**Submitter:** Vera Van der Voorden

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3310633](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 61.9(Section 9)

**Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 619

**Submitter:** Bruce Vickerman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337375](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 619.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)

**Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the amount of the Peninsula classified as Outstanding Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity landscape. These labels have been far too liberally applied.

**Decision Requested:** Remove these overlays altogether. For Outstanding Landscapes reduce the overlays to only include places known to be great public assets, like Hot Water Beach hot springs, Cathedral Cove, Shakespears Cliff and New Chums beachfront.

---

**Submitter Number:** 62

**Submitter:** Malibu Hamilton

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3310658](#)

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	62.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	621	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence - Lawrence Cross and Chapman
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Peter Pratt		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337404</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	621.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Characte)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that the overlays constrain the form, location and style of subdivision and buildings on stable elevated sites, inconsistent with the rounding out of the Little Bay settlement to include the adjacent Maori land.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the overlays from the Coastal Living Zone and amend the overlay rules for land within settlements (Coastal Living Zones in particular) so houses, minor units, accessory buildings, community facilities can be Controlled Activities with matters as per the Operative District Plan for Coastal Residential Policy Area.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	622	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kaye Rabarts
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	--------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337411](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 622.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character ) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** The Outstanding Landscapes methodology is unclear. Slipper Island has Outstanding Landscape; Great Mercury Island does not.

**Decision Requested:** 1. To know the reason for this Outstanding Landscapes anomaly.2. Remove all Outstanding Landscape overlays until the methodology can be proven to be fair, accurate and equal. Alternatively, identify Great Mercury Island as an Outstanding landscape.

---

**Submitter Number:** 624 **Submitter:** Chris Lux

**On behalf of:** James Lux, Kimberlea Lux

ECM File Numbers: [3337419](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 624.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays Rules should not be differentiated within a Residential land zone on the basis of an overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Remove all Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays off zones for residential living and residential subdivision within both urban and coastal areas.

---

**Submission Point No:** 624.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character ) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support amenity values rules through controlled activity assessment criteria already operating in the Operative Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Amenity Overlays from all areas zoned for residential living and subdivision within urban, rural and coastal areas.

---

**Submission Point No:** 624.3(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Section 9 Amenity Landscape objectives and policies should better suit land zoned for residential development.

**Decision Requested:** Reword Section 9 Amenity Landscape objectives and policies and Amenity Landscape Design Principles to better suit land zoned for residential development.

---

**Submission Point No:** 624.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Affected landowners need explanation how and why their properties are outstanding landscapes and how the Stephen Brown landscape report differs from the Mary Buckland report.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Consult with affected landowners to explain how and why their properties have been designated outstanding landscapes.2. Review the Stephen Brown landscape report.

---

**Submitter Number:** 626

**Submitter:** Liz Butterfield

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337447](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	626.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character )	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Notes that it is important to uphold the environmental values in Resource Management Act Section 6 as they apply to mining.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Prohibit all mining activities in Sections 9 and 36 Outstanding Landscape, Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	627	<b>Submitter:</b>	Margie Wood
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337451</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	627.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	63	<b>Submitter:</b>	Alana Winn Winn
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3310697</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	63.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	630	<b>Submitter:</b>	Anna Kingsbury
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337494</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	630.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	631	<b>Submitter:</b>	Heidemarie Moretto
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337227</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	631.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	64	<b>Submitter:</b>	Agnes Lambert
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3310777</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	64.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	640	<b>Submitter:</b>	Samuel Clarke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337242</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	640.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	642	<b>Submitter:</b>	Miriam Pierard
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337245</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	642.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	647	<b>Submitter:</b>	Bernard Montgomery and Susan Anne Davies
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337617</a> ; <a href="#">3344717</a> ; <a href="#">3344718</a> ; <a href="#">3344726</a> ; <a href="#">3344732</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	647.1(Section 9 - Natural Character )	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Natural Character Overlay in its entirety.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Natural Character Overlay in its entirety.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	647.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the landscape amenity overlay at 235 Colville Road, Coromandel (Map 10 Overlays).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the landscape amenity overlay from 235 Colville Road, Coromandel (Map 10 Overlays) and retain over coastal reserves only.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	65	<b>Submitter:</b>	Krystle Muray
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3310939</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	65.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	662	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gian McGregor and Michael Smither
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337676</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	662.8(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objectives 1-4 and seeks consistent implementation.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Objectives 1-4 and implement consistently.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	666	<b>Submitter:</b>	Geoffrey and Reihana Robinson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337693</a> ; <a href="#">3338567</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	666.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the area Natural Character and Outstanding Landscape Overlays as they apply to the entire district and specifically to properties located at 165, 185, and 225 Harriet Kings Rd, Port Charles.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Correct the natural character and outstanding landscape overlays as they apply to Map 3 and 165, 185, and 225 Harriet Kings Rd, Port Charles, as well as to the entire district.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	67	<b>Submitter:</b>	John Cargill
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3310850</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	67.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	70	<b>Submitter:</b>	Daniela Reschke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3311281</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	70.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	704	<b>Submitter:</b>	Diana Rutherford
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337722</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	704.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	705	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rose Kavanagh
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337723</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	705.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	708	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jane Wells
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337258</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	708.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	710	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephen Hutton
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337259</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	710.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	711	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hilary Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337762</a> ; <a href="#">3337761</a> ; <a href="#">3337760</a> ; <a href="#">3337759</a> ; <a href="#">3337758</a> ; <a href="#">3337764</a> ; <a href="#">33</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	711.3(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Objectives and Policies of Section 9 and seeks inclusion of Slipper Island into the Natural Character Overlay.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Section 9 and include Slipper Island within the Natural Character Overlay.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	712	<b>Submitter:</b>	Clive Monds
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337806</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	712.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

**Submitter Number:** 714 **Submitter:** Rob Robson

**On behalf of:** New Zealand Petroleum and Minerals

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337815](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 714.3(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports provisions in Section 9 and seeks amendments to Policy 1a to add 'remedy or mitigate' as options to address adverse effects in Outstanding Landscapes.

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Objectives and Policies in Section 9.3 but amend Policy 1a to read 'Subdivision, use and development shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on Outstanding Landscapes...'.  

---

**Submitter Number:** 716 **Submitter:** Nick Pak

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337835](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 716.9(Section 9) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.  

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	717	<b>Submitter:</b>	Trudy Astwood
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337837</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	717.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	72	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ashwani Sharma
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3311491</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	72.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	720	<b>Submitter:</b>	Philip Lang
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Rodney Millen		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337847</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	720.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Requests amendments to Policies in Section 9 and removal of Natural Character Overlay and Outstanding Landscape Overlay from land contained in certificates of title for SA68D/638, 604255, 604254, South Auckland Land Registration District.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Policy 1a (d); (f); (h); (i);Amend Policy 1b(b);Amend Policy 3a and 3c;(Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	721	<b>Submitter:</b>	Louise Weaver
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337855</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	721.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.</p>		

---



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	725	<b>Submitter:</b>	Leigh McIntyre
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337870</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	725.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	730	<b>Submitter:</b>	Daniel Loo
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337272</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	730.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	732	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jane Beck
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337905</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	732.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	733	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rosemary Segedin
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337273</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	733.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	735	<b>Submitter:</b>	Barbara Rose Karl
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337911</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	735.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Amenity Overlay on 380 Puketui Road or anybody else's property.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the overlay from 380 Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	736	<b>Submitter:</b>	Peter Gary Karl
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337913</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	736.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Landscape and Natural Character Overlays on Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai as rules are restrictive.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the Landscape and Natural Character Overlays on Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	737	<b>Submitter:</b>	Claire Mary Dall
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	------------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337915](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 737.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Objects to the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay in Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai imposed on our property as it is restrictive on the rights of individuals.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay in Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai

---

**Submitter Number:** 738      **Submitter:** Phillip John Karl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337916](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 738.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character - Overlay)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Landscape and Natural Character overlay in Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai

**Decision Requested:** Delete the andscape and Natural Character overlay in Puketui Valley Road, Hikuai

---

**Submitter Number:** 74      **Submitter:** Kate Ellmers

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3312296](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 74.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 741    **Submitter:** James Gaddum

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337993](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 741.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 75    **Submitter:** Sherydan Muir

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3312206](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 75.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 751    **Submitter:** John Drummond

**On behalf of:** Tairua Environment Society

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338034](#); [3338035](#); [3338036](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 751.12(Sections 9 and 32)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the outstanding and amenity landscape overlay method and the natural character overlay method, but oppose the objectives, policies and rules generally as not giving sufficient protection of these values.

**Decision Requested:** Rewrite the outstanding landscape, amenity landscape and natural character objectives, policies and rules to protect their values and places.

---

**Submitter Number:** 755 **Submitter:** Brian Sharp and Sue Edens

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337962](#); [3343458](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 755.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the use of 'amenity landscapes' in the Plan and requests removal and addition of Policies.

**Decision Requested:** Remove 'Amenity Landscape Classification ' from Plan.Remove Policy 1a (j) and 2 a(e).Add Policy to state ' To allow for a higher level of subdivision where areas of land will be set aside to provide restoration or enhancement of the natural character in accordance with policy 4b (a) to (l).

---

**Submitter Number:** 76 **Submitter:** Anne Harris

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3312120](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 76.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	763	<b>Submitter:</b>	Marilyn Dodds - Madent Holdings Ltd Resource Management
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Rabarts Taihararu Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338119</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	763.1(Section - Landscape and Natural Character Overlay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays on 688 Tuatēawa Road (Map 7 Overlays).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Outstanding Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays from the Plan and undertake further investigation to justify overlays being placed over 688 Tuatēawa Road (Map 7 Overlays).		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	764	<b>Submitter:</b>	Marilyn Dodds - Madent Holdings Ltd Resource Management
<b>On behalf of:</b>	K & M Rabarts Family Trusts		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338119</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	764.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlay on 740 Tuatēawa Road (Map 7A Overlays).		



**Decision Requested:** Remove the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays from the Plan. Undertake further investigation to justify the overlays at 740 Tuatēawa Road (Map 7A Overlays).

---

**Submitter Number:** 77 **Submitter:** Monica Macrae

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3312005](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 77.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 770 **Submitter:** Wailin Elliott

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338178](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 770.5(Overlay Map 11E - south and west of Furey's Creek mouth) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose natural character overlay on some 4 areas south and west of the mouth

of Furey's Creek, as the area is substantially modified and human-made shoreline.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the natural character from the 4 areas south and west of the mouth of Furey's Creek.

---

**Submitter Number:** 78 **Submitter:** Marianne Adam

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3313202](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 78.9(Section 9) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 781 **Submitter:** Augusta Macassey-Pickard

**On behalf of:** Mercury Bay branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337947](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 781.17(Section 9.3 ) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section Objective 3 relating to the natural character overlay and seeks amendments to the Policies.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 3a and 3c to add in missing words correcting the sentence structure; delete Policy 3b in its entirety (see original submission for specific wording); and amend Policy 3d to focus on enhancing or restoring threatened environments.

---

**Submission Point No:** 781.18(Section 9.3)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.3 Objective 4 and Policy 4b with amendments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 4b to ensure the focus in on restoration and enhancement of threatened environments (refer to original submission for specific wording).

---

**Submission Point No:** 781.3(Section 9.1.4 Natural Character)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9.1.4 in part with amendments to improve clarity.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9.1.4 paragraph 3 to clarify that the 'ecological natural character' was assessed.

---

**Submitter Number:** 785    **Submitter:** Paul Cook

**On behalf of:** Opito Bay Ratepayers Association

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337942](#); [3337941](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.28(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

Character)

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the outstanding landscape, amenity landscape and natural character overlays applying to the Opito Bay.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the overlays at Opito Bay so that the headlands are classified as Outstanding rather than Landscape Amenity and that an additional area is included as an Outstanding Landscape.

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.29(Section 9.3 Objectives and Policies)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports objective 1 and seeks amendments to Policy 1a and 1b.

**Decision Requested:** Amend policy 1a and 1b to specify that activities which result in adverse effects on Outstanding Landscapes will be avoided and that subdivision, use and development will be avoided on prominent landscape features, including headlands and ridgelines.

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.30(Section 9.3 Objectives and Policies)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Objective 2 and Policy 2b. Seeks amendments to Policy 2a.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 2a to specify that there will be situations where avoidance of adverse effects is required and to require avoidance of prominent landscape features in all situations with specific reference to headlands and ridgelines.

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.31(Section 9.3 Objectives and Policies)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Supports objective 3, policy 3a and policy 3d. Opposes policy 3b and 3c.

**Decision Requested:** Delete policy 3c.

---

**Submitter Number:** 787 **Submitter:** Jenni Fitzgerald

**On behalf of:** New Zealand Transport Agency

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338388](#) ; [3338389](#) ; [3338390](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 787.6(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9 and requests addition of new Objectives and Policies to recognise existing regionally significant infrastructure within overlay areas and provide for its maintenance and upgrading.

**Decision Requested:** Introduce to Section 9 new Objectives and Policies to recognise existing regionally significant infrastructure within overlay areas and provide for its maintenance and upgrading.

---

**Submitter Number:** 788 **Submitter:** Debbie Waterhouse - Surveying Services Limited

**On behalf of:** Harbour Terraces Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337938](#) ; [3337939](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 788.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character (Map 10C Overlays)) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay as it relates to 2600 Long Bay Road

(Map 10C Overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Remove that Natural Character Overlay from 2600 Long Bay Road (Maps 10C Overlays).

---

**Submitter Number:** 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

**On behalf of:** Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338486](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.17(Section 9 - new issue, objectives and policies) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** The section is mostly void of any Maori perspective on landscape or associated values. The landscape assessments have not included a Maori perspective. Maori cultural landscapes are not considered. Questions different treatment in different sections.

**Decision Requested:** Add a Māori perspective on landscape and natural character, a specific issues statement, and specific objectives and policies in support.

---

**Submitter Number:** 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.38(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9 and raises questions regarding the mapping of overlays and application to working land.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 9:1. Remove all brown from overlay maps. 2. Remove or rewrite Section 9.3. Change “shall” to “should” through the document. 4. Change the amenity landscape lines.5. Remove all overlays from residential properties, unless requested by the landowner

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.77(Section 9.3 Objective 4 and associated policies)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Seeks removal of Objective 4 relating to natural character outside of the Natural Character Overlay, and its associated policies.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Objective 4 and its policies.

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.78(Section 9 - amenity landscapes)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Amenity should refer to the definition of 'Public Amenity', not implying that one area is better than the next.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the amenity overlay throughout the document.

---

**Submitter Number:** 794      **Submitter:** Debbie Waterhouse

**On behalf of:** Surveying Services Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337930](#); [3337931](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	794.61(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes Section 9 Outstanding Landscape, Landscape Amenity and Natural Character Overlays.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> The overlays in Section 9 should recognise existing approved land uses, be accurately mapped and be limited where they are not viewed from public spaces.</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	796	<b>Submitter:</b>	Malcolm R Stone
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338565</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	796.5	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Opposes the Natural Character Overlay over land south and west of the mouth of Furey's Creek (Map 11E overlays).</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Natural Character Overlay from land south and west of the mouth of Furey's Creek (Map 11E overlays).</p>		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	797	<b>Submitter:</b>	Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell Limited
<b>On behalf of:</b>	The Vela Trust		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337927</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	797.3(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character )	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Supports objectives and policies in Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character that recognise outstanding landscapes and natural character, whilst acknowledging potential appropriate use, subdivision and development.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain Section 9 Objective 1 and Policies 1a-e; Objective 2 and Policies 2a and 2b; Objective 3 and Policies 3a and 3b; and Objective 4.</p>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	797.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character )	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Supports Section 9 Policy 3c and 3d with amendments.</p> <p><b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 9 Policy 3c to read 'without adverse effects' rather than 'with adverse effects; and Amend Policy 3d to acknowledge that the enhancement of the Natural Character Overlay in the Coastal Environment could be promoted through the creation of Conservation Lots and other subdivisions.</p>		

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	799	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gloria and Graeme Ready
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337925</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	799.12(Section 9, Section 32, overlays)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	<p>Questions the methodology and transparency behind the Amenity Landscape, Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character overlays. Notes that there are implications for private property owners which Council hasn't considered.</p>		

**Decision Requested:** Remove the amenity landscape, outstanding landscape and natural character overlay from 57 Woods Road and undertake an objective and independent review of the process in the Plan, and make the review, recommendations and Council decisions transparent to District ratepayers.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.13(Section 9 - Issues 1 and 2) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Suggests that Section 9.2 Issues 1 and 2 are generalities that imply the activities will always cause degradation, which is not true.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan accordingly.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.14(Section 9 - Policy 1b a)) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9 Policy 1b a) as it requires buildings and structures to be "inconspicuous when viewed from public land."

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan accordingly.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.15(Section 9 - Policy 1d) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9 Policy 1d as it leaves no room for any sort of compromise solution to be applied.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Plan accordingly and delete the words "including minor effects".

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.16(Section 9 - Policy 3a) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9 Policy 3a as it appears to preclude "people" activity, and consideration of remedial/protective work such as seawalls and land modification.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 3a, or amend the Plan accordingly.

---

**Submitter Number:** 801 **Submitter:** Ruby Powell

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337923](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 801.9(Section 9) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 803 **Submitter:** Ian Michael Wernham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338612](#); [3338608](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 803.3(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category:** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the outstanding landscape and natural character overlays, in particular Map 24C (overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Remove the outstanding landscape overlay from Map 24C and amend in other areas to reflect the LA 4 2006 study. Remove the Natural Character overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 806 **Submitter:** Thomas McGrady

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341934](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 806.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 807 **Submitter:** James Crean

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341935](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 807.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 808 **Submitter:** Daniel Joseph Berger

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341939](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 808.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 809 **Submitter:** Mason Burch

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341941](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 809.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 81 **Submitter:** Patricia Simpson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3313799](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 81.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 810 **Submitter:** Karen Ann Brown

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341943](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 810.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 813 **Submitter:** Gilbert James

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341957](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 813.7(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character (Map 11E overlays)) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay over the mouth of Furey's Creek at Coromandel Harbour between Pita Street and Strongman Road and part of Strongman Road (Map 11E overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Natural Character Overlay from the land at the mouth of Furey's Creek at Coromandel Harbour between Pita Street and Strongman Road and part of Strongman Road (Map 11E overlays).

---

**Submitter Number:** 814 **Submitter:** George Robert Foster

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341958](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 814.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Questions the outstanding landscape overlay on 139 Manaia Road, Coromandel.

**Decision Requested:** Seeks an independent review of the outstanding overlay on 139 Manaia Road.

---

**Submitter Number:** 817 **Submitter:** Liane Ngamane

**On behalf of:** Ngati Tamatera

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342046](#) ; [3342045](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 817.8(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9 as Ngati Tamatera were not involved in the Blueprint or district landscape assessment; notes that the relationship of Maori with their lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu, other taonga, landscape, natural character and biodiversity resources is not adequately addressed or provided for in the Plan; and suggests that the overlays are not accurate.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 to provide for the relationship of Ngati Tamatera with their lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu, other taonga; to recognise their kaitiaki role, enable use and development of Maori land and treaty settlement land and to exercise customary use and cultural activities.

---

**Submitter Number:** 818 **Submitter:** Leila Banks

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342057](#)



---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	818.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	819	<b>Submitter:</b>	Eva Wrassky-bulmer
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342059</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	819.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	82	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kelly Towers
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3313872</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	82.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	821	<b>Submitter:</b>	Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Northern Land Property Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342074</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	821.12(Overlay Map 12)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	In Overlay Map 12, relocate the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays based on a detailed on-site landscape assessment.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> In Overlay Map 12, relocate the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays based on detailed on-site landscape assessment.		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	821.2(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendments to Section 9.3 Policy 3d relating to the protection and enhancement of the Natural Character Overlay in the Coastal Environment.		

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 3d to acknowledge that the protection and enhancement of the Natural Character Overlay in the Coastal Environment can be promoted through the creation of Conservation Lots and other subdivisions.

---

**Submitter Number:** 822 **Submitter:** Caroline Banks

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342076](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 822.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 824 **Submitter:** Dr Olivia Livingston Macassey

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342081](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 824.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management

Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 825 **Submitter:** Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell

**On behalf of:** Whauwhau Environmental Group Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342083](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 825.3(Section 9 Objective 1, Policies 1a - 1e, Policy 3d) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 9 Objective 1 and Policies 1a -1e in that they provide for development which is not 'inappropriate' within the Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Retain Section 9 Objective 1 and Policies 1a - 1e.2. Amend Section 9 Policy 3d to acknowledge that the enhancement of the Natural Character Overlay in the Coastal Environment could be promoted through conservation lots and other subdivision.

---

**Submitter Number:** 827 **Submitter:** Christopher Berry

**On behalf of:** Department of Conservation

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342091](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.16(Section 9.3) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 9.3 in part questioning how it distinguishes between areas of “outstanding” and “high” natural character.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9.3 to make it clear how the provisions of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) and distinctions between “outstanding” and “high” natural character have been met; and make provision for the NZCPS Policy 14 to provide for “restoration of” areas of “outstanding” and “high” natural character.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.17(Section 9.3 - Objective 1)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Objective 1 in part but seeks amendments to reflect outstanding and high natural character.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Objective 1 to include the phrase “and high” after “remain outstanding” at the start of the objective.

---

**Submission Point No:** 827.18(Section 9.3 - Policy 1a e); Policy 1b a))      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Policy 1a e) and Policy 1b a) in part but notes that views of natural character from the water are also important and that buildings and other structures should be inconspicuous.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Policy 1a e) and Policy 1b a) to include the phrase “and the water” after “when viewed from public land.”

---

**Submitter Number:** 828      **Submitter:** Kay Piper

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342092](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	828.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	83	<b>Submitter:</b>	Bradley Blackie
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3313383</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	83.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	831	<b>Submitter:</b>	Blair Clarke
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342099</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	831.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	832	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jo Young - Boffa Miskell Ltd
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342101</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	832.6(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Objective 3)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Transpower supports the policy approach in Section 9 of the Plan, particularly as expressed in Objective 1 that outstanding landscapes should remain outstanding and their values protected from inappropriate and cumulative subdivision, use and development.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b>		
	Retain Section 9 Objective 1 and Policy 1c.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	833	<b>Submitter:</b>	James Needham
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	---------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342109](#); [3342108](#); [3342107](#); [3342106](#); [3342105](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 833.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Seeks inclusion of 'Slipper Island' into Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Refr to 'Slipper Island' in Background and Issues of Section 9.Include Slipper Island into Landscape Unit 76 'East Coast Islands'. Retain Objective 3 and Policy 3a (to refer to Planning Map 30 'Slipper Island'.)Add matters to Policy 3a as per written submission. Retain Policies 3b and 3c.Retain Policy 3d and include Slipper Island into the Natural Character Overlay. Add matters to Policy 3d as per written submission. Retain Objective 4 and add monitoring requirements for existing subdivisions to Policy 4a.Add a future protection plan for Slipper Island as per written submission to Policy 4b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 834      **Submitter:** Rodney Poulgrain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342110](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 834.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	837	<b>Submitter:</b>	Peter Vosper
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342747</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	837.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	838	<b>Submitter:</b>	Julian Jackson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342118</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	838.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	839	<b>Submitter:</b>	James Valley
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342758</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	839.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	840	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kowhai Ehrig
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342760</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	840.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	841	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jared Wood
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342762</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	841.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	842	<b>Submitter:</b>	Anita Prohl
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342764</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	842.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	843	<b>Submitter:</b>	Fiona Ward
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342765</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	843.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	845	<b>Submitter:</b>	Luy Bullock
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342774</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	845.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	846	<b>Submitter:</b>	Darren Bristow
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342777</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	846.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	847	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stuart Wilson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342780</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	847.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	848	<b>Submitter:</b>	Alexandra Procuta
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342781</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	848.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	85	<b>Submitter:</b>	Claire Grover
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3313955</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	85.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	850	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hoku Kirkland
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342786</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	850.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	851	<b>Submitter:</b>	Siri Embla Risves
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342787</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	851.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	852	<b>Submitter:</b>	Shayd Tahu
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342788</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	852.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	853	<b>Submitter:</b>	G P Campbell
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342791</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	853.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	854	<b>Submitter:</b>	Kerry Dewar
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342794</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	854.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	856	<b>Submitter:</b>	Derya Parlak
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342804</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	856.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	857	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jessica Mills
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342807</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	857.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	858	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lorna Maikara Ropata
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342810</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	858.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	859	<b>Submitter:</b>	Puawai Ormsby
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342820</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	859.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	860	<b>Submitter:</b>	Matthew Kelly
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342128</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	860.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	861	<b>Submitter:</b>	Madeline Tomsion
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342823</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	861.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	863	<b>Submitter:</b>	Brigette Challie
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342841</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	863.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	864	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gordon Fell
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342842</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	864.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	865	<b>Submitter:</b>	Tui Allen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342843</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	865.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	867	<b>Submitter:</b>	Gail Kaku
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342844</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	867.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	868	<b>Submitter:</b>	R.Althea Hill
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342846</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	868.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	869	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jessica Holdaway
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342849</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	869.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	87	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephanie Palmer
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3318266</a> ; <a href="#">3346013</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	87.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	870	<b>Submitter:</b>	Port Charles Residents and Ratepayers Association
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342854</a> ; <a href="#">3342862</a> ; <a href="#">3342861</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	870.1(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the natural character overlay in its entirety.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete the natural character overlay.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	871	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rebecca Gribble
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342858</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	871.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	872	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mike Boots
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342860</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	872.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	873	<b>Submitter:</b>	Griselle Martins
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342863</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	873.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	877	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mary Barton
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Chorus New Zealand		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342152</a> ; <a href="#">3342153</a> ; <a href="#">3342154</a> ; <a href="#">3342155</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	877.6(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Policies 1c, 2b, 3b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Policy 1c sets an unrealistic expectation that all network utilities be located away from ridgelines.Policy 2b does not recognise that it may be necessary in some instances for network utility structures to be established in Amenity Landscape areas.Policy 3b does not recognise that it may be necessary in some instances for network utility structures to be established in Natural Character areas.Policy 3c assumes that all structures will be able to be located and designed to integrate with the surrounding Natural Character area.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 9 Policies 1c, 2b, 3b, 3c.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	878	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mary Barton
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Telecom New Zealand Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342156</a> ; <a href="#">3342157</a> ; <a href="#">3342158</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	878.4(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character Policy 1c)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		

**Submission Summary:** Policy 1c sets an unrealistic expectation that all network utilities be located away from ridgelines, which may not be able to be avoided in the case of telecommunications masts in all instances as these structures require locations that are visible to achieve line-of-sight to the areas they are providing coverage to.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policy 1c.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 878.5(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Policy 2b does not recognise that it may be necessary in some instances for network utility structures to be established in Amenity Landscape areas.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policy 2b.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submission Point No:** 878.6(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Policy 3b does not recognise that it may be necessary in some instances for network utility structures to be established in Natural Character areas. Policy 3c assumes that all structures will be able to be located and designed to integrate with the surrounding Natural Character area. There also appears to be a typo in respect of the final part of Policy 3c.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 Policies 3b and 3c. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 88      **Submitter:** Igor Polakovic

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3318005](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 88.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 888    **Submitter:** Clare Poulgrain

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342942](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 888.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 889    **Submitter:** Michael Savage

**On behalf of:** Whangapoua Beach Ratepayers Association Incorporated

ECM File Numbers: [3342163](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 889.8(Section 9 - Natural Character and Outstanding Landscape Overlays.) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support the Natural Character and Outstanding Landscape Overlays within the New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay and Whangapoua Harbour environs.

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Natural Character and Outstanding Landscape Overlay areas within the New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay and Whangapoua Harbour environs.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 893 **Submitter:** Karl Cook - Barker and Associates Ltd

**On behalf of:** Isaac Lane and Noel Lane

ECM File Numbers: [3342167](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 893.11(Overlay Map 7B - Tuatēawa) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character Overlays on 130 Waihirere Drive and the existing residential settlement at Tuatēawa.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the "Outstanding Landscape" overlay from 130 Waihirere Drive and the existing residential settlement of Tuatēawa. An example is in Appendix 1 of the submission.

---

**Submission Point No:** 893.4(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Generally support identifying and mapping landscape values for planning and managing development. Concern that the overlays do not appropriately recognise historic and current use, development and subdivision patterns that define coastal residential settlement character or provide for its development and use.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 9 to recognise that the historic and current use, development, and subdivision pattern that defines the character of coastal residential settlements means these are not appropriately included in "Outstanding Landscapes", "Amenity Landscapes", and "Natural Character" overlays.

---

**Submitter Number:** 896 **Submitter:** Ian Murray Revell

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342173](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 896.5(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the Natural Character and Outstanding Landscape Overlay and Coastal Environment Line position in the New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay and Whangapoua Harbour environs.

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Natural Character and Outstanding Landscape Overlay and Coastal Environment Line position in the New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay and Whangapoua Harbour environs.

---

**Submitter Number:** 899 **Submitter:** Catherine Rose Mary Croft

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343113](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	899.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Prohibit mining activities within the Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Prohibit mining activities within the Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	90	<b>Submitter:</b>	Denise Davis
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3319414</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	90.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	900	<b>Submitter:</b>	Suzanne Margaret Croft
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343115</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	900.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Prohibit Mining Activities in Outstanding and Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Prohibit Mining Activities in Outstanding and Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	901	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sally Poulgrain
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343118</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	901.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	903	<b>Submitter:</b>	Paul Jennings
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343157</a>		

---



<b>Submission Point No:</b>	903.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	904	<b>Submitter:</b>	Dave Crocker
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343166</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	904.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	905	<b>Submitter:</b>	Julie Crimmins Crocker
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343169</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	905.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	908	<b>Submitter:</b>	Cherie McGregor
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343181</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	908.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	909	<b>Submitter:</b>	Sylvia Blood
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343184</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	909.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	91	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jill Hart
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3319530</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	91.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	913	<b>Submitter:</b>	Wendy Livingstone
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Te Aroha College		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343203</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	913.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay at 235 Colville Road (Map 10 Overlays).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlays from 235 Colville Road.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	914	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lily Watson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343214</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	914.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	915	<b>Submitter:</b>	Irene McLellan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343216</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	915.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	916	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stefanie Roussel
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343217</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	916.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	918	<b>Submitter:</b>	Serene Woolf
<b>On behalf of:</b>			

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343221](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 918.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 919    **Submitter:** Sheena Beaton

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343222](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 919.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports maintenance of landscape and natural character values and seeks prohibition of mining activities within Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 9 and prohibit mining activities within the Outstanding and Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 920    **Submitter:** Warren Field

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343229](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 920.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 921    **Submitter:** Jennifer Milner

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343234](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 921.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 922    **Submitter:** Abbey Anderson

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343236](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 922.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 923    **Submitter:** Sue Smith

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343245](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 923.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 924    **Submitter:** Megan Cole

**On behalf of:**



ECM File Numbers: [3343246](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 924.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 926    **Submitter:** Don Dick

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343247](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 926.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 927    **Submitter:** Sally Forde

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343248](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 927.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 928    **Submitter:** Jackie Black

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343249](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 928.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 929    **Submitter:** Michael O'Donnell

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343271](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 929.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 931    **Submitter:** Connell Stewart

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343272](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 931.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 932    **Submitter:** Yvonne Andrew

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343274](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 932.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 934    **Submitter:** Craig Dick

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343275](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 934.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 935    **Submitter:** Sally Millar

**On behalf of:** Federated Farmers of New Zealand

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 935.8(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks clarification of criteria used for Landscape Assessment, deletion of Amenity Landscape Overlay, a reassessment of Natural Character Overlay and consequential amendments within Objectives and Policies of Section 9 (Landscape and Natural Character).

**Decision Requested:** Add clear identification criteria for Outstanding Landscapes and explanations of how these relate to the Regional Policy Statement criteria into Section 9.1.1 (District Landscape Assessment). Acknowledge Regional Policy Statement outstanding landscapes and natural features within Section 9.1.2. Delete Section 9.1.3 (Amenity Landscapes) or remove from all productive working landscapes. Withdraw all Objectives and Policies relating to 'Natural Character Overlay' from Plan and undertake a new assessment. Implement specific relief sought into Objectives and Policies as per written submission.

---

**Submitter Number:** 936      **Submitter:** Sarah Vadeboncoeur Lafand

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343323](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 936.9(Section 9)      **Category**      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	937	<b>Submitter:</b>	Nikau Racey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343325</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	937.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	938	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ngakeiha Racey
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343343</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	938.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	939	<b>Submitter:</b>	Pierre Cozil
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343345</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	939.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	940	<b>Submitter:</b>	Daryn Courtney
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343346</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	940.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	941	<b>Submitter:</b>	Celine Kearney
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343353</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	941.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	942	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ellen Williams
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343356</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	942.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		



---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	943	<b>Submitter:</b>	Megan Williams
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343357</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	943.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	944	<b>Submitter:</b>	Vorry Mahal
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343358</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	944.9(Section 9)	<b>Category</b>	Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.		

---

**Submitter Number:** 945 **Submitter:** Bronwyn Lee Blair

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343386](#) ; [3343387](#) ; [3343385](#) ; [3343382](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 945.17(Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 946 **Submitter:** Kate Ellis

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343360](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 946.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the

Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 947 **Submitter:** R Thompson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343361](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 947.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 948 **Submitter:** Ahmen Mahal

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343406](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 948.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 949 **Submitter:** Jocelyn Ashby-Peckham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343413](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 949.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 95 **Submitter:** Andrew Bell

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3321508](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 95.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 950 **Submitter:** Lynda Browne

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343414](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 950.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 951 **Submitter:** Daniela Dindorf

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343415](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 951.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 953 **Submitter:** Jeremy Ingle

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343427](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 953.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 956 **Submitter:** Marcus Crawshaw and Anne Elizabeth Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343702](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 956.2(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks removal of Landscape and Natural Character Overlay from existing farmland, all farming activities should be permitted and unhindered.

**Decision Requested:** Remove Landscape Natural Character Overlay from existing farming activities. Permit all farming activities. Amend Section 9.1.2 to delete reference to farming as 'inappropriate activities'.

---

**Submitter Number:** 957 **Submitter:** John Marcus Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343712](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 957.2(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Submitter seeks reasons for inclusion of property (Sec 1 Blk 1, Sec 7 Blk 1SD) within the Outstanding Landscape Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Explain why the submitter's property is included within Outstanding Landscape Overlay (Sec 1 Blk 1, Sec 7 Blk 1SD).

---

**Submitter Number:** 958 **Submitter:** Penelope Anthea Crawshaw Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343716](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 958.1(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes restrictions imposed through Objectives and Policies in Section 9.3.

**Decision Requested:** Remove restrictive provisions in Section 9.3 Objective 3, Policies 3d a) and e) and Policy 4b.

---

**Submitter Number:** 96 **Submitter:** Stanley John Sims

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3321855](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 96.9(Section 9) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 960 **Submitter:** Theodora C Ward

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343751](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 960.2(Section 9 Landscape and Natural Character) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of Block Nos. Moehau 1K3,1,11,111 within the Landscape and Natural Character Overlay.



**Decision Requested:** Exclude Block Nos. Moehau 1K3,1,11,111 from the Landscape and Natural Character Overlay.

---

**Submitter Number:** 962 **Submitter:** Alexander Bruce and Catherine Suzanne Ward - Puriri Partnership

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343754](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 962.21(Overlay Map 1 - 2611 Port Jackson Road) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Natural Character Overlay at 2611 Port Jackson Road.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 962.22(Overlay Map 1 - 2611 Port Jackson Road) **Category** Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Notes that the entire Coromandel Peninsula has outstanding landscape values. Suggests that it is biased to single out specific areas and the Outstanding Landscape Overlay impedes the ability to run a farming business.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 967 **Submitter:** Jennifer Frost

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343891](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 967.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 968    **Submitter:** Phillipa Morton

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343899](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 968.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 969    **Submitter:** Helen Hale

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343900](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 969.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 97    **Submitter:** Rachel Dobric

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3322314](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 97.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 970    **Submitter:** Arthur Smith

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343902](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 970.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 971    **Submitter:** Leon Pilnaar

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343904](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 971.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 972    **Submitter:** Katrina Chevis

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343905](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 972.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 973    **Submitter:** David Armstrong Pani

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343906](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 973.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 974    **Submitter:** Anthony Finch

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343907](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 974.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 975    **Submitter:**                      Thea Brorson

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343908](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 975.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 976    **Submitter:**                      Che Mowbray

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343911](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 976.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 977    **Submitter:** Shaun Malanaphy

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343913](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 977.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 978    **Submitter:** Gwenyth Wright

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343914](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 978.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 979    **Submitter:** Stanley Gould

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343916](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 979.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 980    **Submitter:** M Dunn

**On behalf of:**



ECM File Numbers: [3343921](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 980.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 981    **Submitter:** D Brown

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343924](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 981.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 982    **Submitter:** Spenser Probett

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343925](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 982.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 983    **Submitter:** M Van der Linden

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343927](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 983.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 984    **Submitter:** Ian Smith

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343929](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 984.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 985    **Submitter:** Monika Lange

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3343936](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 985.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 986    **Submitter:** Vivien Blackshaw

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343939](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 986.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 988    **Submitter:** John Patrick Speirs

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344303](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 988.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 989    **Submitter:** Nicolas Dregnaut

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344308](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 989.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 991    **Submitter:** Sue Mitchell

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344310](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 991.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 992    **Submitter:** Glen Fleet

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344311](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 992.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 993    **Submitter:** Connie Causa

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344321](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 993.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 994    **Submitter:** Matt Gibbons

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344324](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 994.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 995    **Submitter:** Shaun David Robinson

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344340](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 995.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 996    **Submitter:** Rebecca James

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344344](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 996.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 997    **Submitter:** Olivia Leonard

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3344352](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 997.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 998    **Submitter:** Marie Hache

**On behalf of:**



**ECM File Numbers:** [3344356](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 998.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Submitter Number:** 999    **Submitter:** Daniel Clark

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3344364](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 999.9(Section 9)                      **Category**                      Section 9 - Landscape and Natural Character

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay not including high value conservation land which is identified in Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act.

**Decision Requested:** Include all identified Schedule 4 land within the Conservation Zone.

---

**Category Name: PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

**Section 10 - Natural Hazards**

**Submitter Number:** 1199 **Submitter:** Michael Gunson

**On behalf of:** Surfbreak Protection Society

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338380](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1199.10(Section 10 - Objective 1) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Agree that is difficult to manage natural hazards but do not support the objective that risks are acceptable and tolerable.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submission Point No:** 1199.12(Section 10 - hard coastal defences) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Does not support hard coastal defences and prefers managed retreat.

**Decision Requested:** Prefer managed retreat over hard coastal defences.

---

**Submission Point No:** 1199.13(Sections 10 and 34 - Tsunami) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** States that the lack of any further detailed response and adequate mapping of Tsunami Zones does not satisfy the intent and purpose of the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 130 **Submitter:** Rebecca Beals

<b>On behalf of:</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3329384</a> ; <a href="#">3329386</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	130.12(Section 10 - Natural Hazards Objective 4)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks amendment to Section 10 Objective 4.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 10 Objective 4 as follows: "... and existing key community assets <u>and network utilities</u> ."		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	182	<b>Submitter:</b>	K Vernon
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3330994</a> ; <a href="#">3330995</a> ; <a href="#">3332533</a> ; <a href="#">3335322</a> ; <a href="#">3336560</a> ; <a href="#">3334928</a> ;		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	182.18(Overlay Map 18G - Ferry Landing)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	The current coastal erosion line and the future coastal protection line should be drawn on the seaward side of Purangi Road.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Move the Current Coastal Erosion Line and the Future Coastal Protection Line at Ferry Landing (Overlay Map 18G) to the seaward side of Purangi Road.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	204	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-----------------

<b>On behalf of:</b>	M J and J J Goudie		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3331693</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	204.3(Overlay Map 6 - Otautu Bay)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Oppose Coastal Erosion Hazard Lines shown for Otautu Bay, and Lot 18 DPS 5572 (275 Port Jackson Road Otautu Bay) in particular.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Delete the coastal erosion line on Maps 6 and 6A, and 2. Locate the coastal protection line at the seaward boundary of Otautu Bay properties.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	251	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stephen Hosken - Cooks Beach Wall Company
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Cooks Beach Wall Company		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3332863</a> ; <a href="#">3332864</a> ; <a href="#">3332865</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	251.1(Section 34.11; CCEL overlay Cooks Beach)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Replace the Current Coastal Erosion Line (CCEL) from 115 to 165 Captain Cook Road, Cooks Beach with two seaward CCEL lines (as attached) and associated rules to reflect the protection of the new Cooks Beach Sea Wall and to protect the lateral views and amenity of existing houses.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Replace the CCEL at 115-165 Captain Cook Road, Cooks Beach with two revised setbacks (refer to the original submission). Add two new rules in Section 34.11 addressing activities at 115-165 Captain Cook Road in relation to the new revised coastal erosion lines (specific wording provided in the original submission).		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	257	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hendrik (Hank) Coenraadts
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Self		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3333289</a> ; <a href="#">3333294</a> ; <a href="#">3333295</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	257.1(Section 34.11; CCEL overlay Cooks Beach)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Replace the Current Coastal Erosion Line (CCEL) from 115 to 165 Captain Cook Road, Cooks Beach with two seaward CCEL lines (as attached) and associated rules to reflect the protection of the new Cooks Beach Sea Wall and to protect the lateral views and amenity of existing houses.  <b>Decision Requested:</b> Replace the CCEL at 115-165 Captain Cook Road, Cooks Beach with two revised setbacks (refer to the original submission). Add two new rules in Section 34.11 addressing activities at 115-165 Captain Cook Road in relation to the new revised coastal erosion lines (specific wording provided in the original submission).		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	259	<b>Submitter:</b>	Raymond Bird
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3333573</a> ; <a href="#">3332959</a> ; <a href="#">3333598</a>		
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	259.1(Section 34.11; CCEL overlay Cooks Beach)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Replace the Current Coastal Erosion Line (CCEL) from 115 to 165 Captain Cook Road, Cooks Beach with two seaward CCEL lines (as attached) and associated		

rules to reflect the protection of the new Cooks Beach Sea Wall and to protect the lateral views and amenity of existing houses.

**Decision Requested:** Replace the CCEL at 115-165 Captain Cook Road, Cooks Beach with two revised setbacks (refer to the original submission). Add two new rules in Section 34.11 addressing activities at 115-165 Captain Cook Road in relation to the new revised coastal erosion lines (specific wording provided in the original submission).

---

**Submitter Number:** 298 **Submitter:** Dean Glen  
**On behalf of:** Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms  
**ECM File Numbers:** [3334658](#); [3334659](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 298.18(Section 10 - Natural Hazards) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards  
**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support  
**Submission Summary:** Supports the objectives and policies relating to avoiding or mitigating development of land subject to natural hazards. This would include large developments with areas at risk from flooding, inundation and tsunami.  
**Decision Requested:** Retain Objectives and Policies of Section 10 as notified.

---

**Submitter Number:** 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit  
**On behalf of:** Environmental Defence Society Ltd  
**ECM File Numbers:** [3334665](#); [3334666](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 320.29(Section 10 Natural Hazards) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks re-arrangement of Objectives and Policies to address existing and future development separately and strengthen the overall mandate for natural hazard management requirements.

**Decision Requested:** Prevent any new development which would increase coastal hazard risk. Decrease any coastal hazard risk from existing development (to tolerable or acceptable) by using managed retreat. For this, identify areas which the Plan will not defend and will not have infrastructure investments. Split Objective 1 into two objectives as set out in submission to achieve separate management of existing and new development. Amend subservient Policies to achieve relief sought above. In Policy 1b change 'soft coastal defences' to 'natural coastal defences'. Reword Policy 1c to require no further development in areas benefitting from natural hazard defences as per submission. In Policy 1d replace 'should' by 'must be'. Retain Policy 1g. Amend Objective 4 to be specific that hard protection structures in the coastal environment are only considered where major existing infrastructure is to be protected as per written submission. Retain Policy 4a. Move Policy 4b under Objective 1 and add more detail on protecting natural coastal environment defences. Amend Policy 4c to refer to acceptable natural hazard risk (not tolerable) as per submission. Replace 'should be' with 'must be' in Policy 4d. Retain Policy 4e.

---

**Submitter Number:** 345

**Submitter:** John Hillery

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3335393](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 345.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards -Coastal Erosion Lines (Map 26C Overlays))

**Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Questions the Current Coastal Erosion line on Map 26C Overlays and over 19 Seaview Avenue and other adjacent properties.

**Decision Requested:** Review the Current Coastal Erosion lines on Map 26C Overlays.

---



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	368	<b>Submitter:</b>	Greg Semmens
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336378</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	368.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards - Coastal Erosion Lines - Map 26C Overlays)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Current Coastal Erosion Line and Future Coastal Protection Line for Te Puru South on Planning Map 26C.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	397
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Thames-Coromandel District Council
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337663</a> ; <a href="#">3337643</a> ; <a href="#">3344601</a> ; <a href="#">3344635</a> ; <a href="#">3344662</a> ; <a href="#">3344670</a> ; <a href="#">3376232</a> ; <a href="#">3348161</a> ; <a href="#">334</a>
<hr/>	
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	397.22(Section 10 - Policy 1d)
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Amend Policy 1d so that it also applies to public land.
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> "Additional major buildings ... should be located outside the area p
<hr/>	
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	397.23(Section 10 - new Method 4)
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support

**Submission Summary:** Seeks addition of Method 4 to Section 10 to allow for review of Section as new research a

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 10 as follows: Add: "Method 4. Review over time n

---

**Submitter Number:** 421 **Submitter:** Martin Williams - Shakespeare Chambers

**On behalf of:** Tasman Buildings Limited

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338068](#) ; [3338069](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 421.14(Section 10 Natural Hazards ) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** General support for Section 10, amendments sought to delete requirement for subdivision, use and development to enhance 'natural character resilience' against coastal erosion and inundation. This should only apply when subdivision is reliant on natural coastal defences.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 10 Policy 4b to clarify that it only applies in relation to subdivision that is reliant on natural coastal environment defences (or that would benefit from the same).

---

**Submitter Number:** 425 **Submitter:** Ian Findlay

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338149](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 425.1(Section 10 - Policy 3a) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 10.3 Policy 3a.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Policy 3a.

---

**Submitter Number:** 433 **Submitter:** James Beban - GNS Science

**On behalf of:** GNS Science

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338509](#); [3338512](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 433.1(Section 10.1) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the risk-based approach to natural hazards in Section 10, but notes that the likelihood table contains duplication of the timeframes, which also affects Section 10 Policy 1e.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 10.1, and amend the likelihood table so that there is no overlap of the return periods between the different likelihood categories.

---

**Submission Point No:** 433.2(Section 10.2) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Considers that Section 10 Issue 2 should consider all hazards, not just flooding and erosion-based hazards.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 10 Issue 2 to recognise all hazards, not just flooding and erosion. Possible wording: "Intensification and development of land in hazard-prone areas increases the risk to people, their property and the environment."

---

**Submission Point No:** 433.3(Section 10.3) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 10 Objective 1 and Policies 1a - 1h, but notes the cumulative and cascading hazard calculations in Policy 1h are incorrect.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 10 Objective 1 and Policies 1a - 1h, and amend the Policy 1h formulas to calculate cumulative and cascading risk.

---

**Submission Point No:** 433.4(Section 10 - Method 1) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 10 Method 1, but suggests community engagement instead of consultation.

**Decision Requested:** In Section 10 Method 1, replace "consultation" with "engagement".

---

**Submitter Number:** 481

**Submitter:** Stewart Robinson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334820](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 481.1(Section 10 Natural Hazards) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Notes that Section 10 does not discuss hazard identification and management of headwaters of water catchments.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 10.1 to focus more on risk reduction, managing activities within headwaters of water catchments through resource consents rather than mitigation only.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	522	<b>Submitter:</b>	Richard and Brenda Kelsey
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Greenhills Trading Trust Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3335228</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	522.1(Section 10 Map 11H Flood Hazards )	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Flood Hazard Overlay over three lots (19 Victoria Street, Coromandel Town) on Flood Map 11H.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove Flood Hazard Overlay from 19 Victoria Street, Coromandel Town on Flood Map 11H.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	534	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Waikato Regional Council		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3336040</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	534.23(Section 10 Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks update of flood hazard maps to show extent of the flood modelling in each catchment area.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Seeks update of flood hazard maps to show extent of the flood modelling in each catchment area.		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	534.5(Section 10 Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Supports some of the provisions in Section 10 but seeks clarifications and amendments to include Civil Defence Emergency Management issues when assessing natural hazard risk, detailed explanation of Risk and Consequence Tables, acknowledgement of all aspects of climate change not only coastal hazards and of multiple hazard situations. Seeks changes to some of the definitions as they relate to Natural Hazards.

**Decision Requested:** Provide full information in Section 10.1 'Background' on the relevance of the Risk and Consequence Table. Amend Issue 4 to include all aspects of climate change. Clarify whether Objective 1 and Policies 1a and 1c refer to 'new' subdivision only, as per written submission. Amend Policy 1b to refer to 'effective' soft defences. **Cross-reference Section 3:** Provide definition of 'Community asset'. Amend Policy 1f to reflect a precautionary approach. Amend Policy 1h to better clarify and define (**cross-reference Section 3**) 'multiple hazard risk' or reword as per written submission. Amend Objective 3 and Policy 3a to fully implement the Tsunami Risk Management Plan by following the recommendations in pages 10 and 28 in the Waikato Tsunami Risk Management Plan. **Cross-reference Section 34.15 Rule 16:** Amend Policy 3b and subservient Rule 16 in Section 34.15 to avoid critical public facilities in high and medium Tsunami hazard zones. Amend Policy 3c to include consideration of all known natural hazards for new and existing development. Retain Objective 4 but align with New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement Policy 27.4 on 'hard protection structures'. Amend Policy 4a to prioritise soft engineering options for new mitigation measures and defences. Retain Policies 4b and 4d. Insert 'acceptable' before 'or tolerable' in Policy 4e. Include Local and Regional Civil Defence Emergency Management groups in any information and consultation in Section 10.4 Method 1.

**Submitter Number:** 547

**Submitter:** Sue Wright

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

**Submission Point No:** 547.20(Section 10)

**Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support NZ Coastal Policy Statement and Regional Policy Statement - long term planning must take account of recent events, flooding and future sea level

changes.

**Decision Requested:** Retain references to NZ Coastal Policy Statement and Regional Policy Statement in Section 10 about long term planning taking account of recent events, flooding and future sea level changes.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.21(Section 10.3)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** States that man-made defences in areas that should not be allowed to develop are costly - avoid development here.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 10.3 to avoid development at all times in areas that require man-made defences.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.37(Section 10 Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks avoidance of development that depend on man-made defences.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 10.3 to not allow for development that depends on man-made defences.

---

**Submitter Number:** 555      **Submitter:** Gary Blake

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336569](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 555.2(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Progressive movement of settlement back from the coast is a good policy. Human induced climate change is a reality; we must think globally and act locally.

**Decision Requested:** Not stated.

---

**Submitter Number:** 565 **Submitter:** Tom Hollings

**On behalf of:** Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337011](#) ; [3337010](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 565.12(Overlay Map 11E - harbour edge) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the Natural Character Overlay along the waters' edge south of Wharf Road and around Furey's Creek river mouth, as it is modified and not natural.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Natural Character Overlay from the waters' edge south of Wharf Road and around Furey's Creek river mouth.

---

**Submitter Number:** 578 **Submitter:** Christine Vickerman

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337120](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.38(Section 10 - Natural Hazards Policies 1c, 1d, 1e) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose



**Submission Summary:** Oppose Policies 1c, 1d as a dwelling in an already built up area should not be treated differently from pre-existing buildings. Building a dwelling on a pre-existing section should be a permitted activity.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Reword to Policies 1c and 1d or add a caveat to provide for building on existing sections. 2. Retain Policy 1e.

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.39(Section 10 - Natural Hazards Policy 1h)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Each risk should be evaluated separately.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 1h in Section 10

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.40(Section 10 - Natural Hazards Policy 4d)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose this policy. These areas may be left alone if the landowner chooses to.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 4d in Section 10

---

**Submission Point No:** 578.44(Section 10 - Natural Hazards 10.4)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support these methods

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 10.4

---

**Submitter Number:** 581

**Submitter:** William Guy and Sophie Margaret Black

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337153](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 581.2(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Questions the Current Coastal Erosion Line at Te Puru (Map 26C Overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Amend the Current Coastal Erosion Line so that it takes into account the unique characteristics and environment of Te Puru South (Map 26C Overlays).

---

**Submitter Number:** 604      **Submitter:** David Lamason

**On behalf of:** Buffalo Beach Home Owners Association

ECM File Numbers: [3337321](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 604.10(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Coastal protection lines should not relate to properties with a coastal defence structure in place, such as in the Buffalo Beach Home Owners Association situation.

**Decision Requested:** Amend the location of coastal protection lines on all relevant overlay maps where authorised coastal defence structures are in place.

---

**Submission Point No:** 604.2(Section 10 - Natural Hazards Policy 1b and 1g)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support in part by encouraging 'soft' coastal defences, but flexibility needed to allow 'Hard' defence structures when 'Soft' solutions cannot defend the existing houses.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Retain Section 10 Policy 1b and include 'Hard' coastal defence structures that defend existing dwellings when 'Soft' coastal defences are unpractical or not a safe solution to defend existing dwellings.2. Retain Section 10 Policy 1b with the entire NZCPS policy quoted, or remove altogether.

---

**Submission Point No:** 604.3(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Hard Coastal Defence Structures allow for additions to existing dwellings. If the policy does not apply to public reserves and roads, existing residential dwellings should have the same benefits.

**Decision Requested:** Add to Section 10 Policy 1d that "additions to dwellings are acceptable where already protected by a legally established and consented 'Hard' Coastal Defence Structure".

---

**Submission Point No:** 604.4(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Support Section 10 Objective 4, Policy 4a and Policy 4e.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 10 Objective 4 and Policy 4e. Add to Policy 4a the words "As far as practical natural hazard mitigation measures and defences should be in keeping ..."

---

**Submitter Number:** 610      **Submitter:** Graeme Lawrence

**On behalf of:** Conifer Investments Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337345](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 610.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Coastal Erosion Hazard Lines shown on Planning Maps 6 and 6A for Otautu Bay and Lot 19 DPS 5572 ( 273 Port Jackson Road Otautu Bay) in particular.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Delete the Coastal Erosion Line(s) currently shown on Planning Maps 6 and 6A.2. Locate the Coastal Protection Line(s) at the seaward boundary of our property or to similar effect.

---

**Submitter Number:** 668      **Submitter:** Willem Pieter de Lange

**On behalf of:** Matarangi Future Coastal Protection Line Objection Group

**ECM File Numbers:**

---

**Submission Point No:** 668.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 669      **Submitter:** Simon and Sarah Allen

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [333](#); [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 669.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 670      **Submitter:** Anita Beach

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 670.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 671      **Submitter:** Christina Box

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	671.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	672	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hilton Brown
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	672.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	673	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ross and Katrina Todd Brown
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		

---

**Submission Point No:** 673.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 674      **Submitter:** Hugh Burrett

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 674.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submission Point No:** 674.2(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	675	<b>Submitter:</b>	Lyn and Don Claasen
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	675.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	676	<b>Submitter:</b>	David Cole
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	676.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			



<b>Submitter Number:</b>	677	<b>Submitter:</b>	Rob and Paula Dallimore
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Matarangi Future Coastal Protection Line Objection Group		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	677.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	678	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ed and Pennie Gane
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	678.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	679	<b>Submitter:</b>	Paul Glass
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 679.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 680

**Submitter:** Debbie Graham

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 680.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 681

**Submitter:** Graham Harford

On behalf of:

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 681.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 682      **Submitter:** Chris Hurdley

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 682.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 683      **Submitter:** Brent Impey

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 683.1(Section 10 - natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submission Point No:** 683.2(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 684      **Submitter:** Rex and Jeanette Innes-Jones

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 684.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	685	<b>Submitter:</b>	Bruce and Laurel Jean Jones
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	685.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	686	<b>Submitter:</b>	Phil and Louise Keoghan
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	686.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	687	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michelle and Selwyn Knaggs
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	687.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	688	<b>Submitter:</b>	Andrew and Sally McGuire
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	688.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	689	<b>Submitter:</b>	Ross Munro
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	689.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	690	<b>Submitter:</b>	Judy and Ivan Nobile
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	690.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	691	<b>Submitter:</b>	Craig Norgate
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	---------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 691.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 692      **Submitter:** Graeme and Anne Osborne

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers:

---

**Submission Point No:** 692.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 693      **Submitter:** Liz and Jakob Preisig

On behalf of:



**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 693.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 694      **Submitter:** John Roy

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 694.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 695      **Submitter:** Bill and Kathy Savory

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 695.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submission Point No:** 695.2(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

**Submitter Number:** 696      **Submitter:** Richard Simpson

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337696](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 696.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.

**Decision Requested:** Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	697	<b>Submitter:</b>	Michael Stiassny
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	697.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	698	<b>Submitter:</b>	Mary Symmans
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	698.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	699	<b>Submitter:</b>	John and Louise Tulp
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	699.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	700	<b>Submitter:</b>	Andrew and Angela Walter
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	700.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	701	<b>Submitter:</b>	Don and Ilona West
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	701.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	702	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stuart Wilson
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337696</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	702.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Raises concerns around the methodology and assumptions used to determine the Current Coastal Erosion Lines and Future Coastal Protection Line in the Plan.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Remove the Future Coastal Protection Line from the District Plan and make consequential changes.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	748	<b>Submitter:</b>	Graeme Lawrence
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-----------------

<b>On behalf of:</b>	McCartney Motels Ltd		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338024</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	748.1(Overlay Map 31A - CCEL north of Tararu Creek)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support the Coastal Erosion Hazard Line being shown on the Planning Map 31A Overlays seaward of the private property on the northern side of the Tararu Creek.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain the Current Coastal Erosion Line on the northern side of Tararu Creek.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	754	<b>Submitter:</b>	Stuart Ryan
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Chris McCartney, Andrea McCartney and McCartney Motel Limited		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338051</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	754.4(Section 3; Section 10; Section 34)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes natural hazards, river flooding, coastal erosion and flood defences provisions; but supports Section 34 Table 1.9.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Delete the provisions. 2. Re-write the provisions to reflect the reasons for the submissions. 3. Delete reference to residual risk in the defended area. 4. Re-run flood hazard simulations and re-draft the flood hazard mapping for Tararu to address: the environment as it exists; likely failure modes; and overland flow paths. 5. Re-draft the flood hazard provisions to reflect community risk acceptance. 6. Retain Section 34 Table 1.9.7. Fully implement the settlement agreement between C and A McCartney, McCartney Motel Limited, Tararu Flood Protection Committee (appellants) Thames-Coromandel District Council (respondent) and Waikato Regional Council (section 274 party) in		

respect of Plan Changes 3 Natural Hazards: Flooding; 8. Specify a Current Coastal Erosion Line for all coastal property or where there is no coastal erosion, due to hard or soft defences, record this in mapping. 9. Expressly recognize existing reclamations. 10. Provide for reasonable development of properties in defended areas.

---

**Submission Point No:** 754.6(Section 10 Flood Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Opposes flood hazard mapping.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Delete and re-write the provisions.2. Re-run flood hazard simulations and re-draft the flood hazard mapping for Tararu to address: the environment as it exists, likely failure modes, and overland flow paths.3. Fully implement the settlement agreement between C and A McCartney, McCartney Motel Limited, Tararu Flood Protection Committee (appellants) Thames-Coromandel District Council (respondent) and Waikato Regional Council (section 274 party) in respect of Plan Changes 3 Natural Hazards: Flooding.

---

**Submitter Number:** 785      **Submitter:** Paul Cook

**On behalf of:** Opito Bay Ratepayers Association

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337942](#); [3337941](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 785.44(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports the identification of a Current Coastal Erosion Line and Future Coastal Protection Line, and associated provisions.

**Decision Requested:** Retain the Current Coastal Erosion Line, Future Coastal Protection Line, and associated provisions.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	786	<b>Submitter:</b>	Elizabeth Mary Brocklehurst
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338482</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	786.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Opposes the Current Coastal Erosion Line at Te Puru Map 26C Overlays.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend the Current Coastal Erosion Line at Te Puru Map 26C Overlays to reflect actual erosion.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	787	<b>Submitter:</b>	Jenni Fitzgerald
<b>On behalf of:</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3338388</a> ; <a href="#">3338389</a> ; <a href="#">3338390</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	787.7(Section 10 Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Supports Section 10 and seeks introduction of new provisions to provide for the protection, operation, upgrading and maintenance of lifeline utilities including 'hard' coastal defences.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Amend Section 10 to introduce new provisions to provide for the protection, operation, upgrading and maintenance of lifeline utilities including 'hard' coastal defences as per written submission.		
<hr/>			



**Submitter Number:** 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.39(Section 10 - Policy 1c) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Change "shall" to "may".

**Decision Requested:** In Policy 1c of Section 10, Change "shall" to "may".

---

**Submission Point No:** 791.40(Section 10 - Policies 4b, 4c, 4d) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Section 10 Policies 4b, 4c and 4d.

**Decision Requested:** Remove or reword Section 10 Policies 4b, 4c, 4d.

---

**Submitter Number:** 799 **Submitter:** Gloria and Graeme Ready

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3337925](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.17(Section 10 - Policy 4b) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 10 Policy 4b as natural barriers such as a sand bank or dune will not be resilient in a tsunami.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 4b or amend it accordingly.

---

**Submission Point No:** 799.18(Section 10 - Policy 4e)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 10 Policy 4e as a "soft" coastal defence will not be resilient in a tsunami.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 4e or amend it accordingly.

---

**Submitter Number:** 804

**Submitter:** Alison Te Huia

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3341932](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 804.1(Section 10 Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the current coastal erosion line (CCEL).

**Decision Requested:** Review the CCEL, associated Policies and Rules in Sections 10 and 34.11 in relation to Map 26c Te Puru South.

---

**Submitter Number:** 816

**Submitter:** Alan and Agnes Ogden

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3341984](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 816.1(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes the Current Coastal Erosion Area policies and rules, including the line shown on Overlay Map 26C as unnecessary.

**Decision Requested:** Current Coastal Erosion Line to be reduced, particularly on Overlay Map 26C and not shown on the LIM report. Protective walls to be taken into account.

---

**Submitter Number:** 844      **Submitter:** Al and Mel Yates

**On behalf of:**

ECM File Numbers: [3342120](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 844.1(Section 10 Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Retain development rights in Coastal Living Zone irrespective of the Future Coastal Protection Line or Current Coastal Erosion Line as these apply to Whangapoua in Overlay Map 12A.

**Decision Requested:** Review the Current Coastal Erosion Line in Whangapoua in Overlay Map 12A, or delete.

---

**Submitter Number:** 849      **Submitter:** Malcolm and Erin Clark

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342122](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 849.3(Section 10 - Natural Hazards)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:**

**Submission Summary:** Suggests that the position of the current coastal erosion line is incorrect (Map 12A Whangapoua Overlays).

**Decision Requested:** Delete the current coastal erosion line from Map 12A Whangapoua Overlays or relocate to coincide with the beachfront yard/existing seaward title boundaries of the beachfront properties at Whangapoua.

---

**Submitter Number:** 889      **Submitter:** Michael Savage

**On behalf of:** Whangapoua Beach Ratepayers Association Incorporated

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342163](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 889.4(Current Coastal Erosion Line)      **Category**      Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose Current Coastal Erosion Line in Overlay Map 12A as the position is incorrect, inappropriate and does not reflect the knowledge and experience of property owners at Whangapoua Beach.

**Decision Requested:** Delete the Current Coastal Erosion Line; or relocate the Current Coastal Erosion Line to coincide with the Beachfront Yard/existing seaward title boundaries of the beachfront properties at Whangapoua in Overlay Map 12A.

---

**Submitter Number:** 896 **Submitter:** Ian Murray Revell

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342173](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 896.1(Section 10 Natural Hazards) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks review of the Current Coastal Erosion Line to either delete or relocate as it applies to Whangapoua Beach.

**Decision Requested:** 1. Delete Current Coastal Erosion Line; or2. Relocate the Line to coincide with the Beachfront Yard/existing seaward title boundaries of the beachfront properties at Whangapoua.

---

**Submitter Number:** 902 **Submitter:** Clive E and Sue Lewis O'Halloran

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343143](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 902.1(Section 10, Overlay Map 26C, Section 34.11) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes inclusion of Te Puru South into the Current Coastal Erosion Line Overlay.

**Decision Requested:** Exclude Te Puru South (south of Te Puru Creek) (Map 26C) and waterfront properties from Current Coastal Erosion Line Overlay policies in Section 10 and rules in Section 34.11.

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	912	<b>Submitter:</b>	Renee Annan
<b>On behalf of:</b>	Coromandel Watchdog of Hauraki Inc		
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3343201</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	912.5(Section 10 Natural Hazards)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Support		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Retain provisions in Section 10.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Retain provisions in Section 10.		
<hr/>			

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	925	<b>Submitter:</b>	Bridget Hackshaw
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3342186</a>		
<hr/>			
<b>Submission Point No:</b>	925.1(Sections 10 and 34 Whangapoua)	<b>Category</b>	Section 10 - Natural Hazards
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>	Oppose		
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Seeks review of the Current Coastal Erosion Line to either delete or relocate as it applies to Whangapoua Beach (Overlay Map 12A).		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Delete Current Coastal Erosion Line from Overlay Map 12A, or relocate the Line to coincide with the Beachfront Yard/existing seaward title boundaries of the beachfront properties at Whangapoua.		
<hr/>			

**Submitter Number:** 935 **Submitter:** Sally Millar

**On behalf of:** Federated Farmers of New Zealand

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 935.9(Section 10 Natural Hazards) **Category** Section 10 - Natural Hazards

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 10 (Natural Hazards) subject to other points raised in this submission.

**Decision Requested:** Retain Section 10 (Natural Hazards) subject to other points raised in this submission.

---

**Category Name: PART II - OVERLAY ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES**

**Section 11 - Significant Trees**

**Submitter Number:** 1241 **Submitter:** Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultant Ltd

**On behalf of:** Powerco Limited

**ECM File Numbers:** [3342088](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 1241.12(Section 11 - Significant Trees) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Supports Section 11 and seeks minor amendment to Policy 1d.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 11 Policy 1d to read: "The removal or felling of a significant tree shall be avoided, unless there are health and safety reasons for its removal."(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

---

**Submitter Number:** 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit

**On behalf of:** Environmental Defence Society Ltd

**ECM File Numbers:** [3334665](#); [3334666](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 320.5(Section 11 Significant Trees) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Supports the STEM methodology but requests expansion 'Significant Tree Schedule' to include trees up to 100 points score and strengthening of provisions for protecting urban vegetation.



**Decision Requested:** Retain approach to identify significant trees.Delete Policy 2b.Amend Appendix 3 to lower STEM threshold to 100 points and include respective trees.

**Submitter Number:** 547 **Submitter:** Sue Wright

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.22(Section 11) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the STEM score minimum of 170 as too high and gives no reflection of role of trees in history and landscape.

**Decision Requested:** Revisit the STEM Score decisions with a lower minimum score. Expand the schedule area to include rural areas.

---

**Submission Point No:** 547.38(Section 11 Significant Trees) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Seeks review of STEM score threshold and inclusion of rural areas into Schedule.

**Decision Requested:** Review STEM score threshold and Significant Tree Schedule and include rural areas of the Peninsula into the area included in the Schedule.

---

**Submitter Number:** 555 **Submitter:** Gary Blake

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3336569](#)

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	555.6	<b>Category</b>	Section 11 - Significant Trees
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	A good idea but needs to apply to the whole District.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> Not stated.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	578	<b>Submitter:</b>	Christine Vickerman
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337120</a>		

---

<b>Submission Point No:</b>	578.41(Section 11 - Significant Trees Policies 1c, 2b)	<b>Category</b>	Section 11 - Significant Trees
<b>Support/Oppose/Neutral:</b>			
<b>Submission Summary:</b>	Support restricting Section 11 to those trees of particular significance. Support Policies 1c and 2b.		
	<b>Decision Requested:</b> 1. Retain Section 11 to those trees of particular significance.2. Extend the same consideration for private property rights including financial incentives to all Plan policies that place a financial burden on landowners.		

---

<b>Submitter Number:</b>	711	<b>Submitter:</b>	Hilary Needham
<b>On behalf of:</b>			
<b>ECM File Numbers:</b>	<a href="#">3337762</a> ; <a href="#">3337761</a> ; <a href="#">3337760</a> ; <a href="#">3337759</a> ; <a href="#">3337758</a> ; <a href="#">3337764</a> ;		

---

**Submission Point No:** 711.2(Section 11 Significant Trees) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Neutral

**Submission Summary:** Seeks inclusion of Abe's Tree on Slipper Island into the TCDC Significant Tree Schedule.

**Decision Requested:** Include Abe's Tree on Slipper Island into Plan's Significant Trees Schedule.

**Submitter Number:** 774 **Submitter:** Larissa Doherty

**On behalf of:** Thames Community Board

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338200](#) ; [3338198](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 774.13(Section 11; Appendix 3) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Oppose the high STEM score as a large number of trees have been removed from the Significant Tree Schedule.

**Decision Requested:** Reduce the minimum STEM score for inclusion in the Significant Tree Schedule to 130.

---

**Submitter Number:** 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

**On behalf of:** Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338486](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 790.18(Section 11.1) **Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Support

**Submission Summary:** Background only briefly discusses significance of trees, and offers to Maori-specific introduction.

**Decision Requested:** Modify issues, objectives and policies to provide particular recognition and protection to culturally significant trees for Māori.

---

**Submitter Number:** 803

**Submitter:** Ian Michael Wernham

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3338612](#); [3338608](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 803.6(Section 11 Significant Trees)

**Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Opposes Section 11 Significant Trees.

**Decision Requested:** Amend Section 11 to require Council to nominate trees for scheduling at any time.

---

**Submitter Number:** 945

**Submitter:** Bronwyn Lee Blair

**On behalf of:**

**ECM File Numbers:** [3343386](#); [3343387](#); [3343385](#); [3343382](#)

---

**Submission Point No:** 945.6(Section 11 Significant Trees)

**Category** Section 11 - Significant Trees

**Support/Oppose/Neutral:** Oppose

**Submission Summary:** Delete Policy 2b.

**Decision Requested:** Delete Policy 2b.

---