

Submission Summary by Plan Section

Category Name: Thames-Coromandel Proposed District Plan - November 2013

PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Submitter Number: 410 **Submitter:** Ian Johnson - Environmental Management Services Ltd

On behalf of: Hahei Limousin Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3336460](#)

Submission Point No: 410.1(New section or provisions for Economic Growth policy) **Category** PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: The Plan does not give policy direction about resource management outside of settlements. There is limited policy context to seek economic objectives - needs greater recognition of economic considerations beyond specific zone boundaries. Need to contribute towards implementation of the Council's Economic Strategy.

Decision Requested: Either: Include a new section with issues, objectives, policies and methods to provide clear direction regarding the management of resources to achieve the economic growth of the District, consistent with the Council's adopted Economic Development Strategy; Or: Amend the existing policy framework to include enabling provisions that are specifically targeted at supporting economic growth, in particular to ensure that the framework is supportive of tourism and recreation activities that will enable the establishment of the Coromandel Great Walk.

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances

Submitter Number:	146	Submitter:	Abby Noire Gubay
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3330134 ; 3330135		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	146.2(Section 12 and Section 36)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Uphold the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act to protect the Gulf from potential heavy metal pollution from mining.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit transportation of toxic waste in the whole of the Coromandel, and protect the Hauraki Gulf from potential mining pollution.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	443	Submitter:	Kerry Watson
On behalf of:	Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold		
ECM File Numbers:	3342136 ; 3382330 ; 3382332		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	443.6(Section 12 Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Requests statement to be added within Section 12 Background 12.1.1 to clarify the relationship of the National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health to the Plan rules.		
	Decision Requested: Add statement to Section 12 Background 12.1.1 to clarify the NES and that District Plan rules cannot be more lenient than NES requirements.(refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	476	Submitter:	Trif Sitnikoff
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3334803		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	476.3(Section 12)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	The environment and community need to be protected from the harmful effects of mining and coastal development, which can irrevocably change the beautiful character of the landscape.		
	Decision Requested: Not stated.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	790	Submitter:	Nathan Kennedy
On behalf of:	Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit		
ECM File Numbers:	3338486		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	790.19(Section 12 - new issues, objectives, policies)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	No inclusion of a Maori perspective on hazardous sites and substances. Concepts like Tapu encapsulate traditional approaches to dealing with hazardous substances. Iwi have partnered in nationally significant clean up operations as kaitiaki. Council does not identify the merit in joining with other agencies, including iwi.		
	Decision Requested: 1. Require issues, objectives and policies to include		

Māori perspectives and values relating to hazardous waste and sites.
2. Add new objective and policy aimed at a coordinated inter-agency response to hazards.

Submission Point No: 790.20(Section 12.3) **Category** Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: No Maori component to the existing objectives and policies.

Decision Requested: Expand existing objectives and policies to incorporate Māori perspectives and values.

Submitter Number: 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338258](#) ; [3338259](#) ; [3338260](#) ; [3338261](#) ; [3338262](#) ; [3338263](#)

Submission Point No: 791.41(Section 12.1.2) **Category** Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Not all fertilisers are harmful e.g. seaweed based liquid fertilizer. Why does the Plan have this issue which we believed is under WRC jurisdiction?

Decision Requested: 1. Amend Section 12.1.2 paragraph 2 sentence 22. Remove chapter or refer to WRC documents.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 826 **Submitter:** Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultants Ltd

On behalf of: Z Energy Ltd, BP Oil NZ Ltd and Mobil Oil NZ Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3342089](#)

Submission Point No:	826.1(Section 12 Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	---

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Seeks minor amendments to wording of provisions in Section 12.

Decision Requested: 1. Retain Section 12.1.1.2. Retain Section 12 Objective 1 and amend Policy 1b. 3. Retain Section 12 Objective 2 and amend Policies 2a and 2b. 4. Retain Section 12 Policies 2c and 2d and amend Policy 2f. 5. Replace Section 12 Objective 3 with: "Residual risks posed by new hazardous facilities on people, property and the environment are managed to acceptable levels."6. Retain Section 12 Objective 4 and amend Policy 4a. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 900

Submitter: Suzanne Margaret Croft

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343115](#)

Submission Point No:	900.3(Section 12 Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	---

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Include consideration of heavy metal pollution as it impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act Objectives in Section 12.

Decision Requested: Include consideration of heavy metal pollution as it impacts on the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act Objectives in Section 12.

Submitter Number:	912	Submitter:	Renee Annan
On behalf of:	Coromandel Watchdog of Hauraki Inc		
ECM File Numbers:	3343201		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	912.7(Section 12 Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Seeks recognition in Background and Issues of Section 12 of Mining Activities' contribution to contamination in the District.		
	Decision Requested: Add recognition in Background and Issues of Section 12 of Mining Activities' contribution to contamination in the District.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	919	Submitter:	Sheena Beaton
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343222		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	919.4(Section 12 Contaminated Land)	Category	Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Neutral		
Submission Summary:	Uphold the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act to protect the Gulf from potential heavy metal pollution.		
	Decision Requested: Include provisions to protect the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park from toxic waste and heavy metal pollution from mining.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number: 935 **Submitter:** Sally Millar

On behalf of: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

ECM File Numbers: [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

Submission Point No: 935.10(Section 12 Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances) **Category** Section 12 - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Retain Section 12 as notified.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 12 as notified subject to submissions made on Section 36 (District Wide Rules - Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances).

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 13 - Financial Contributions

Submitter Number:	298	Submitter:	Dean Glen
On behalf of:	Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms		
ECM File Numbers:	3334658 ; 3334659		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	298.19(Section 13 - Financial Contributions)	Category	Section 13 - Financial Contributions
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Section 13.1 and recognises the limitations of imposing Financial Contributions as Council uses Development Contributions under the LGA.		
	Decision Requested: Retain Section 13.1.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	780	Submitter:	Al Fleming
On behalf of:	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		
ECM File Numbers:	3338431		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	780.4(Section 13 Financial Contributions)	Category	Section 13 - Financial Contributions
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Seeks redefinition of Issue 2, a new objective and a new Method 2 to provide for strategic land protection .		
	Decision Requested: Add a new Issue 2 for subdivision and development. Add an Objective 3 for protection of strategic land. Add a new Method 2 to address the necessary acquisition of strategic land.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	781	Submitter:	Augusta Macassey-Pickard
On behalf of:	Mercury Bay branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		
ECM File Numbers:	3337947		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	781.4(Section 13 Financial Contributions)	Category	Section 13 - Financial Contributions
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Requests more explicit wording of how the Plan will achieve strategic land acquisition.		
	Decision Requested: Add new Issue to 13.2.Add new Objective 3.Add new Method 2.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	787	Submitter:	Jenni Fitzgerald
On behalf of:	New Zealand Transport Agency		
ECM File Numbers:	3338388 ; 3338389 ; 3338390		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	787.8(Section 13 Financial Contributions)	Category	Section 13 - Financial Contributions
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports the taking of financial contributions to mitigate the effects that subdivision use or development can have on transport infrastructure.		
	Decision Requested: Retain Section 13 as notified.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	790	Submitter:	Nathan Kennedy
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	----------------

On behalf of: Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

ECM File Numbers: [3338486](#)

Submission Point No: 790.21(Section 13) **Category** Section 13 - Financial Contributions

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: In instances where Maori largely or exclusively bear the costs of development, Council should be empowered to take financial contributions that are targeted to iwi authorities, or used toward mitigating the particular impact incurred.

Decision Requested: Issue, Objective and Policy framework to acknowledge the potential for iwi/Māori to suffer disproportionately from development, and create the potential for their injury to be mitigated using financial contributions, including land.

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

1.4.3-Section 14 - Mining Activities

Submitter Number: 100 **Submitter:** Seba Illingworth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3323153](#)

Submission Point No: 100.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 100.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1000 **Submitter:** Lucie Maskouai

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344365](#)

Submission Point No: 1000.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1000.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1001 **Submitter:** Carrie Adlington

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344370](#)

Submission Point No:	1001.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1001.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1002	Submitter:	Susan Carpenter
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343380		
Submission Point No:	1002.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1002.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1004

Submitter: Paul Larkin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344371](#)

Submission Point No: 1004.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1004.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1005 **Submitter:** Kail Watson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344373](#)

Submission Point No: 1005.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1005.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1006 **Submitter:** Stanley Cohen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344382](#)

Submission Point No: 1006.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the

presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1006.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1007 **Submitter:** Alex Schobel

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344383](#)

Submission Point No: 1007.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1007.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1008

Submitter: Kim Willoughby

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344384](#)

Submission Point No: 1008.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1008.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1009

Submitter: Pauline Allan-Downs

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344409](#)

Submission Point No: 1009.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1009.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 101

Submitter: Leigh Edlinger

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3323632](#)

Submission Point No: 101.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 101.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1010 **Submitter:** William Downs

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344410](#)

Submission Point No: 1010.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1010.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1011 **Submitter:** Ebba Berggund

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344414](#)

Submission Point No: 1011.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1011.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1012 **Submitter:** T Lawrence

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344419](#)

Submission Point No: 1012.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1012.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1013 **Submitter:** Anneliese Sally Keugler

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344420](#)

Submission Point No: 1013.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1013.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1014 **Submitter:** Jen Swain Nicholls

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344421](#)

Submission Point No:	1014.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1014.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1015	Submitter:	Daniel Vasku
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344423		
Submission Point No:	1015.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1015.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1016 **Submitter:** Susan Marie Lambert

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344431](#)

Submission Point No: 1016.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1016.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1017 **Submitter:** Brian Shone

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344433](#)

Submission Point No: 1017.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1017.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1018 **Submitter:** Rebecca Dunasemant

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344434](#)

Submission Point No: 1018.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1018.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1019 **Submitter:** Guardarasine Zumi

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344437](#)

Submission Point No: 1019.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1019.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 102

Submitter: Jude O'Connor

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3323797](#)

Submission Point No: 102.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 102.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1020

Submitter: Dave Atkins

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344443](#)

Submission Point No: 1020.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1020.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1021

Submitter: Candice and Jason Deal

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344444](#)

Submission Point No: 1021.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1021.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1022 **Submitter:** Graham Dan O'Gorman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344445](#)

Submission Point No: 1022.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1022.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1023 **Submitter:** Jonathan Evatt

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344446](#)

Submission Point No: 1023.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1023.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1024 **Submitter:** Tracey Aparahama

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344452](#)

Submission Point No: 1024.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1024.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1025 **Submitter:** Nova Deua

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344455](#)

Submission Point No: 1025.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1025.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1026 **Submitter:** Annette Perkins

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344458](#)

Submission Point No:	1026.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1026.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1027	Submitter:	Jennifer Neal
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344460		
Submission Point No:	1027.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1027.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1028 **Submitter:** Denise Greppi

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344464](#)

Submission Point No: 1028.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1028.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1029 **Submitter:** Raewyn Gerrard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344471](#)

Submission Point No: 1029.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1029.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1030 **Submitter:** Michael Murphy

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344474](#)

Submission Point No: 1030.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1030.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1031 **Submitter:** Kate Van Velzen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344487](#)

Submission Point No: 1031.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1031.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1032

Submitter: Kim Costello

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344496](#)

Submission Point No: 1032.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1032.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1033

Submitter: Jenny Rahman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344498](#)

Submission Point No: 1033.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1033.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1034

Submitter: Carol Black

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344507](#)

Submission Point No: 1034.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1034.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1035 **Submitter:** David Not given

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344508](#)

Submission Point No: 1035.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1035.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1036 **Submitter:** Richard Cruice

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344509](#)

Submission Point No: 1036.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1036.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1037 **Submitter:** Strachan Rivers

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344529](#)

Submission Point No: 1037.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1037.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1038 **Submitter:** Melissa Puschke

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344534](#)

Submission Point No: 1038.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1038.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1039 **Submitter:** Kerri Herring

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344535](#)

Submission Point No:	1039.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1039.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1040	Submitter:	Jonathan Key Shelton
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344578		
Submission Point No:	1040.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1040.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1041

Submitter: Martin Tegg

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344585](#)

Submission Point No: 1041.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1041.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1042 **Submitter:** Wayne Lallu

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344588](#)

Submission Point No: 1042.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1042.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1043 **Submitter:** Shara Cairncross

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344609](#)

Submission Point No: 1043.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1043.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1044 **Submitter:** Martin Johns

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344611](#)

Submission Point No: 1044.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1044.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1045

Submitter: Mea Rapata

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344628](#)

Submission Point No: 1045.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1045.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1046

Submitter: Ross McDonald

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344631](#)

Submission Point No: 1046.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1046.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1048

Submitter: Martin Marshall

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344636](#)

Submission Point No: 1048.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1048.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1049 **Submitter:** Peter Bankers

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344637](#)

Submission Point No: 1049.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1049.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 105 **Submitter:** John Marks

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3325090](#)

Submission Point No: 105.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 105.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1051 **Submitter:** Jimmy George

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344659](#)

Submission Point No: 1051.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1051.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1052 **Submitter:** Michelle Waring

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344660](#)

Submission Point No: 1052.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1052.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1053 **Submitter:** Patrick O'Dea

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344664](#)

Submission Point No:	1053.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1053.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1054	Submitter:	Ron Schoolcraft
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344671		
Submission Point No:	1054.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1054.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1055 **Submitter:** Sue Wright

On behalf of: Upper Coromandel Branch of Forest and Bird

ECM File Numbers: [3344673](#)

Submission Point No: 1055.2(Section 14.3 Objectives and policies) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Policy 1g and seeks protection of Schedule 4 land from Mining

Activities.

Decision Requested: Retain Policy 1g and protect Schedule 4 Land from Mining Activities.

Submitter Number: 1056 **Submitter:** Haim Barnes

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344674](#)

Submission Point No: 1056.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1056.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social

and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1057 **Submitter:** Amy Lewis

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344680](#)

Submission Point No: 1057.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1057.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1058 **Submitter:** Chris Oakley

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344682](#)

Submission Point No: 1058.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1058.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1059 **Submitter:** Jade Thompson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344696](#)

Submission Point No: 1059.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1059.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 106

Submitter: Penny Taylor

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3325267](#)

Submission Point No: 106.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 106.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1060 **Submitter:** Geoffrey Prenthe

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344699](#)

Submission Point No: 1060.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1060.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1061 **Submitter:** Natalie B Poole

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344702](#)

Submission Point No: 1061.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1061.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1062 **Submitter:** Leela Woolf

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344705](#)

Submission Point No: 1062.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1062.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1063 **Submitter:** Ben Woolf

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344710](#)

Submission Point No: 1063.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel.

Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1063.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1065 **Submitter:** Peter J Woolf

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344712](#)

Submission Point No: 1065.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the

subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1065.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1066 **Submitter:** Deborah McDonald Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344730](#)

Submission Point No: 1066.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1066.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1067

Submitter: Paul Gray

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344731](#)

Submission Point No: 1067.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	1067.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1068	Submitter:	Shelley Carr
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344733		

Submission Point No:	1068.5(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	1068.6(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1069

Submitter: Fraser Bruce

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344734](#)

Submission Point No: 1069.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1069.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1071 **Submitter:** Paul Rolton

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344740](#)

Submission Point No: 1071.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1071.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number:	1072	Submitter:	Kaye Robin Anderson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344741		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1072.2(Sections 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	The current Plan does not protect natural values sufficiently and stronger protection should be introduced.		
	Decision Requested: Protect Schedule 4 land from mining.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1073	Submitter:	Arty Chambers
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344742		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1073.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental		

legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1073.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1074 **Submitter:** Matthew Pearson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344752](#)

Submission Point No: 1074.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1074.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1075

Submitter: Tahi Eynon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344753](#)

Submission Point No: 1075.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1075.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1077

Submitter: Sandra Ardern

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344757](#)

Submission Point No: 1077.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1077.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1078

Submitter: Andrea Por

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344759](#)

Submission Point No: 1078.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1078.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1080

Submitter: Alia Finn

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344763](#)

Submission Point No: 1080.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1080.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1081 **Submitter:** Kane Lowrie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344766](#)

Submission Point No: 1081.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1081.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1082 **Submitter:** Ashlee Bishop

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344772](#)

Submission Point No: 1082.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1082.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1083 **Submitter:** Ana L Passadore

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344669](#)

Submission Point No: 1083.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1083.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1085 **Submitter:** Merena Russell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344773](#)

Submission Point No: 1085.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1085.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1086 **Submitter:** Cristian Fumagalli

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344777](#)

Submission Point No:	1086.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1086.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1087	Submitter:	Paul Hodson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344779		
Submission Point No:	1087.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1087.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1089 **Submitter:** Justine Keating

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344789](#)

Submission Point No: 1089.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1089.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 109 **Submitter:** Jacqueline Mitcalfe

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3325486](#)

Submission Point No: 109.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 109.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1090 **Submitter:** Joydah Bernardo

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344790](#)

Submission Point No: 1090.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1090.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1091 **Submitter:** Jaqui Barrett

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344793](#)

Submission Point No: 1091.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1091.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1092

Submitter: Rudo Greissworth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344794](#)

Submission Point No: 1092.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1092.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1093

Submitter: Leigh Peters

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344798](#)

Submission Point No: 1093.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1093.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1094

Submitter: Bridgette Bowles

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344802](#)

Submission Point No: 1094.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1094.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1095 **Submitter:** Chika Januka

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344806](#)

Submission Point No: 1095.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1095.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1096 **Submitter:** Rachel Ryan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344809](#)

Submission Point No: 1096.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1096.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1097 **Submitter:** Karamea Racey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344814](#)

Submission Point No: 1097.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1097.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1098 **Submitter:** Rebekah Manly

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344816](#)

Submission Point No: 1098.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1098.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1099 **Submitter:** Rosalie D Howard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344817](#)

Submission Point No:	1099.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1099.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1100	Submitter:	Penryn Balluyn
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344833		
Submission Point No:	1100.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1100.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1101 **Submitter:** Brian Doelenti

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344836](#)

Submission Point No: 1101.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1101.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1102 **Submitter:** Ingrid Huygens

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344841](#)

Submission Point No: 1102.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1102.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1103 **Submitter:** Diane Griffiths

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344862](#)

Submission Point No: 1103.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1103.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1104 **Submitter:** Linley Edmeades

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344864](#)

Submission Point No: 1104.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1104.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1105

Submitter: Linda Sayer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344865](#)

Submission Point No: 1105.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1105.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1106

Submitter: Jordan Lee Madden

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344866](#)

Submission Point No: 1106.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1106.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1107

Submitter: Jesse Seymour

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344868](#)

Submission Point No: 1107.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1107.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1108 **Submitter:** Ryan Green

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344871](#)

Submission Point No: 1108.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1108.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1109 **Submitter:** P A Thomas

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344884](#)

Submission Point No: 1109.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1109.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1110 **Submitter:** Leanne O'Keefe

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344885](#)

Submission Point No: 1110.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1110.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1111 **Submitter:** Maggie Furlong

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344886](#)

Submission Point No: 1111.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1111.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1112

Submitter: J Bartulovich

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344887](#)

Submission Point No:	1112.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1112.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1113	Submitter:	Zachariah Rutledge
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344888		
Submission Point No:	1113.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1113.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1115 **Submitter:** Elizabeth Sykes

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344944](#)

Submission Point No: 1115.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1115.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1116 **Submitter:** Joshua Underwood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344965](#)

Submission Point No: 1116.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1116.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1117 **Submitter:** Yoann Lebosse

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344981](#)

Submission Point No: 1117.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1117.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1118 **Submitter:** Todd Fleming

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344983](#)

Submission Point No: 1118.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1118.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1119

Submitter: James Rauch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344984](#)

Submission Point No: 1119.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1119.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1120

Submitter: Jurjen Haitsma

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344985](#)

Submission Point No: 1120.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1120.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1121

Submitter: Ian Campbell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344987](#)

Submission Point No: 1121.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1121.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1122 **Submitter:** Veronica Keucke

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344988](#)

Submission Point No: 1122.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1122.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1123 **Submitter:** Cristina Schurch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344990](#)

Submission Point No: 1123.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1123.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1124 **Submitter:** Marcia Keucke

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344992](#)

Submission Point No: 1124.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1124.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1125 **Submitter:** Kajal McCartin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344993](#)

Submission Point No: 1125.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1125.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1126 **Submitter:** Lynda Astley

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344994](#)

Submission Point No:	1126.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1126.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1127	Submitter:	Chrissie Rayment
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344997		
Submission Point No:	1127.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1127.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1128 **Submitter:** David Henderson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344999](#)

Submission Point No: 1128.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1128.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1129 **Submitter:** Mariana Picolo

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345011](#)

Submission Point No: 1129.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1129.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 113 **Submitter:** Thomas and Pamela Dodd

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3325928](#)

Submission Point No: 113.1(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposed to any mining, including exploration, on the Coromandel.

Decision Requested: Not stated in submission

Submitter Number: 1130 **Submitter:** Tim Parsons

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345013](#)

Submission Point No: 1130.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1130.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1131 **Submitter:** Samantha Haitsma

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345015](#)

Submission Point No: 1131.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1131.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1132 **Submitter:** Amber No surname given

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345018](#)

Submission Point No:	1132.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	1132.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1133	Submitter:	Hilary Donald
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344839		
Submission Point No:	1133.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1133.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1134 **Submitter:** Malcolm Drennan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [334964](#)

Submission Point No: 1134.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1134.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1135 **Submitter:** Tara Paetai

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345026](#)

Submission Point No: 1135.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1135.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1136 **Submitter:** Peter Anderson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345057](#)

Submission Point No: 1136.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1136.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1137 **Submitter:** Kim Anderson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345071](#)

Submission Point No: 1137.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1137.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1139

Submitter: Joan Delellis

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345128](#)

Submission Point No: 1139.2(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Support the recognition that mining can result in significant and irreversible adverse environmental effects and contamination. Objectives and policies should reflect Crown Minerals Act. Section 14.2.2. Introduce objectives and policies that prohibit mining within Outstanding Landscapes, Amenity Landscapes and Natural Character Areas. Oppose "compensate".

Decision Requested: 1. Strengthen and expand the objectives and policies in Section 14 2. Amend the objectives and policies.3. Delete Section 14.2.2.4. Add new objectives and policies that prohibit mining.5. Remove "or compensate" from: Objective 1b, Policies 1b and 1f.6. In Policy 3b, change "are minimised" to "shall be avoided".(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 1161

Submitter: Genevieve Morley

On behalf of: Fern Lodge Coromandel

ECM File Numbers: [3345610](#)

Submission Point No: 1161.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1161.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1167

Submitter: Jasmin Hamilton

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345816](#)

Submission Point No: 1167.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1167.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1168 **Submitter:** Ashley Kayla Lane

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345818](#)

Submission Point No: 1168.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1168.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1169 **Submitter:** Robert Stott

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345819](#)

Submission Point No: 1169.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1169.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 117 **Submitter:** Johanna van Kooten

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3327337](#)

Submission Point No: 117.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 117.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1170 **Submitter:** Mahia Haddon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345820](#)

Submission Point No: 1170.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1170.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1171 **Submitter:** Shaquille Haddon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345822](#)

Submission Point No: 1171.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1171.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1172 **Submitter:** Kale Webster

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345823](#)

Submission Point No: 1172.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	1172.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	1173	Submitter:	Stefanie Oberneder
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3345824		

Submission Point No:	1173.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	1173.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1174

Submitter: Shannon Duncan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345846](#)

Submission Point No: 1174.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1174.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1175 **Submitter:** Jessica Lockhart

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345847](#)

Submission Point No: 1175.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1175.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1176 **Submitter:** Lloyd Tuarai McQueen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345849](#)

Submission Point No: 1176.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1176.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number:	1197	Submitter:	Ben Castelow
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3338589		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1197.1(Sections 14, 32, 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Section 14 does not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, the RMA and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act. Opposes any part of the District Plan that allows mining activities. Wants to be confident the Council has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining. Opposes underground mining rules that do not provide for affected zones outside the access zone. Supports separating quarrying from mining activities. Opposes Section 14.2.2 as unrepresentative of community values. Opposes lack of acknowledgement that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining.</p> <p>Decision Requested: 1. Prohibit all mining activities in in all zones and Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character, Amenity Landscape and Coastal Environment Overlays. 2. Separate quarrying activities from mining activities to avoid confusion. 3. Amend Section 14.1.4. Acknowledge the long-term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and it's detrimental effects. 5. Delete Section 14.2.2. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1198	Submitter:	Rachel Harrison
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342838		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1198.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1198.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1199 **Submitter:** Michael Gunson

On behalf of: Surfbreak Protection Society

ECM File Numbers: [3338380](#)

Submission Point No: 1199.15(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Section 14 does not reflect community, amenity, and biodiversity values required by the RPS, the RMA and HGMPA. The provisions will not protect biodiversity and natural indigenous vegetation.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 1199.24(Sections 14, 32, 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose any provision that allows mining activities, including underground mining, in: Coastal, Conservation, Rural and Residential Zones, Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays, and in the Coastal Environment, where these activities are upstream of surfbreaks and the environments that support them. The Plan must give effect to the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act. The HGMPA's Forum's guidelines page 79 F.3 should be applied.

Decision Requested: 1. Identify regionally and nationally significant surf breaks. 2. Prohibit mining activities in Coastal, Conservation, Rural and Residential Zones, and Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays where these activities may adversely affect surf breaks and the environments that support them. 3. Prohibit mining activities in the Coastal Environment. 4. Acknowledge in the Plan the obligation to protect surf breaks from dredging (including mining).

Submission Point No: 1199.26(Sections 14, 17) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The submitter needs to be confident TCDC has recognised the views of tangata whenua on mining in the Plan.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 1199.29(Section 14.1) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the language in Section 14.1 about the history of gold mining.

Decision Requested: 1. Clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. 2. Acknowledge the adverse impacts of the modern mining industry on small communities. 3. Remove the sentence: "The District has a long

~~history of mining for gold and other minerals.”~~, and instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years, between 1860 and 1930, and was a small scale industry compared to the mining activities of today.

Submission Point No: 1199.30(Section 14.1 - new provision) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The Plan should acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy and the detrimental effects of historical mining in the District.

Decision Requested: In Section 14.1, acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy and the detrimental effects of historical mining in the District.

Submission Point No: 1199.31(Section 14.1 - Para 4, sentence 4; Issue 2) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose Mining Activities having priority over other forms of development.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 14.1 - Para 4, sentence 4 and Issue 2.

Submission Point No: 1199.32(Section 14 - new provision) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose having no acknowledgment that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining.

Decision Requested: Acknowledge that a large number of Coromandel residents are opposed to mining, and that the 40 year history of the ‘No Mining’ campaign in Coromandel has contributed significantly to our Natural Character.

Submission Point No: 1199.36(Sections 14 and 37 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks protection of Surfbreaks and the Coastal Environment from Mining Activities and inclusion of Schedule 4 land into Outstanding Natural Landscape Overlay. Seeks prohibition of all Mining Activities in all Zones.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all Mining Activities in all Zones. Protect Surfbreaks and the Coastal Environment from Mining Activities. Include Schedule 4 land within Outstanding Landscape Overlay. Amend reference to enabling the Council to take 'the presence of mineral resources into account' in Section 14.2.2 and add reference to the environmental legacy of mining activities into Section 14.1.

Submitter Number: 1200 **Submitter:** Le Metayn Valentin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344967](#)

Submission Point No: 1200.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1200.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 1204 **Submitter:** Victor McLean

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1204.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 1205 **Submitter:** Lisa May Hale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1205.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1206 **Submitter:** Kellie Harrison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1206.1(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1207 **Submitter:** Jackson Sweeney

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1207.1(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number:	1208	Submitter:	Shanene Sweeney
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1208.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1209	Submitter:	Cherie Ngapo
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1209.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1210	Submitter:	Shawn Thwaites
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1210.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1211	Submitter:	Daniell Huia
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1211.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1212	Submitter:	Alana Baret
--------------------------	------	-------------------	-------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1212.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1213 **Submitter:** D Keremeneta

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1213.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1214 **Submitter:** A Morgain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1214.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1215 **Submitter:** S L Maneroa

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1215.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1216 **Submitter:** D Manyard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1216.1(Sections 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1217

Submitter: Wendy Vause

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1217.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1218

Submitter: R Richard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No:	1218.1(Sections 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		

Submitter Number:	1219	Submitter:	Bernice Laurie
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		

Submission Point No:	1219.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		

Submitter Number:	1220	Submitter:	Rupeka Renata
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		

Submission Point No:	1220.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1221

Submitter: Boni Renata

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1221.1(Section 14 and 37)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1222

Submitter: Abby Forbes

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1222.1(Section 14 and 37)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1223 **Submitter:** Thelma Waru

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1223.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1224 **Submitter:** Teri Eynon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1224.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1225

Submitter: Taega Hale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1225.1(Section 14 and 37)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1226

Submitter: Erica Burger

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1226.1(Section 14 and 37)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number:	1227	Submitter:	Tina Duggan
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1227.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land. Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1228	Submitter:	Rewi Browne
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1228.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land. Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1229	Submitter:	Judy Hawkey
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1229.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1230	Submitter:	Inge Provoost
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1230.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1231	Submitter:	P Thompson
--------------------------	------	-------------------	------------

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1231.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 1232

Submitter: R Riri

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1232.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit mining activities in the District

Submitter Number: 1233

Submitter: Karen Messcte

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1233.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1234

Submitter: M Zenneth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1234.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1235

Submitter: Maria Woods

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1235.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1236 **Submitter:** Annie Holman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1236.1(Section 14 and 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1237 **Submitter:** Pererika Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No:	1237.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		

Submitter Number:	1238	Submitter:	Barbara J Smith
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		

Submission Point No:	1238.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).		

Submitter Number:	1239	Submitter:	Georgia May Turner
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		

Submission Point No:	1239.1(Section 14 and 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining activities, including underground mining, especially in Conservation, Coastal, Rural, Residential and Maori Owned Land.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities in the coastal environment (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1240

Submitter: Katharina Kercher

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344329](#)

Submission Point No: 1240.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 1240.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 135 **Submitter:** Deb Brock

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330126](#)

Submission Point No: 135.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 135.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 136 **Submitter:** Alex Pearce

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330127](#)

Submission Point No: 136.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 136.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 137 **Submitter:** Lotte Kristoffersen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330128](#)

Submission Point No: 137.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 137.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 138 **Submitter:** Jolanda Van der Putten

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330129](#)

Submission Point No: 138.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 138.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 139 **Submitter:** Sylvie Chasteau

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330130](#)

Submission Point No:	139.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	139.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	140	Submitter:	Dean Atchinson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3330131		
Submission Point No:	140.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 140.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 141

Submitter: Brian Dixon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330140](#)

Submission Point No: 141.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 141.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 142 **Submitter:** Eric Zwaan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330139](#)

Submission Point No: 142.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 142.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 143 **Submitter:** Nancy Zwaan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330138](#)

Submission Point No: 143.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 143.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 144 **Submitter:** Colleen Sorensen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330137](#)

Submission Point No: 144.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 144.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 145

Submitter: Joanne Richards

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330136](#)

Submission Point No: 145.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 145.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 146

Submitter: Abby Noire Gubay

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330134](#); [3330135](#)

Submission Point No: 146.3(Section 14.1 - First sentence)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes reference to "long history of mining"

Decision Requested: Delete first sentence in Section 14.1.

Submitter Number: 147

Submitter: Christin Atchinson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330132](#)

Submission Point No: 147.6(Section 14.1 Mining

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 147.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 148 **Submitter:** Marc Van der Putten

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330133](#)

Submission Point No: 148.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 148.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 149 **Submitter:** Dylan Lajunen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330193](#)

Submission Point No: 149.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 149.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 150 **Submitter:** Anne Van Leeuwen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330213](#)

Submission Point No: 150.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 150.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 152

Submitter: Julie Worth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330299](#)

Submission Point No: 152.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 152.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 153 **Submitter:** Graeme Erste and Maire Leadbeater

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330301](#)

Submission Point No: 153.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 153.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 162

Submitter: Jani Dennis

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330570](#)

Submission Point No: 162.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 162.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 166

Submitter: Dawn and Derek McMillan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330578](#)

Submission Point No: 166.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 166.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 177

Submitter: Christine Hatton

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3330767](#)

Submission Point No: 177.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 177.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 185 **Submitter:** Paula Kirkwood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331090](#)

Submission Point No: 185.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 185.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 186 **Submitter:** Daniel Kirsch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331091](#)

Submission Point No: 186.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 186.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 189 **Submitter:** Susan Racey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331385](#)

Submission Point No: 189.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 189.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 19 **Submitter:** Julian Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3302799](#)

Submission Point No: 19.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 19.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 191 **Submitter:** Otis Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331392](#)

Submission Point No:	191.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	191.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	193	Submitter:	Bonnie O'Keefe
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3331393		
Submission Point No:	193.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 193.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 195 **Submitter:** Jenny Kay Crawshaw

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331685](#)

Submission Point No: 195.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 195.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 197 **Submitter:** Brent Crawshaw

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331686](#)

Submission Point No: 197.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 197.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 199 **Submitter:** Daniela Suess

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331688](#)

Submission Point No: 199.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 199.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 201 **Submitter:** Paul Schneider

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331689](#)

Submission Point No: 201.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 201.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 202

Submitter: Lucia Lulutai Suess

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331690](#)

Submission Point No: 202.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 202.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 203

Submitter: Lizzie Sullivan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331415](#)

Submission Point No: 203.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 203.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 206

Submitter: Amanda Ewing

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331416](#)

Submission Point No: 206.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 206.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 207

Submitter: Shelley Ruhen and Jeff Whitfield

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331697](#)

Submission Point No: 207.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 207.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 208 **Submitter:** Paul Lee Lee

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331419](#)

Submission Point No: 208.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 208.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 209 **Submitter:** Keri Manuel

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331704](#)

Submission Point No: 209.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 209.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 210 **Submitter:** Evelyne Siegrist

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331420](#)

Submission Point No: 210.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 210.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 213 **Submitter:** Susan Whitefield

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331424](#)

Submission Point No:	213.1(Section 14 Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Opposes restriction of 'other activities' in preference to as yet unknown mineral deposits.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Include Amenity Overlay in Policy 1a.Consequential Amendment Section 32Amend Overlay Rules to prohibit all mining activities in these areas.Consequential Amendment Section 37Amend Table 3 to prohibit all surface and underground mining in these areas.</p>		
Submission Point No:	213.2(Section 14 Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>General support for protecting people from residual risks in Section 14, for prohibiting mining in areas that have been identified as significant, for prohibited status in section 37, with amendments as per decisions sought.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Retain Objective 3Retain prohibition of mining in areas that have been identified as significant, however map these areas on private land also to ensure that there can be no loss of biodiversity or amenity values and include underground mining as prohibited in these areas.Retain prohibited status for mining in parts of section 37, extend prohibited status to include all conservation, rural, residential and coastal areas for both surface and underground mining. Exploration should not be a permitted activity.Consider mandatory notification for all mining activities.</p>		

Submitter Number:	216	Submitter:	Hilary and Allan Calman
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3331436		
Submission Point No:	216.2(Section 14 and section 37 Mining)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Sections 14 and 37 are opposed and greater protection of natural values is requested. Separation of quarrying activities is supported.

Decision Requested: 1. Amend Section 14 to reflect RMA, RPS and HGMPA requirements. 2. Amend Section 37.4 to prohibit all mining activities in all zones.3. Retain separation of quarrying activities.4. Amend Section 14.1 to reflect the long-term effects of mining.5. Amend Section 14.2.2 to delete priority consideration of mining activities.

Submitter Number: 220

Submitter: Molly Sullivan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331447](#)

Submission Point No: 220.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 220.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 221

Submitter: Kathryn Jury

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331448](#)

Submission Point No: 221.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 221.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 222 **Submitter:** Cody Hill

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331449](#)

Submission Point No: 222.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 222.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 227 **Submitter:** Erica Lattughi

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331848](#)

Submission Point No: 227.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 227.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 229 **Submitter:** Ron Egan and Sue Dorrington

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331908](#); [3331910](#); [3331909](#)

Submission Point No: 229.11(Section 14 - Mining Activities - Objective 1a) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Objective 1a.

Decision Requested: Strengthen the rules to ensure that any future clean-up or remedial work required does not cost ratepayers. Ensure the rules are strong enough that remedial work is not required.

Submission Point No: 229.12(Section 14 - Mining Activities - Objective 3) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Objective 3.

Decision Requested: Strengthen the rules to ensure future clean-up or remedial work does not cost ratepayers. Ensure the rules are strong enough to ensure that remedial work will not be required.

Submission Point No: 229.13(Section 14 - Mining Activities - Objective 4) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Objective 4.

Decision Requested: Strengthen the rules to ensure future clean-up or remedial work does not cost ratepayers. Ensure the rules are strong enough to ensure that remedial work will not be required.

Submission Point No:	229.4(Section 14 - Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes any increase to mining activities in the Coromandel and does not think that adequate protection is given to the District's intrinsic values.		
	Decision Requested: Remove requirements to restrict other activities in preference to unknown mineral deposits.		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	229.7(Section 14 - Mining Activities - Policy 1a)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Amenity areas are not afforded adequate protection.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 14 Mining Activities Policy 1a to include reference to the Amenity Landscape Overlay.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	23	Submitter:	Rowena Brown
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3304342		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	23.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental		

legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 23.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 235 **Submitter:** Rosalie Steward

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3331234](#)

Submission Point No: 235.2(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports the District Plan making a distinction between quarrying and mining.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 235.3(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the provisions for mining activities, other than quarrying, in the District Plan.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 238

Submitter: Erica Rose

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3332531](#)

Submission Point No: 238.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 238.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social

and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 240 **Submitter:** Marcia Sowman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3332747](#)

Submission Point No: 240.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 240.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 265

Submitter: Pat Wood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333083](#)

Submission Point No: 265.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 265.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 273

Submitter: Klaus Pierstorff

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333849](#)

Submission Point No: 273.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 273.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 274 **Submitter:** Clive Monds

On behalf of: Thames Coast Protection Society

ECM File Numbers: [3333859](#)

Submission Point No: 274.1(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The Plan does not sufficiently protect Landscape and Biodiversity values but instead puts weight on the presence of mineral resources.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all Mining Activities in Outstanding Natural Landscape, Natural Character and Amenity Landscape Overlays in Section 32 Rules. Objectives and Policies in Section 14 should reflect RPS, RMA and HGMPA requirements. Prohibit Mining Activities within the Coastal Environment Overlay in section 7. Include 'High Value Conservation Areas' as identified in Schedule 4 into 'Outstanding Natural Landscapes' Overlay in Section 9 and prohibit Mining Activities within these areas. Reinforce negative effects of mining activities and the benefits of the natural environment within Section 14.1. Remove the provision of 'taking the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for subdivision, use and development of land' from section 14.2.2. Better reflect the objectives of the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint in section 15 of the Plan including the community's opposition to mining. Amend Note 1 in section 37.1 to provide rules for Underground Mining Activities in affected Zones outside the access zone. Amend Table 1 in section 37.4 to prohibit all mining activities in all zones. Separation of quarry activities from mining activities is supported.

Submitter Number: 283 **Submitter:** Chris Budgen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333958](#)

Submission Point No: 283.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 283.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 284 **Submitter:** Robyn (Saila) Wood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333995](#)

Submission Point No: 284.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 284.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 289 **Submitter:** Colin Broadley

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334020](#)

Submission Point No: 289.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 289.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 293 **Submitter:** Win and Dianne Tyrrell-Baxter

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3333881](#)

Submission Point No: 293.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 293.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 295

Submitter: Dave Howarth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334405](#)

Submission Point No: 295.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 295.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 297

Submitter: Lawrence and Robyn Winkler

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334655](#) ; [3334656](#)

Submission Point No: 297.2(Section 14 - Mining Activities)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports the recognition that mining can result in significant and irreversible adverse environmental effects and contamination.

Decision Requested:

Amend the Objectives and Policies to reflect the Crown Minerals Act and prohibit mining activities within the areas set out within Schedule 4 of the Crown Minerals Act.

Decision Reason:

Submission Point No: 297.3(Section 14 - Mining Activities 14.2 Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Issue 2 in Section 14.

Decision Requested: Request deletion of 14.2.2.

Submission Point No: 297.4(Section 14 - Mining Activities Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports objectives and policies that prohibit mining within Outstanding Landscapes, Amenity Landscapes and Natural Character Overlays.

Decision Requested:

Introduce objectives and policies that prohibit mining within the identified Outstanding Landscapes, Amenity Landscapes and Natural Character Overlays.

Submission Point No: 297.5(Section 14 - Mining Activities Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to Section 14 objective 1b, policy 1b and policy 1f.

Decision Requested:

Amend objective 1b, policy 1b and policy 1f by removing the words "or compensate."

Submission Point No: 297.6(Section 14.3 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks changes to Policy 3b to change the words "are minimised" to shall be avoided.

Decision Requested:

Amend Policy 3b to remove the words "are minimised" and replace with shall be avoided.

Decision Reason:Not stated

Submitter Number: 298

Submitter: Dean Glen

On behalf of: Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms

ECM File Numbers: [3334658](#); [3334659](#)

Submission Point No: 298.20(Section 14 - Mining Activities)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks recognition in Section 14 of Crown Minerals Act and its prohibition of mining within the DOC estate, coastal area north of the Kopu-Hikuai and Schedule 4 areas. Seeks prohibition of mining within Outstanding Landscape and Natural Character overlays, and in Amenity Landscape overlays if retained. Seeks strengthening of Section 14 Objectives and Policies to manage new mining activities and compensation, new objectives and policies similar to Section 16 to protect people and the environment from mining, including reverse sensitivity effects, and deletion of Issue 2. Requests that new mining activities have no preference over other activities.

Decision Requested: Introduce to Section 14 new objectives and policies that recognise the significant effects of mining and protects the district's existing characteristic and uniqueness from these effects; and new policies in accordance with Schedule 4 of the Crown Minerals Act that prohibits mining within the DOC estate and coastal area north of the Kopu-Hikuai. Delete Section 14 Issue 2. Introduce new policies in Section 14 that recognise mining activities within Outstanding, Natural Character and Amenity Landscapes (if retained) is

prohibited.In Objective 1a, remove the words: “or significantly”.Add to the beginning of Objective 1b: “Ensure new mining activities provide economic...”.Remove “or compensate” from: Objective 1b, Policy 1b & Policy 1f.Retain Policy 1a, 1c, 1d, 1e, & 1g unchanged.Include "Existing" at beginning of Objective 2 to clarify the objective relates to existing mining activities and retain Policy 2aRetain Objective 3 and Policy 3a.In Policy 3b change “are minimised” to "shall be avoided".Introduce the similar relevant objectives and policies contained within Section 16 (subdivision) that would be relevant to mining to protect people and the environment from the adverse effects of activities including reverse sensitivity effects.

Submitter Number: 305

Submitter: Rachel Ives

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334291](#)

Submission Point No: 305.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 305.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 306

Submitter: Sarah Wallingford

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334292](#)

Submission Point No: 306.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 306.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 307 **Submitter:** Heidi Tirikatene-Nash

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334293](#)

Submission Point No: 307.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 307.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 308 **Submitter:** Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334294](#)

Submission Point No: 308.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 308.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 314 **Submitter:** Robin Spragg

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334237](#)

Submission Point No: 314.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 314.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 315 **Submitter:** Rosanne Sullivan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334238](#)

Submission Point No: 315.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 315.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit

On behalf of: Environmental Defence Society Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3334665](#); [3334666](#)

Submission Point No:	320.27(Section 14 Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Supports parts of Section 14 and seeks specific rewording to strengthen controls on exploration, address environmental health issues and requirements for rehabilitation.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Clearly indicate in the introductory material that mining activities have been separated out to ensure adequate control of mining activities is achieved. In Section 14.1, retain first paragraph, and amend fourth paragraph to include need for careful management (though this management will not be sufficient in high value areas) as per submission. Retain Section 14 Issues with amendment to Issue 1 as follows: "Mineral <u>exploration</u>, extraction and processing ... d) Areas of significant indigenous biodiversity ..." and amendment to Issue 3 as follows: "... adversely affect <u>environmental health and the health and safety of communities.</u>" Amend Objective 1a with reworded version as per written submission (reference to identified overlays and requirement of avoidance of adverse effects). Amend Objective 1b as per submission to incorporate the mitigation hierarchy which is best practice in the field of environmental management. Amend Policy 1a to include avoidance of adverse effects on the coastal environment overlay, the Conservation Zone, the Amenity Landscape Overlays and the significant ecological area overlay. Amend Policy 1b to include 'avoidance' of adverse effects on values within the Coastal Environment as per submission, or delete if covered in Policy 1a. Retain Policy 1c, but amend (a) to include "<u>Avoid</u>, ...", amend (c) to apply to all fresh water catchments, and amend (d) to read: "Avoid <u>transport mobilisation of contaminants heavy metals and sulphides</u> concentrates out of the excavation and fill area" Amend Policy 1d as per submission to specify that rehabilitation is required to an extent that will allow reuse of the land and/or environmental enhancement. Amend Policy 1e to reflect the mitigation hierarchy of avoid>remedy>mitigate>compensate. General support for Policy 1f with suggested rewording as per written submission to be clear the policy only applies outside areas where avoidance is required, and what standard must be achieved through avoidance, remediation and/or mitigation. Retain Policy 1g. Amend Objective 3 to delete reference to 'residual risks'. Retain Policy 3a. Delete Policy 3b.</p>		

Submitter Number:	323	Submitter:	Hennie Van Kooten
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3335255		

Submission Point No:	323.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	323.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	324	Submitter:	Jill Kaeppli
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3335270		
Submission Point No:	324.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 324.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 326 **Submitter:** Richard Mullin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335261](#)

Submission Point No: 326.1(Sections 14 and 37 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: No mining activities to occur on conservation or open land north of a line from

Kopu to Pauanui as agreed by the national government in 1997.

Decision Requested: Amend Plan to keep away mining activities from conservation or open land north of a line from Kopu to Pauanui as agreed by the National Government in 1997. Mining in the peninsular should be prohibited.

Submitter Number: 327 **Submitter:** Vanessa Mack

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335273](#)

Submission Point No: 327.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 327.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near

mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 331 **Submitter:** Tina Spencer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335326](#)

Submission Point No: 331.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 331.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 337 **Submitter:** Angelika Poeschl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335380](#)

Submission Point No: 337.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 337.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 338 **Submitter:** Peter Schmuck-Poschl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335381](#)

Submission Point No: 338.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 338.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 342 **Submitter:** Renee Annan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335390](#)

Submission Point No: 342.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 342.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 343 **Submitter:** Sarah Fallon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335403](#)

Submission Point No:	343.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	343.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	344	Submitter:	Olivia Kaeppli
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3335179		
Submission Point No:	344.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 344.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 346 **Submitter:** Graham Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335404](#)

Submission Point No: 346.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 346.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 349 **Submitter:** Frank Waitai

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335183](#)

Submission Point No: 349.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 349.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 351 **Submitter:** Anthony Smythe

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335546](#)

Submission Point No: 351.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 351.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 356 **Submitter:** Ben Parsons

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335309](#) ; [3343173](#) ; [3335310](#)

Submission Point No: 356.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 356.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 365

Submitter: Chris & Lyndsay Ison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335909](#); [3335910](#)

Submission Point No: 365.17(Section 14.1 Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Comments on background in relation to the history of the district and economic benefits of mining.

Decision Requested: Remove the first sentence of Section 14.1 Background and quantify the economic benefits of mining and acknowledge the long term damage and costs of earlier mining activity.

Submission Point No: 365.18(Section 14.2 Issue 2) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the prioritisation of mining activities over other activities.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 365.19(Section 14.3 Objective 1a) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The objectives and policies do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, the Resource Management Act and the Hauraki Gulf Marine Part Act.

Decision Requested: Amend Objective 1a to remove the word 'significantly' and Policy 1b to removed the words 'or compensate.'

Submitter Number: 372 **Submitter:** Scott Wynands

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336407](#)

Submission Point No: 372.1(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: The Plan allows for mining activities across zones and overlays that are completely inappropriate for mining including rural, residential, coastal, conservation and significant natural areas.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 391 **Submitter:** Simon Powell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337302](#)

Submission Point No: 391.3(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Oppose mining on the Coromandel Peninsula's mountainous or otherwise steep land, land surrounded by the sea and in areas subject to heavy rainfall. Support low-impact environmentally enhancing economic activities.

Decision Requested: Do not permit mining on such mountainous or otherwise steep land, so much surrounded by the sea and in area subject to such heavy rainfall.

Submitter Number: 40

Submitter: Ruth Cargill

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309437](#)

Submission Point No: 40.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 40.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 405

Submitter: Joyce Birdsall

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337657](#) ; [3337658](#)

Submission Point No: 405.25(Section 14.3)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14.3 objectives and policies.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 405.26(Section 14 - Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 14.2 Issues.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 405.27(Section 14 - Objective 1b)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14.3 Objective 1b as mining cannot provide either social or environmental benefits to the region.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 405.28(Section 14 - Policy 1a) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes mining in areas of outstanding landscape or high natural character.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1a to prohibit mining in areas of outstanding landscape overlay or natural character overlay.

Submission Point No: 405.29(Section 14 - Policy 1b) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose mining in coastal environment.

Decision Requested: Amend policy 1b to prohibit mining in coastal environment.

Submission Point No: 405.30(Section 14 - Policy 1c) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Supports the criteria in Section 14.3 Policy 1c, but notes that they are mostly unenforceable because of the nature of mining. In relation to point e) notes that the Council does not have the ability to restrict truck movements if a road licence has been paid.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 405.31(Section 14 - Policy 1f) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14.3 Policy 1f suggesting that if effects cannot be avoided mining should not occur.

Decision Requested: Delete policy 1f.

Submission Point No: 405.32(Section 14 - Policy 3b) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14.3 Policy 3b as minimisation of effects is not good enough.

Decision Requested: Amend policy 3b from "are minimised" to "avoided".

Submitter Number: 41 **Submitter:** Amrita McNab

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309436](#)

Submission Point No: 41.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 41.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 419 **Submitter:** Christian McDean - AECOM NZ Limited

On behalf of: H.G. Leach and Company Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3337982](#); [3337984](#)

Submission Point No: 419.3(Section 14, Map 32) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Seeks amendment to the Planning Maps to identify the Matatoki Quarry, its mineral resource and overburden disposal areas.

Decision Requested: Identify the Matatoki Quarry on the Planning Maps (Map 32), as either a Significant Mineral Resource or Quarry Resource Area defined on the known location of the mineral resource and overburden disposal areas within the site.

Submission Point No:	419.4(Maps - existing quarry sites; new definitions of Quarry Resource Area and Quarry Buffer Area)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Neutral		
Submission Summary:	Seeks amendments to include quarry buffer areas around existing quarries (as per the Hauraki District Plan's Quarry Reverse Sensitivity Areas).		
	Decision Requested: 1. Amend the planning maps to identify Quarry Buffer Areas at least 250 metres around existing quarry sites. 2. Add definitions of both Quarry Resource Area and Quarry Buffer Areas (specific wording provided in original submission).		

Submitter Number:	42	Submitter:	Adam Coleman
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3309268		

Submission Point No:	42.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."		

Submission Point No:	42.7(Section 14.2 Mining	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 43

Submitter: Stephen Fowler

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309249](#)

Submission Point No: 43.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 43.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 435 **Submitter:** Catherine Delahunty

On behalf of: Green Party of Aotearoa New Zealand

ECM File Numbers: [3338296](#); [3338297](#)

Submission Point No: 435.1(Section 14 and Section 37 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes facilitation of mining activities in either the built or the natural environment.

Decision Requested: Prohibit Mining Activities throughout the District.

Submitter Number: 439 **Submitter:** Maggie Johnson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342313](#); [3342315](#); [3334901](#)

Submission Point No: 439.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 439.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 44 **Submitter:** Tammy McGregor

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309248](#)

Submission Point No: 44.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 44.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 442 **Submitter:** Karen Raynolds

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342866](#)

Submission Point No: 442.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 442.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 443 **Submitter:** Kerry Watson

On behalf of: Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold

ECM File Numbers: [3342136](#); [3382330](#); [3382332](#)

Submission Point No: 443.7(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to Section 14.1 Background as it refers to the scope of mining activities and their economic and environmental benefits.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 14.1 to better explain the scope of mining activities and their economic and environmental benefits - amend paragraphs 2 and 5; and delete paragraph 7.(refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submission Point No: 443.8(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to Section 14 Objectives and Policies to be more focussed on significant values, to first and foremost manage significant effects and to allow for more relaxed regulation of indigenous vegetation clearance and consideration of 'significant mineral resources'.

Decision Requested: In Section 14: Amend Objective 1a and Policy 1a to focus on 'Outstanding, Amenity or Natural Character' landscapes and to refer to 'significant' adverse effects. Retain Objective 1b only in the case of a clearer definition of 'compensation'. Amend Policy 1f to clarify what is meant by 'compensate' and to allow for greater consideration of economic benefits. Add new Policy 1fa to allow for indigenous vegetation clearance where there are no other practical options. Amend Policy 2a to add 'and significant mineral resources' to be protected from subdivision, use and development. (refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 444

Submitter: Sara Cobo

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342875](#)

Submission Point No: 444.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 444.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 446

Submitter: Laetitia Petitsean

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342877](#)

Submission Point No: 446.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 446.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 447

Submitter: Maya Meijer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342878](#)

Submission Point No: 447.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 447.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 448

Submitter: Henry John Mackeson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342879](#); [3342909](#)

Submission Point No: 448.1(Section 14 - Mining Activities)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes provision for mining to take place within the District.

Decision Requested: Delete provision for mining.

Submitter Number: 449

Submitter: Rebecca Reider

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342147](#)

Submission Point No: 449.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 449.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 45 **Submitter:** Corina Haselhoff

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309247](#)

Submission Point No: 45.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 45.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 451 **Submitter:** Geoffrey Mason

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342149](#)

Submission Point No: 451.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 451.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 453 **Submitter:** Murray Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343297](#)

Submission Point No: 453.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 453.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 454

Submitter: Max Challie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343299](#)

Submission Point No: 454.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	454.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	455	Submitter:	Donna Marie Gray
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343318		

Submission Point No:	455.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	455.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 456

Submitter: Jack Hutchings

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343319](#)

Submission Point No: 456.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 456.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 459 **Submitter:** Paul Keesing

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334917](#)

Submission Point No: 459.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 459.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 46 **Submitter:** Karen Rubado

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309246](#)

Submission Point No: 46.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 46.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 461 **Submitter:** Donald Shaw

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334921](#)

Submission Point No: 461.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 461.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 464 **Submitter:** Korbinian Poschl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334706](#)

Submission Point No: 464.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 464.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 465 **Submitter:** Franziska Poeschl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334728](#)

Submission Point No:	465.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	465.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	466	Submitter:	Alexander Fulton
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3334734		
Submission Point No:	466.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 466.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 47 **Submitter:** Louise Deane

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309245](#)

Submission Point No: 47.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 47.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 470 **Submitter:** Lorenz G Poeschl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334774](#)

Submission Point No: 470.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 470.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 473 **Submitter:** Maria van der Meel

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334743](#)

Submission Point No: 473.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 473.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 475 **Submitter:** Melissa Spencer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334800](#)

Submission Point No: 475.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 475.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 476

Submitter: Trif Sitnikoff

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334803](#)

Submission Point No: 476.4(Section 14)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The environment and community need to be protected from the harmful effects of mining and coastal development, which can irrevocably change the beautiful character of the landscape.

Decision Requested: Prohibit mineral processing, surface mining, underground mining, waste/tailings storage, prospecting and exploration.

Submitter Number: 48

Submitter: Anna Galvin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309244](#)

Submission Point No: 48.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 48.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 480 **Submitter:** Nicola Gibbons

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334849](#)

Submission Point No: 480.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 480.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 482 **Submitter:** Liliana Morande

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334900](#)

Submission Point No: 482.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 482.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 483 **Submitter:** Trevor Ross

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334814](#)

Submission Point No: 483.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 483.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 484 **Submitter:** Abigail Smith

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334912](#)

Submission Point No: 484.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 484.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 486 **Submitter:** Caroline Snook

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334983](#)

Submission Point No: 486.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 486.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 489 **Submitter:** Suzanne Beer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335006](#)

Submission Point No: 489.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	489.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	49	Submitter:	Brenda Wright
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3309243		

Submission Point No:	49.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	49.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 491

Submitter: Sandra Good

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335007](#)

Submission Point No: 491.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 491.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 492 **Submitter:** Richard and Brenda Kelsey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335070](#); [3335071](#); [3335229](#); [3413840](#)

Submission Point No: 492.3(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes quarries in the District being left off the Planning Maps and no specific zone created for them

Decision Requested: Create a new quarry policy zone and identify the Coromandel Quarry and adjoining land (Map 16 Zones).

Submitter Number: 498 **Submitter:** Inge Bolt

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335100](#)

Submission Point No: 498.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 498.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 499 **Submitter:** Adele Smaill

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335101](#)

Submission Point No: 499.1(Section 14.3 Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes mineral extraction being promoted at the expense of any other industry or development.

Decision Requested: Remove requirements to restrict other activities in preference to as yet unknown mineral deposits.

Submission Point No: 499.2(Objective 1a) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Amenity areas are not afforded adequate protection from mining activities.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1a to include the Amenity Landscape Overlay.

Submission Point No: 499.7(Section 14.3 Objective 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Objective 3.

Decision Requested: Amend objective 3 to include residual risk.

Submitter Number: 50 **Submitter:** Trudy Morrison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309242](#)

Submission Point No: 50.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 50.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 502 **Submitter:** Betty Whaitiri Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335104](#)

Submission Point No: 502.1(Section 14.2) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: In Issue 1, failure to specify in a) to h) the "total destruction of the backbone of Papatuanuku in the profit optimisation context".

Decision Requested: Subject to intense critical analysis.

Submitter Number: 503 **Submitter:** Catherine Herbert

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335121](#)

Submission Point No: 503.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 503.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 504

Submitter: Gary Comrie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335125](#)

Submission Point No: 504.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 504.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 509 **Submitter:** Shiobhan Flanigan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335050](#)

Submission Point No: 509.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 509.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 51 **Submitter:** Hans Van den Broek

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309241](#)

Submission Point No: 51.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 51.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 513 **Submitter:** Tracey Lamason - Planners Plus

On behalf of: Tokeroa Family Trust Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3335140](#)

Submission Point No: 513.3(Map 7B and new Quarry Area Zone) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: All consented and legally-established quarry sites be identified on the relevant map overlays, preferably by introduction of a Quarry Area Zone.

Decision Requested: Show all consented and legally-established quarry sites on the Planning Maps, preferably within a Quarry Area Zone.

Submitter Number: 520 **Submitter:** Kevin Harris

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335221](#)

Submission Point No: 520.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 520.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 523 **Submitter:** Simon Lear

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335224](#)

Submission Point No: 523.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 523.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 525

Submitter: Jude Lay

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335248](#)

Submission Point No: 525.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 525.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 527

Submitter: Peter Garrick

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335622](#)

Submission Point No: 527.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 527.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 53 **Submitter:** Lyn Dallison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309708](#)

Submission Point No:	53.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	53.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	539	Submitter:	Karolina Nilsson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3336045		
Submission Point No:	539.1(Section 14 and Section 37 Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks strengthening of restrictions of Mining Activities in line with RPS, RMA, HGMPA and the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint.

Decision Requested: Prohibit Mining Activities in Outstanding and Amenity Landscape and Natural Character Overlay areas in **Section 32**. Amend Objectives and Policies in Section 14 to give effect to RMA, RPS, HGMPA. Amend **Section 7** Coastal Environment Overlay to prohibit all mining activities. Protect Schedule 4 land from Mining Activities by adding this land to the Outstanding Landscape Overlay. Prohibit underground mining under people's homes. Recognise tangata whenua views on mining. Amend Section 14.1 to clearly state the detrimental impacts of mining activities. Remove sentence 'The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals.' Instead acknowledge that the Gold Mining boom lasted only 70 years. The Plan needs to acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Section 14.2.2 should not give priority to mining over other forms of development. Remove Section 14.2.2. Implement community values as expressed in the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint into Plan. Acknowledge the achievements of 40 years' of 'anti mining' campaigning.

Submitter Number: 54

Submitter: Dan Redfern

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3309688](#)

Submission Point No: 54.6 (Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom lasted only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the

last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 54.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 542 **Submitter:** Toralee McKenzie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336329](#)

Submission Point No: 542.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 542.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 543

Submitter: Rowan Campbell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336470](#)

Submission Point No: 543.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 543.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 549

Submitter: Erik Hayward

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336471](#)

Submission Point No: 549.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 549.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 550

Submitter: Toni Earby

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336561](#)

Submission Point No: 550.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 550.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 553 **Submitter:** Johnathan Tukerangi

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336744](#)

Submission Point No: 553.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 553.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 559 **Submitter:** Steve Lydford

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336574](#)

Submission Point No: 559.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 559.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 560 **Submitter:** Aled De Malmanche

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336575](#)

Submission Point No: 560.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 560.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 561 **Submitter:** Angelique Kasmara

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336576](#)

Submission Point No: 561.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 561.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 562 **Submitter:** Rosemary Stone

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336577](#)

Submission Point No:	562.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	562.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	563	Submitter:	Sebastian Scholz
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3336579		
Submission Point No:	563.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 563.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 564

Submitter: Nalan Kirsch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336581](#)

Submission Point No: 564.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 564.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 566 **Submitter:** Eve McCarthy

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337015](#)

Submission Point No: 566.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 566.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 567 **Submitter:** Rebecca Priaulx

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337017](#)

Submission Point No: 567.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 567.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 569 **Submitter:** Jennifer Annan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337034](#)

Submission Point No: 569.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 569.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 57

Submitter: Martin Lawrence Smith

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310252](#)

Submission Point No: 57.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 57.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 573

Submitter: Kate Mitcalfe

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337068](#)

Submission Point No: 573.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 573.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 574

Submitter: Fiona McLaughlin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337095](#)

Submission Point No: 574.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 574.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 578 **Submitter:** Christine Vickerman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337120](#)

Submission Point No: 578.42(Section 14 - Mining 14.2 Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: There is enormous economic potential of allowing and supporting responsible mining activities, which has not been acknowledged in the Plan.

Decision Requested: Add an issue to this effect in Section 14.

Submission Point No: 578.43(Section 14 - Mining Activities Objective 1b, Policies 1a, 4a) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Support Objective 1b; consider mining in any overlay area if it is justified and managed appropriately. Support in part Policy 4a - where economic gain is significant it may be justified to manage the impact on a historic site.

Decision Requested: 1. Retain Objective 1b.2. Policy 1a - add "remedy or mitigate" after "avoid"3. Policy 4a - change "shall" to "should".

Submitter Number: 58 **Submitter:** Tomoko Bruce

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers:

Submission Point No: 58.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 58.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 583 **Submitter:** Graeme Harrison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337160](#)

Submission Point No: 583.3(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes quarrying being included as a mining activity.

Decision Requested: Do not include quarrying as a mining activity.

Submission Point No: 583.4(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes mining activities being provided for.

Decision Requested: Amend the Plan so that mining activities cannot occur on the Peninsula.

Submitter Number: 587 **Submitter:** Anne Duncan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336600](#)

Submission Point No: 587.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 587.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 588 **Submitter:** Yvonne Thompson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337177](#)

Submission Point No: 588.1(Section 14 - Mining) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes mining activities being promoted at the expense of other industry/development.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 14 to remove restrictions on other activities in preference to unknown mineral deposits.

Submission Point No: 588.2(Section 14 - Mining) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes amenity landscapes not being afforded adequate protection.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 14 Policy 1a to include the Amenity Landscape Overlay.

Submitter Number: 589

Submitter: Suzanne Clegg

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336601](#)

Submission Point No: 589.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 589.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social

and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 59 **Submitter:** Brian Stewart

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310387](#)

Submission Point No: 59.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 59.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 590 **Submitter:** Peter H Wood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337181](#)

Submission Point No: 590.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 590.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 591 **Submitter:** Ben Cleland

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337203](#)

Submission Point No: 591.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 591.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 592 **Submitter:** Tessa de la Rive Box

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337206](#)

Submission Point No: 592.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 592.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 593 **Submitter:** Pauline Harold

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337207](#); [3344589](#)

Submission Point No: 593.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 593.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 597 **Submitter:** G D and D L Lee

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337279](#)

Submission Point No: 597.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 597.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 60 **Submitter:** Catherine Cawley

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310600](#)

Submission Point No: 60.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 60.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 601 **Submitter:** Zara Lynch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337218](#)

Submission Point No: 601.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 601.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 61 **Submitter:** Vera Van der Voorden

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310633](#)

Submission Point No: 61.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 61.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 619 **Submitter:** Bruce Vickerman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337375](#)

Submission Point No: 619.8(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support responsible mining activities. Mining should be considered even in Landscape, Natural Character, Historic Heritage etc. areas where economic benefits are possible.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 14.

Submitter Number: 62 **Submitter:** Malibu Hamilton

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310658](#)

Submission Point No: 62.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 62.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 626

Submitter: Liz Butterfield

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337447](#)

Submission Point No: 626.6(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: The Objectives and Policies in this section do not reflect community and biodiversity values required by the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, the Resource Management Act and Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act.

Decision Requested: There needs to be a clear statement about the impact future mining activities will have on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel, as set out in the submission by amendments to Sections 14.1 Background and 14.2 Issues.

Submission Point No: 626.9(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Strongly oppose any part of the Plan that allows new mining or expansion of existing mining, including underground mining.

Decision Requested: Do not allow new mining or expansion of existing mining, including underground mining.

Submitter Number: 627 **Submitter:** Margie Wood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337451](#)

Submission Point No: 627.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 627.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 63 **Submitter:** Alana Winn Winn

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310697](#)

Submission Point No: 63.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 63.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 630 **Submitter:** Anna Kingsbury

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337494](#)

Submission Point No: 630.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 630.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 631 **Submitter:** Heidemarie Moretto

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337227](#)

Submission Point No: 631.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 631.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 64

Submitter: Agnes Lambert

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310777](#)

Submission Point No: 64.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 64.7(Section 14.2 Mining **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 640

Submitter: Samuel Clarke

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337242](#)

Submission Point No: 640.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 640.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 642

Submitter: Miriam Pierard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337245](#)

Submission Point No: 642.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 642.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 65 **Submitter:** Krystle Muray

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310939](#)

Submission Point No: 65.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 65.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number:	662	Submitter:	Gian McGregor and Michael Smither
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3337676		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	662.2(Section 14 Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Seeks strengthening of controls for Mining Activities in areas of high natural values. Decision Requested: Strengthen protection of high natural values from Mining Activities; Delete Issue 14.2.2; Introduce Objectives and Policies that prohibit Mining Activities within the identified Outstanding Landscapes, Amenity Landscapes and Natural Character Areas; Remove 'or compensate' from Objective 1b, Policy 1b and Policy 1f and change to 'avoid, remedy or mitigate' as per RMA. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	664	Submitter:	Carl Jensen
On behalf of:	Hauraki Prospectors Association Inc		
ECM File Numbers:	3337681		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	664.1(Section 14 Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Neutral		
Submission Summary:	Seeks small underground mining up to 20m ³ /day to be permitted anywhere in the District.		

Decision Requested: Provide for small underground mining activities up to 20m³/day as a permitted activity anywhere in the District.

Submitter Number: 67 **Submitter:** John Cargill

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3310850](#)

Submission Point No: 67.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 67.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 70 **Submitter:** Daniela Reschke

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3311281](#)

Submission Point No: 70.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 70.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 704 **Submitter:** Diana Rutherford

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337722](#)

Submission Point No: 704.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 704.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 705 **Submitter:** Rose Kavanagh

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337723](#)

Submission Point No: 705.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 705.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 708 **Submitter:** Jane Wells

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337258](#)

Submission Point No:	708.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	708.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	710	Submitter:	Stephen Hutton
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3337259		
Submission Point No:	710.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 710.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 712 **Submitter:** Clive Monds

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337806](#)

Submission Point No: 712.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 712.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 714 **Submitter:** Rob Robson

On behalf of: New Zealand Petroleum and Minerals

ECM File Numbers: [3337815](#)

Submission Point No: 714.1(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Supports some of the Objectives (1a,1b,3) and Policies (1e, 3a. 3b) and seeks relaxation of controls of Mining Activities within Overlay Areas.

Decision Requested: Retain fifth paragraph of 14.1 'Background'. Retain Issue 14.2 but amend to exclude 'exploration' from statement. Retain Objectives 1a and 1b. Amend Policies 1a and 1b to add 'remedy or mitigate' to 'avoid'. Retain Policy

1c.Amend Policy 1d to read ' Sites of mining activities shall be fully rehabilitate'.Retain Policy 1e.Amend Policy 1g to add 'mitigate' as an option to address adverse effects.Retain Objective 3.Retain Objective 3 and Policies 3a and 3b.

Submitter Number: 716 **Submitter:** Nick Pak

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337835](#)

Submission Point No: 716.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 716.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social

and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 717 **Submitter:** Trudy Astwood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337837](#)

Submission Point No: 717.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 717.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 72 **Submitter:** Ashwani Sharma

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3311491](#)

Submission Point No: 72.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 72.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 721 **Submitter:** Louise Weaver

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337855](#)

Submission Point No: 721.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 721.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 725 **Submitter:** Leigh McIntyre

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337870](#)

Submission Point No: 725.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 725.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 730 **Submitter:** Daniel Loo

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337272](#)

Submission Point No: 730.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 730.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 732 **Submitter:** Jane Beck

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337905](#)

Submission Point No: 732.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 732.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 733 **Submitter:** Rosemary Segedin

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337273](#)

Submission Point No: 733.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 733.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 739 **Submitter:** Carol Fielding

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337981](#)

Submission Point No: 739.1(Section 14 and Section 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: 1. Oppose any part of the Plan which allows mining activities, including underground mining.2. Specifically protect the Coastal Environment from mining.3. Protect Schedule 4 land from all mining activities.

Decision Requested: 1. Include on the Coastal Environment Overlay a rule prohibiting mining activities.2. Include Schedule 4 land in the Conservation Zone AND prohibit all mining activities.

Submission Point No: 739.2(Section 14.1 - Para 1 Sentence 1) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The language should clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse effect on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel.

Decision Requested: 1. In Section 14.1, clearly state how future mining activities will have a major adverse effect on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel.2. Amend Para 1 Sentence 1 as follows: "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals and the land and sea are still recovering from its adverse effects."

Submission Point No: 739.3(Section 14 - Issue 2) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the intention to give mining priority over other forms of development.

Decision Requested: Delete Issue 2.

Submission Point No: 739.4(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support where the Council values "sustainable development" and "increasing biodiversity" or words of similar intention.

Decision Requested: Retain values of "sustainable development" and "increasing biodiversity".

Submission Point No: 739.5(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Community values were assessed and expressed in the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint so I would like to see greater evidence of this in the Plan.

Decision Requested: Include greater evidence of community values as expressed in the Coromandel Blueprint.

Submitter Number: 74 **Submitter:** Kate Ellmers

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3312296](#)

Submission Point No: 74.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 74.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 741 **Submitter:** James Gaddum

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337993](#)

Submission Point No: 741.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 741.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 75 **Submitter:** Sherydan Muir

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3312206](#)

Submission Point No: 75.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 75.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 751 **Submitter:** John Drummond

On behalf of: Tairua Environment Society

ECM File Numbers: [3338034](#); [3338035](#); [3338036](#)

Submission Point No: 751.10(Section 14) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the mining objectives and policies as too weak.

Decision Requested: Strengthen mining objectives and policies to oppose any mining activities on the Coromandel Peninsula.

Submitter Number: 76 **Submitter:** Anne Harris

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3312120](#)

Submission Point No: 76.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 76.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 77

Submitter: Monica Macrae

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3312005](#)

Submission Point No: 77.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 77.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 776 **Submitter:** Peter Alexander Buddle

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338193](#)

Submission Point No: 776.2(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Is concerned that Section 14 does not sufficiently reflect RPS requirements and Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint objectives.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 14.1 to acknowledge adverse effects of Mining Activities. Acknowledge that the Gold boom only lasted 70 years and has left considerable environmental legacy. Amend Section 14.2.2 to remove priority afforded to Mining Activities. Acknowledge that a large part of the community is opposed to mining. Amend Objectives and Policies in Section 14 to better reflect RPS, RMA and HGMPA requirements. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 778 **Submitter:** Kathy Anne Mason

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338277](#)

Submission Point No: 778.1(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Supports a balanced approach to managing mining activities depending on the zone and public concerns.

Decision Requested: Supports the NWG submission in its entirety and advocates for a case by case assessment of mining proposals in appropriate zones.

Submitter Number: 78

Submitter: Marianne Adam

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3313202](#)

Submission Point No: 78.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 78.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category: Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 780 **Submitter:** Al Fleming

On behalf of: Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc

ECM File Numbers: [3338431](#)

Submission Point No: 780.5(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to better protect coastal water, and to tighten Policies with a focus on 'avoiding' rather than 'remedying'.

Decision Requested: Add 'clean unpolluted fresh and coastal waters' to the list. Amend Objective 1a and Policy 1d and 3b. Define 'impractical' as it refers to Policy 1f. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 781 **Submitter:** Augusta Macassey-Pickard

On behalf of: Mercury Bay branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society

ECM File Numbers: [3337947](#)

Submission Point No: 781.5(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports provisions in Section 14 with minor wording changes.

Decision Requested: Amend Background as per written submission. Retain Objective 1. Retain Objective 3 with suggested amendment : change 'residual' risks to ...'including residual risks.'

Submitter Number: 782 **Submitter:** Augusta Macassey-Pickard

On behalf of: Greenspot Guardians

ECM File Numbers: [3338378](#)

Submission Point No: 782.2(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Supports in part some of the Objectives and Policies and requests stronger policy direction to address potential adverse effects of Mining Activities.

Decision Requested: Retain 'Mining Activities' as a separate section. Amend Background 14.1 to express the community's opposition to mining and minor amendments to Section 14.2. Remove Section 14.2.2 and implement minor amendment to Sections 14.2.3 and 4. Amend Objective 1a. Rewrite Objective 1b. Either delete Policy 1b or insert 'avoid' instead of 'remedy, mitigate or compensate'. Amend Policies 1c -1f, 2a, 3b . Delete Objective 2 or add 'New' to the first sentence. Amend 'residual' in Objective 3 Delete the word 'inappropriate' from Objective 4. Retain Policy 1g, 4a. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 784 **Submitter:** Michelle Dobson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337944](#) ; [3337945](#)

Submission Point No: 784.1(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes mineral extraction being promoted at the expense of any other industry/development and amenity areas not being afforded enough protection.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 14 to remove restriction of activities in preference to unknown mineral deposits and amend policy 1a to include the Amenity Landscape Overlay.

Submission Point No: 784.4(Section 14.3 Objective 3) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports people, property and the environment being protected from contamination and residual risk posed by mining activities.

Decision Requested: Amend Objective 3 to include peoples right to be protection from contamination and residual risk posed by mining activities.

Submitter Number: 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

On behalf of: Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

ECM File Numbers: [3338486](#)

Submission Point No: 790.22(Section 14.1) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Background includes no Maori perspective on mining and its effect, or the tikanga that come into play in relation to mining.

Decision Requested: In Section 14 add Maori perspective to Background statement.

Submission Point No: 790.23(Section 14 - Issue 1, Objective 4, Policy 4a, new Policy 4b) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Issue 1 e) and f), however this intention does not filter through to Objective 4 and Policy 4a.

Decision Requested: 1. Reword Objective 4 and Policy 4a by adding "Maori cultural sites and areas".2. Add new Policy 4b: "In deciding on applications relating to mining activities consideration will be given to impacts on Māori values and interests."

Submitter Number: 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

Submission Point No: 791.42(Section 14 - quarrying) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks strengthening of provisions for quarrying.

Decision Requested: In Section 14, strengthen provisions for quarrying.

Submitter Number: 80 **Submitter:** Thomas Everth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3314162](#)

Submission Point No: 80.1(Section 14 - Mining Activities Policies 1b, 1f, 1g and 3b) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14 - Mining Activities Policies 1b, 1f and 1g and suggests new policies.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1b, 1f and 1g so that it is clear that mining activities shall not be permitted in the Coastal Environment of the Coromandel Peninsula (specific wording changes suggested in submission).

Submission Point No: 80.4 **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14 - Mining Activities Policy 3b and suggests new wording.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 3b so that residual risks to people, property and the environment are minimised, contamination of the coastal environment through mining activity of any kind to be prohibited (specific wording changes suggested in submission).

Submitter Number: 801

Submitter: Ruby Powell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337923](#)

Submission Point No: 801.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 801.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 806 **Submitter:** Thomas McGrady

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3341934](#)

Submission Point No: 806.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 806.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 807 **Submitter:** James Crean

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3341935](#)

Submission Point No: 807.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 807.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 808 **Submitter:** Daniel Joseph Berger

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3341939](#)

Submission Point No: 808.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 808.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 809 **Submitter:** Mason Burch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3341941](#)

Submission Point No: 809.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	809.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	81	Submitter:	Patricia Simpson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3313799		

Submission Point No:	81.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	81.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 810

Submitter: Karen Ann Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3341943](#)

Submission Point No: 810.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 810.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 818 **Submitter:** Leila Banks

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342057](#)

Submission Point No: 818.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 818.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 819 **Submitter:** Eva Wrassky-bulmer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342059](#)

Submission Point No: 819.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 819.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 82 **Submitter:** Kelly Towers

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3313872](#)

Submission Point No: 82.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 82.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 820 **Submitter:** Alastair Brickell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342062](#)

Submission Point No: 820.1(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Questions why Mining Activities has its own section in the Plan.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 14 or alternatively include standalone sections for farming and forestry or rewrite Section 14 (see submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 822 **Submitter:** Caroline Banks

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342076](#)

Submission Point No: 822.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	822.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	823	Submitter:	Barry Weeber
On behalf of:	Environment and Conservation Organisations of NZ INC		
ECM File Numbers:	3342079		
Submission Point No:	823.3(Section 14 - Mining Activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes Section 14 - Mining Activities.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Section 14.1 (Mining Activities) should clearly state that mining activities, including underground mining, would have a major adverse impact on the unique Conservation Values and Natural Character of the Coromandel. The Plan should acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and the detrimental effects of mining. Section 14.2.2 should be deleted from the plan.</p>		

Submitter Number:	824	Submitter:	Dr Olivia Livingston Macassey
On behalf of:			

ECM File Numbers: [3342081](#)

Submission Point No: 824.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 824.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 828 **Submitter:** Kay Piper

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342092](#)

Submission Point No:	828.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	828.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	83	Submitter:	Bradley Blackie
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3313383		
Submission Point No:	83.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 83.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 831

Submitter: Blair Clarke

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342099](#)

Submission Point No: 831.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 831.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 834 **Submitter:** Rodney Poulgrain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342110](#)

Submission Point No: 834.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 834.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 837 **Submitter:** Peter Vosper

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342747](#)

Submission Point No: 837.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 837.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 838 **Submitter:** Julian Jackson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342118](#)

Submission Point No: 838.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 838.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 839

Submitter: James Valley

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342758](#)

Submission Point No: 839.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 839.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 84

Submitter: Sally-Jane Armstrong

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3313973](#)

Submission Point No: 84.1(Section 14 and Section 37 relating to Conservation and Coastal land)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose changes to allow exploration, prospecting or mineral mining on any conservation or coastal land.

Decision Requested: Amend exploration, prospecting and mineral mining (underground or open pit) on any conservation or coastal land to be a prohibited activity.

Submitter Number: 840

Submitter: Kowhai Ehrig

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342760](#)

Submission Point No: 840.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 840.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 841 **Submitter:** Jared Wood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342762](#)

Submission Point No: 841.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 841.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 842 **Submitter:** Anita Prohl

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342764](#)

Submission Point No: 842.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 842.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 843 **Submitter:** Fiona Ward

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342765](#)

Submission Point No: 843.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 843.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 845 **Submitter:** Luy Bullock

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342774](#)

Submission Point No: 845.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 845.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 846 **Submitter:** Darren Bristow

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342777](#)

Submission Point No: 846.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 846.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 847 **Submitter:** Stuart Wilson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342780](#)

Submission Point No: 847.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	847.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."		

Submitter Number:	848	Submitter:	Alexandra Procuta
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342781		

Submission Point No:	848.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."		

Submission Point No:	848.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 85

Submitter: Claire Grover

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3313955](#)

Submission Point No: 85.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 85.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 850 **Submitter:** Hoku Kirkland

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342786](#)

Submission Point No: 850.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 850.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 851 **Submitter:** Siri Embla Risves

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342787](#)

Submission Point No: 851.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 851.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 852 **Submitter:** Shayd Tahu

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342788](#)

Submission Point No: 852.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 852.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 853 **Submitter:** G P Campbell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342791](#)

Submission Point No: 853.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 853.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 854 **Submitter:** Kerry Dewar

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342794](#)

Submission Point No:	854.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	854.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	856	Submitter:	Derya Parlak
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342804		
Submission Point No:	856.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 856.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 857 **Submitter:** Jessica Mills

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342807](#)

Submission Point No: 857.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 857.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 858 **Submitter:** Lorna Maikara Ropata

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342810](#)

Submission Point No: 858.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 858.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 859 **Submitter:** Puawai Ormsby

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342820](#)

Submission Point No: 859.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 859.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 860 **Submitter:** Matthew Kelly

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342128](#)

Submission Point No: 860.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 860.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 861

Submitter: Madeline Tomsion

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342823](#)

Submission Point No: 861.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 861.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 863

Submitter: Brigette Challie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342841](#)

Submission Point No: 863.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 863.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 864

Submitter: Gordon Fell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342842](#)

Submission Point No: 864.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 864.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 865 **Submitter:** Tui Allen

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342843](#)

Submission Point No: 865.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 865.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 867 **Submitter:** Gail Kaku

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342844](#)

Submission Point No: 867.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 867.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 868 **Submitter:** R.Althea Hill

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342846](#)

Submission Point No: 868.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 868.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 869 **Submitter:** Jessica Holdaway

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342849](#)

Submission Point No: 869.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 869.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 87 **Submitter:** Stephanie Palmer

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3318266](#) ; [3346013](#)

Submission Point No:	87.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	87.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	871	Submitter:	Rebecca Gribble
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342858		
Submission Point No:	871.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 871.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 872

Submitter: Mike Boots

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342860](#)

Submission Point No: 872.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 872.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 873 **Submitter:** Griselle Martins

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342863](#)

Submission Point No: 873.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 873.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 88 **Submitter:** Igor Polakovic

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3318005](#)

Submission Point No: 88.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 88.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 883 **Submitter:** Cath Wallace and Barry Weeber

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342928](#)

Submission Point No: 883.2(Section 14 - Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: The Plan is contrary to the principles of sustainable management and will fail to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.

Decision Requested: Amend section 14 to accurately represent the short history of past mining prior to 1930 and the sustained opposition to mining over 30 years.

Submitter Number: 885 **Submitter:** Stephen Young

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342932](#)

Submission Point No: 885.1(Sections 14, 32, 37 - mining related) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Most Coromandel people believe we should avoid the methods in the Plan because of harmful effects on the environment and therefore our health.

Decision Requested: Prohibit any prospecting for or mining of gold and minerals in the Coromandel.

Submitter Number: 886 **Submitter:** Ruby Powell

On behalf of: Coromandel Lobby Against Indiscriminate Mining

ECM File Numbers: [3342931](#)

Submission Point No: 886.1(Sections 14, 32, 37) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the Plan's provisions on mining as contrary to relevant provisions of the RMA, the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement 2010, the Waikato RPS, the Coromandel Blueprint and the Waikato CMS.

Decision Requested: Prohibit all mining activities, including prospecting and exploration but excluding quarrying activities in all zones and in relation to all overlays.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 888 **Submitter:** Clare Poulgrain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342942](#)

Submission Point No: 888.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 888.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 891 **Submitter:** Christopher Errol Turner

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342948](#)

Submission Point No:	891.2(Section 14, Section 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose Section 14 and Section 37.		
	Decision Requested: Delete Section 14 and Section 37.		

Submitter Number:	898	Submitter:	Malcolm Alexander Croft
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343101 ; 3343121		

Submission Point No:	898.3(Sections 14, 32, 37 - mining activities)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose mining in the Coromandel as an activity contributing to Coromandel's future.		
	Decision Requested: Prohibit mining as an activity in the Coromandel area.		

Submitter Number:	90	Submitter:	Denise Davis
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3319414		

Submission Point No:	90.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 90.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 900 **Submitter:** Suzanne Margaret Croft

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343115](#)

Submission Point No: 900.4(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to Section 14 to more accurately reflect adverse effects that

mining activities can have on the District.

Decision Requested: 1. Alter Section 14.1 para 1 to more accurately represent the District's mining history.2. Alter aspects of economic benefits in Section 14.1 para 5.3. Alter Section 14 Policy 1d to be less aspirational and recognise it is unachievable.

Submitter Number: 901 **Submitter:** Sally Poulgrain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343118](#)

Submission Point No: 901.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 901.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 903 **Submitter:** Paul Jennings

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343157](#)

Submission Point No: 903.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 903.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 904 **Submitter:** Dave Crocker

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343166](#)

Submission Point No: 904.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 904.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 905 **Submitter:** Julie Crimmins Crocker

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343169](#)

Submission Point No: 905.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 905.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 908 **Submitter:** Cherie McGregor

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343181](#)

Submission Point No: 908.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 908.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 909 **Submitter:** Sylvia Blood

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343184](#)

Submission Point No:	909.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	909.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	91	Submitter:	Jill Hart
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3319530		
Submission Point No:	91.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 91.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 912 **Submitter:** Renee Annan

On behalf of: Coromandel Watchdog of Hauraki Inc

ECM File Numbers: [3343201](#)

Submission Point No: 912.1(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Opposes separation of Mining Activities and seeks prohibition of all Mining

Activities.

Decision Requested: Amend Background 14.1 as per written submission. Delete Issues. Delete 'significantly' from Objective 1a. Delete 'remedy, mitigate or compensate' from Objective 1b. Retain Policy 1a amend Policy 1b to delete 'remedy, mitigate or compensate'. Policy 1c to read: 'Remedy, mitigate and avoid further...' Delete Objective 2 and Policy 2a. Retain Objective 3 and Policy 2a and amend Objective 3 b to delete 'minimised'. Add 'or adversely affect' to Policy 4a.

Submission Point No: 912.13(Alternative Submission Coromandel Watchdog) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: All mining activities non-complying in all zones except where Plan provides for prohibited status in Section 37 Rule 3 and Table 1. Prohibited status in all overlay areas.

Decision Requested: All mining activities non-complying in all zones except where Plan provides for prohibited status in Section 37 Rule 3 and Table 1. Prohibited status in all overlay areas.

Submitter Number: 914 **Submitter:** Lily Watson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343214](#)

Submission Point No: 914.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860

and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 914.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 915 **Submitter:** Irene McLellan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343216](#)

Submission Point No: 915.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence

in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 915.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 916 **Submitter:** Stefanie Roussel

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343217](#)

Submission Point No: 916.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 916.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 918

Submitter: Serene Woolf

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343221](#)

Submission Point No: 918.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	918.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Opposes issue 14.2.2.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	920	Submitter:	Warren Field
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343229		

Submission Point No:	920.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	920.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 921

Submitter: Jennifer Milner

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343234](#)

Submission Point No: 921.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 921.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 922 **Submitter:** Abbey Anderson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343236](#)

Submission Point No: 922.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 922.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 923 **Submitter:** Sue Smith

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343245](#)

Submission Point No: 923.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 923.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 924 **Submitter:** Megan Cole

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343246](#)

Submission Point No: 924.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 924.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 926 **Submitter:** Don Dick

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343247](#)

Submission Point No: 926.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 926.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 927

Submitter: Sally Forde

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343248](#)

Submission Point No:	927.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	927.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	928	Submitter:	Jackie Black
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343249		
Submission Point No:	928.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 928.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 929

Submitter: Michael O'Donnell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343271](#)

Submission Point No: 929.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 929.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 931 **Submitter:** Connell Stewart

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343272](#)

Submission Point No: 931.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 931.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 932 **Submitter:** Yvonne Andrew

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343274](#)

Submission Point No: 932.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 932.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 934 **Submitter:** Craig Dick

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343275](#)

Submission Point No: 934.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 934.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 935

Submitter: Sally Millar

On behalf of: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

ECM File Numbers: [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

Submission Point No: 935.11(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Exclude quarrying for on farm use from Section 14.

Decision Requested: Exclude quarrying for on farm use from Section 14 (Mining Activities).

Submitter Number: 936

Submitter: Sarah Vadeboncoeur Lafand

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343323](#)

Submission Point No: 936.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 936.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 937 **Submitter:** Nikau Racey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343325](#)

Submission Point No: 937.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 937.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 938 **Submitter:** Ngakeiha Racey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343343](#)

Submission Point No: 938.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 938.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 939

Submitter: Pierre Cozil

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343345](#)

Submission Point No: 939.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 939.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 940 **Submitter:** Daryn Courtney

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343346](#)

Submission Point No: 940.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 940.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 941 **Submitter:** Celine Kearney

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343353](#)

Submission Point No: 941.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 941.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 942 **Submitter:** Ellen Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343356](#)

Submission Point No: 942.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	942.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	943	Submitter:	Megan Williams
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343357		

Submission Point No:	943.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	943.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 944

Submitter: Vorry Mahal

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343358](#)

Submission Point No: 944.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 944.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 945 **Submitter:** Bronwyn Lee Blair

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343386](#); [3343387](#); [3343385](#); [3343382](#)

Submission Point No: 945.14(Section 14.1 - Mining Activities - Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 945.18(Section 14.2 - Mining Activities - Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 14 Issue 2.

Decision Requested: Delete Issue 2.

Submitter Number: 946

Submitter: Kate Ellis

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343360](#)

Submission Point No: 946.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 946.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 947

Submitter: R Thompson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343361](#)

Submission Point No: 947.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 947.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 948 **Submitter:** Ahmen Mahal

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343406](#)

Submission Point No: 948.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 948.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 949 **Submitter:** Jocelyn Ashby-Peckham

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343413](#)

Submission Point No: 949.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 949.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 95 **Submitter:** Andrew Bell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3321508](#)

Submission Point No: 95.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 95.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 950 **Submitter:** Lynda Browne

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343414](#)

Submission Point No: 950.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 950.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 951 **Submitter:** Daniela Dindorf

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343415](#)

Submission Point No: 951.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 951.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 953 **Submitter:** Jeremy Ingle

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343427](#)

Submission Point No: 953.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 953.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 955 **Submitter:** Suzanne Williams

On behalf of: Nga Kaitiaki o te Awaawa o Manaia

ECM File Numbers: [3343697](#)

Submission Point No: 955.1(Section 14 Mining Activities) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Is opposed to Mining Activities in general.

Decision Requested: Amend or delete Objective 1a, Policies 1a, b, c, f, g. Amend or delete Objective 2 and Policy 2a. Amend or delete Objective 3 and Policies 3a and 3b. Amend or delete Objective 4 and Policy 4a.

Submitter Number: 96 **Submitter:** Stanley John Sims

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3321855](#)

Submission Point No: 96.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 96.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 963 **Submitter:** Donald Hughes

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343764](#)

Submission Point No:	963.1(Objectives 1a, 1b, 2, 3; Policies 1a - 1g, 2a, 3a)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose objectives and policies as they should clearly differentiate between aggregate extraction and mining for gold with its doubtful and amorphous end benefit for the community.		
	Decision Requested: Place gold mining in a separate mining activity category.		

Submitter Number:	964	Submitter:	Catherine Donoghue
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343765		

Submission Point No:	964.2(Sections 14, 37)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Clarify the situation around permits and applications. Pointless to prospect where you can't mine. Support the entire peninsula being off-limits to hard rock mining.		
	Decision Requested: 1. Clarify situation around prospecting permits/applications where you can't mine.2. The entire peninsula should be off-limits to mining.		

Submitter Number:	967	Submitter:	Jennifer Frost
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343891		

Submission Point No:	967.6(Section 14.1 Mining	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

Activities Background)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 967.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 968 **Submitter:** Phillipa Morton

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343899](#)

Submission Point No: 968.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 968.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 969 **Submitter:** Helen Hale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343900](#)

Submission Point No: 969.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District

has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 969.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 97 **Submitter:** Rachel Dobric

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3322314](#)

Submission Point No: 97.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the

Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 97.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 970 **Submitter:** Arthur Smith

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343902](#)

Submission Point No: 970.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals

for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 970.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 971 **Submitter:** Leon Pilnaar

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343904](#)

Submission Point No: 971.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No:	971.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	972	Submitter:	Katrina Chevis
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343905		

Submission Point No:	972.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		

Submission Point No:	972.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--------------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 973

Submitter: David Armstrong Pani

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343906](#)

Submission Point No: 973.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 973.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 974 **Submitter:** Anthony Finch

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343907](#)

Submission Point No: 974.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 974.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 975 **Submitter:** Thea Brorson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343908](#)

Submission Point No: 975.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 975.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 976 **Submitter:** Che Mowbray

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343911](#)

Submission Point No: 976.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 976.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 977 **Submitter:** Shaun Malanaphy

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343913](#)

Submission Point No: 977.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 977.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 978 **Submitter:** Gwenyth Wright

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343914](#)

Submission Point No:	978.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	978.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	979	Submitter:	Stanley Gould
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343916		
Submission Point No:	979.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 979.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 980

Submitter: M Dunn

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343921](#)

Submission Point No: 980.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 980.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 981 **Submitter:** D Brown

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343924](#)

Submission Point No: 981.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 981.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 982 **Submitter:** Spenser Probett

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343925](#)

Submission Point No: 982.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 982.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 983 **Submitter:** M Van der Linden

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343927](#)

Submission Point No: 983.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 983.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 984

Submitter: Ian Smith

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343929](#)

Submission Point No: 984.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 984.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 985

Submitter: Monika Lange

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343936](#)

Submission Point No: 985.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 985.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 986

Submitter: Vivien Blackshaw

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343939](#)

Submission Point No: 986.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 986.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)

Category Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate

resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 988 **Submitter:** John Patrick Speirs

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344303](#)

Submission Point No: 988.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 988.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 989 **Submitter:** Nicolas Dregnaut

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344308](#)

Submission Point No: 989.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 989.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 991 **Submitter:** Sue Mitchell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344310](#)

Submission Point No: 991.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 991.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 992 **Submitter:** Glen Fleet

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344311](#)

Submission Point No: 992.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 992.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 993 **Submitter:** Connie Causa

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344321](#)

Submission Point No:	993.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."</p>		
Submission Point No:	993.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes issue 14.2.2.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."</p>		

Submitter Number:	994	Submitter:	Matt Gibbons
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344324		
Submission Point No:	994.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background)	Category	Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 994.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 995 **Submitter:** Shaun David Robinson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344340](#)

Submission Point No: 995.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 995.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 996 **Submitter:** Rebecca James

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344344](#)

Submission Point No: 996.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on

the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 996.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 997 **Submitter:** Olivia Leonard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344352](#)

Submission Point No: 997.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council

to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 997.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 998 **Submitter:** Marie Hache

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344356](#)

Submission Point No: 998.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 998.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Submitter Number: 999

Submitter: Daniel Clark

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3344364](#)

Submission Point No: 999.6(Section 14.1 Mining Activities Background) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background explanation about mining in the District.

Decision Requested: Delete the first sentence of the background "The District has a long history of mining for gold and other minerals." Replace it with acknowledgement that the gold mining boom last only 70 years between 1860 and 1930. State how future mining activities will have a major adverse impact on the unique conservation values and natural character of the Coromandel. Acknowledge the long term economic, social and environmental legacy of historical mining in the District and its detrimental effects. Delete the last sentence in paragraph 4 "The Plan includes provisions to enable the Council to take the presence of mineral resources into account when assessing proposals for the subdivision, use and development of land."

Submission Point No: 999.7(Section 14.2 Mining Activities Issues) **Category** Section 14 - Mining Activities

Activities Issues)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes issue 14.2.2.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 14.2.2 "access to mineral and aggregate resources can be compromised by land uses or developments above or near mineral deposits, thereby inhibiting the community's ability to provide for its social and economic wellbeing."

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Submitter Number:	1055	Submitter:	Sue Wright
On behalf of:	Upper Coromandel Branch of Forest and Bird		
ECM File Numbers:	3344673		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1055.3(Section 15.1 Background)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the Waterfront Zone being identified for high density development.		
	Decision Requested: Remove Waterfront Zone from areas like Patukirikiri and Jacks Points and Coromandel Town.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1072	Submitter:	Kaye Robin Anderson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344741		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1072.3(Policies for Coromandel's village character)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	The current Plan does not protect natural values sufficiently and stronger protections should be introduced.		
	Decision Requested: Incorporate into the Plan policies that keep Coromandel town's village character.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1084	Submitter:	Dal Minogue
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3344915		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1084.2(Section 15 - Objectives 6, 10a, Policies 10a and 10d)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Requests changes to Objectives and Policies within Section 15, particularly as they relate to Cooks Beach, to ensure growth and development is sympathetic with settlement characteristics, environmental enhancement opportunities are realised and to ensure financial impacts on ratepayers for additional infrastructure are minimised.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Change Section 15 Objectives 6 and 10, Policies 10a and 10d, particularly as they relate to Cooks Beach, to ensure growth and development is sympathetic with settlement characteristics, environmental enhancement opportunities are realised and to ensure financial impacts on ratepayers for additional infrastructure are minimised (refer to original submission for specific wording).</p>		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	114	Submitter:	Mike Foster - Zomac Planning Solutions Ltd
On behalf of:	Progressive Enterprises Ltd (PEL)		
ECM File Numbers:	3325994		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	114.2(Section 15 - Policy 2c)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Requests supermarkets to be excluded from Section 15 Policy 2c.		

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 2c as follows: "New commercial development, excluding supermarkets, should not detract from the vitality and viability of existing Commercial Areas."(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 1150 **Submitter:** David Lamason

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3345202](#)

Submission Point No: 1150.13(Section 15 Policy 10g - Hot Water Beach) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15 Policy 10g restricting development at Hot Water Beach.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 15 Policy 10g.

Submission Point No: 1150.14(Section 15 Policy 10t - Whitianga) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15 Policy 10t relating to growth in Whitianga.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10t by deleting reference to not allowing development south of the Mercury Bay Golf Club.

Submitter Number: 1177 **Submitter:** Stephanie McKee

On behalf of: Karuna Falls Co-operative Society Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3345927](#); [3345932](#); [3345939](#); [3345942](#)

Submission Point No: 1177.1(Section 15 - Objective 4, Policies 4a, 4d, 4e) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Section 15 Objective 4, Policies 4a, 4d, 4e.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 4, Policies 4a, 4d, 4e.

Submission Point No: 1177.2(Section 15 - Policy 10b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Name of area (Moehau Peninsula) in Section 15 Policy 10b is an error.

Decision Requested: Define and name 'Moehau Area' correctly in Section 15 Policy 10b.

Submitter Number: 1183 **Submitter:** David Lamason

On behalf of: The Number Eight Trust

ECM File Numbers: [3346161](#)

Submission Point No: 1183.1(Section 15 - Policy 10a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Concerned that the description of the Cooks Beach backdrop is not accurate.

Decision Requested: Change Section 15 Policy 10a to describe the actual

backdrop of Cooks Beach.

Submitter Number: 1193 **Submitter:** Ross Edens and others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343109](#)

Submission Point No: 1193.6(Section 15 - Policy 10I) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the part of Section 15 Policy 10I "Commercial and industrial activities shall not establish unless they are mobile or part of residential buildings ..."

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10I by deleting: "Commercial and industrial activities shall not establish unless they are mobile or part of residential buildings."

Submitter Number: 1199 **Submitter:** Michael Gunson

On behalf of: Surfbreak Protection Society

ECM File Numbers: [3338380](#)

Submission Point No: 1199.16(Section 15 - Policy 1c) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the use of the Waterfront Zone for high density use in all the policies.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 1199.19(Section 15) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Infrastructure should be set in place prior to increased development.

Decision Requested: Set in place infrastructure prior to increased development.

Submission Point No: 1199.20(Section 15) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports in part some small scale development in some of the areas in Section 15, while several constraints should be applied where there is high natural character, outstanding landscapes and biodiversity.

Decision Requested: Apply several constraints in Section 15 regarding areas with high natural character with outstanding landscapes.

Submission Point No: 1199.21(Section 15; Section 38) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes reducing the subdivision density for intensive development.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submission Point No: 1199.34(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks infrastructure, particularly stormwater and wastewater, for Whitianga, Kuaotunu, Cooks Beach, Tairua, Pauanui, Onemana and Whangamata prior to development.

Decision Requested: Address necessity of stormwater and wastewater infrastructure particularly in Whitianga, Kuaotunu, Cooks Beach, Tairua, Pauanui, Onemana and Whangamata.

Submitter Number: 1241 **Submitter:** Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultant Ltd
On behalf of: Powerco Limited
ECM File Numbers: [3342088](#)

Submission Point No: 1241.15(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support
Submission Summary: Supports provisions in Section 15 and seeks recognition of regionally significant infrastructure.
Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Policies 1a, 1d, 1h, 2d, 5b and 9a.Add to Section 15 Policy 2e "regionally significant infrastructure".(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 128 **Submitter:** Karen McMillan and Brenda Kelsey
On behalf of: Coromandel Town Business Association
ECM File Numbers: [3328925](#)

Submission Point No: 128.1(Section 15 - Policy 10c Coromandel Town) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Policy 10c.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Policy 10c.

Submission Point No: 128.2(Section 15 - Policy 1b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Seeks amendment to Section 15 Policy 1b so that it does not limit Policy 1c.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 1b so that it does not limit Policy 1c.

Submitter Number: 130 **Submitter:** Rebecca Beals

On behalf of: KiwiRail Holdings Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3329384](#); [3329386](#)

Submission Point No: 130.13(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth 15.2 Issues) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks addition of "rail" to Section 15 Issue 6.

Decision Requested: Add "rail" to Section 15 Issue 6 as follows: "... (such as roads, rail, wharves ...)" **Decision Reason:**

Submission Point No: 130.14(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth 15.2 Issues) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Growth Policy 1h)

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks addition of "rail" to Section 15 Policy 1h.

Decision Requested: Add "rail" to Section 15 Policy 1h as follows: "... the transportation network (roads, rail, wharves ..."

Submission Point No:	130.15(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth Objective 5 and Policy 5b)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Objective 5 and Policy 5b.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 5 and Policy 5b.

Submitter Number:	298	Submitter:	Dean Glen
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	-----------

On behalf of: Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms

ECM File Numbers: [3334658](#); [3334659](#)

Submission Point No:	298.27(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Requests amendments to Section 15 objectives and policies to avoid inappropriate concentration of development in settlements (particularly in areas subject to natural hazards) and to promote decentralisation, along with minor improvements.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 objectives and policies to acknowledge that concentrated development in the settlements may not be appropriate .Add

new policies to Section 15 that recognise the benefits of decentralisation providing a more sustainable 'village' and rural and coastal lifestyle areas that are more self reliant and significantly less vulnerable to natural hazards .Delete in section 15.1 paragraph 4 "... and offers environmental benefits for the District." Clarify what encompasses a settlement for Policies 10a - 10t in Section 15. Separate the settlements into different categories in Section 15 that reflect their different size, characteristics and requirements; and refine and apply objectives and policies specifically to each category as relevant. Delete Section 15 Policy 1d and clarify Section 15 Policy 1e to detail exactly where "outside of existing settlements" are. Retain Objective 2 and Policies 2a-2e of Section 15. Delete Section 15 Policy 3a. Retain Section 15 Objective 4 and amend Section 15 Policy 4d by deleting "... on the fringes of settlements or ..." Introduce a new policy after Section 15 Policy 4g that reflects Section 15 Policy 4f for New Zealanders that are not Maori that states: "People should be able to use their land according to their heritage and traditions. "Include: "where appropriate" at the beginning of the numerous policies in Section 15 where they would not be appropriate for all the settlements listed. Retain in part Section 15 Policies 10a-10t. For Policy 10l, the existing and approved camping ground and farming activities also need to be recognised. Amend the Section 15 provisions to discourage development within settlements and areas subject to natural hazards and tsunami, including Whitianga and Whangamata.

Submitter Number: 320 **Submitter:** Nicola de Wit

On behalf of: Environmental Defence Society Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3334665](#) ; [3334666](#)

Submission Point No: 320.1(Section 15) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes aspects of Section 15 as being non-strategic and not implementing the Coromandel Blueprint and requests consolidated settlement in Thames, Whitianga and Whangamata and prevention of settlement in Rural Areas and areas with high natural values. Supports some Objectives and Policies, with amendments, that seek to protect natural values.

Decision Requested: Add provisions to Section 15, including objectives and policies that encourage consolidated settlement development and growth in Thames, Whitianga and Whangamata. Add provisions to Section 15, including objectives and policies, that prevent settlement and growth outside Thames,

Whitianga and Whangamata, particularly in the Rural Area, the coastal environment, outstanding natural landscapes, amenity landscapes, natural character areas, and significant indigenous biodiversity/ecological areas. In Section 15 'Issues', recognise that settlement development and growth can adversely affect natural character, ecology, landscape and amenity values. Reword Issue 2 to acknowledge that development can threaten important environmental values, as per submission. Amend Objectives 1 and associated policies to provide for consolidated development in Thames, Whitianga, Whangamata. Amend Objective 3 to refer to the natural character, ecology, landscape and amenity values of the coastal environment. Reword Policy 3a and Policy 3c f) and j) as per submission so growth is not permitted outside existing and planned settlements. Reword Policy 4d as per submission to limit rural lifestyle development to Rural Lifestyle Zone and other identified areas of poor soils. Restrict the application of Objective 5 and Policy 5a to where settlement development and growth is considered appropriate. Retain Objective 6. Amend Policies 6a and 6b as per submission to direct settlement growth away from areas where it should not occur. Amend Policy 6c as per submission to require esplanade reserves as a matter of course. Reword Policy 7a as per submission to not intensify development in areas subject to natural hazard risk. Reword Policy 7b to prevent development in locations where there is a risk of coastal hazards in the next 100 years. In section 7c replace "should not be justified" with "shall not be allowed to proceed." Reword Policy 9a as per submission to protect existing values and valued features of the area. Reword Polices 10b, 10d - 10m, 10p and 10s to add reference to development and growth not to occur outside the current settlements and not to occur where it adversely affects amenity, landscape, natural character, ecological and heritage values or water bodies.

Submitter Number: 365 **Submitter:** Chris & Lyndsay Ison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335909](#); [3335910](#)

Submission Point No: 365.1(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The approach to settlement development does not give enough attention to the work done on the Blueprint project and will result in adverse environmental outcomes.

Decision Requested: Amend Objectives and Policies to prevent settlement development and growth outside Thames, Whitianga and Whangamata, particularly in the Rural Zone, the Coastal Environment, in outstanding landscapes, amenity landscapes, natural character areas and areas of significant indigenous biodiversity.

Submission Point No: 365.20(Section 15.3 Policy 3a, 4d and 6c) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Growth in the Coastal Environment outside existing settlements and existing and planned infrastructure shall be prevented. Rural lifestyle development should be limited to the Rural Lifestyle Zone and esplanade reserves should be required as a matter of course.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policies 3a, 4d and 6c.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 371 **Submitter:** Barbara Ritchie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336379](#); [3336387](#); [3336388](#); [3336389](#)

Submission Point No: 371.3(Section 15 - Policy 10d) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Place a moratorium on any zoning change around Hahei until such time as the village infrastructure is addressed and improved, including parking and impact on the beach.

Decision Requested: Add a moratorium on Hahei zoning change until village infrastructure, parking and beach impact is addressed and improved.

Submitter Number:	391	Submitter:	Simon Powell
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3337302		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	391.4(Section 15)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Support viable, vibrant, self-sufficient, environmentally beneficial settlements with a range of residential densities and dwelling forms providing a variety of living choices.		
	Decision Requested: Give priority to methods of land management that use organic farming and gardening practices.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	404	Submitter:	Tom Parson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3337645 ; 3337646		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	404.1(Section 15 - Policy 10d)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose any further intensive residential development within or adjoining the Hahei Village area until Council has undertaken a comprehensive investigation and report on the essential infrastructure requirements for the existing and any planned future development.		
	Decision Requested: Amend so no further intensive residential development within or adjoining the Hahei Village area occurs until Council has undertaken a comprehensive investigation and report on the essential infrastructure requirements for the existing and any planned future development.		

Submitter Number:	405	Submitter:	Joyce Birdsall
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3337657 ; 3337658		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	405.33(Section 15.3)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Section 15.3 except for Policy 1d as low density development should be allowed in areas without infrastructure and development constraints.		
	Decision Requested: Delete Section 15 Policy 1d.		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	405.34(Section 15 - Policy 3b)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Section 15.3 Policy 3b, except that not all coastal environment areas are suitable for marine activities.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 3b by adding "if appropriate."		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	411	Submitter:	Ian Johnson - Environmental Management Services Ltd
On behalf of:	Hahei Holidays Ltd		
ECM File Numbers:	3336459		
<hr/>			

Submission Point No: 411.1(Section 15 - Objective 15.1) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Objective 1 and Policy 1a.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 1 and Policy 1a.

Submission Point No: 411.3(Section 15 - Objective 5) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Objective 5.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 5.

Submission Point No: 411.4(Section 15 - Policy 5a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Policy 5a's general thrust, but seeks reference to integrated visitor accommodation facilities.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 5a as follows: "Settlement development and growth including commercial, integrated visitor accommodation facilities, housing and subdivision design ..."

Submission Point No: 411.5(Section 15 - Objective 10) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Objective 10.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 10.

Submission Point No:	411.6(Section 15 - Policy 10d)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>Supports Section 15 Policy 10d in part, but seeks amendments to reflect that settlement vitality depends on an enabling framework to give confidence for tourism activity provision.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10d to read: "... Development and growth is encouraged where it will not increases demand for public investment in additional water, wastewater, stormwater and roading network infrastructure."</p>		

Submitter Number:	432	Submitter:	Bryan Fotheringham
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3338549		

Submission Point No:	432.2(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>Supports Objective 10 Policy 10d Hahei.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Retain Objective 1 Policy 1d Hahei in Section 15.</p>		

Submitter Number:	443	Submitter:	Kerry Watson
On behalf of:	Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold		
ECM File Numbers:	3342136 ; 3382330 ; 3382332		

Submission Point No:	443.9(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>Seeks amendments to include mining activities into Section 15 Policy 2a; and to allow for consideration of minerals and existing mining activities not in an RMA plan in Section 15 Policy 2e.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 to add 'and mining' before 'activities' in Policy 2a. Amend Policy 2e to allow for consideration of significant mineral resources and existing mining activities.(refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		

Submitter Number:	516	Submitter:	Bernard J Bowen
On behalf of:	Mercury Bay South Residents and Ratepayers Association Inc		
ECM File Numbers:	3335202		

Submission Point No:	516.1(Section 15.3 Policy 10e - Hikuai, Coroglen, Whenuakite)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Opposes Section 15 Policy 10e as it relates to Whenuakite.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10e to exclude Whenuakite and develop a structure plan for Whenuakite.</p>		

Submitter Number:	524	Submitter:	John and Verona McLeod
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3335241		

Submission Point No: 524.12(Section 15.3 Objective 10 and Policies a-t) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes reference to the Coromandel Blueprint.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 15 Objective 10 and Policies 10a-t and do not make reference to the Coromandel Blueprint in the Plan.

Submitter Number: 534 **Submitter:** Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council

On behalf of: Waikato Regional Council

ECM File Numbers: [3336040](#)

Submission Point No: 534.6(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Expresses overall support of Section 15 and seeks strengthening of some Objectives and Policies to not encourage subdivision use and development where it would be dependent on new natural hazard defences, to be more explicit that future development should be focussed on the 3 main towns.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 7c to discourage new development that would be dependent on natural hazard defence structures. Amend Policy 7d to (**cross reference section 34**) and Rule 16 to include land below 10m above mean sea level instead of 5m. Amend Objective 10 and Policies 10q, 10r, 10t to require focus of future development on the three main towns. Retain Policy 1f. Retain Policy 6d. Retain Policy 9a g) and j).

Submitter Number: 547 **Submitter:** Sue Wright

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

Submission Point No: 547.25(Section 15 - Policy 5d b)) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Submits that swales instead of curb and channel are needed to avoid an increase in stormwater runoff.

Decision Requested: Add to Section 15 Policy 5d b): "the use of swales instead of curb and channel to avoid an increase of stormwater runoff within new subdivisions."

Submission Point No: 547.26(Section 15 - Policy 5d a), c) - g)) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Policy 5d a), c) - g).

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Policy 5d a), c) - g).

Submission Point No: 547.27(Section 15 - Policy 6a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Policy 6a (outstanding landscapes, erodible and high quality soils, etc), and seeks its clarification on local and district maps.

Decision Requested: Clarify Section 15 Policy 6a on local and district maps.

Submission Point No: 547.39(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Supports on-site use of water tanks for all development.

Decision Requested: Make on-site water tanks a requirement in Section 15 Policy 1f.

Submitter Number: 557

Submitter: Peter Kenneth and Margaret Barron

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336571](#)

Submission Point No: 557.1(Section 15.3 10d - Hahei)

Category: Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Policy 10d, but notes that development and growth in Hahei has already compromised it.

Decision Requested: The Council needs to advocate for the community with external agencies such as DOC and work with the community to resolve local issues.

Submitter Number: 565

Submitter: Tom Hollings

On behalf of: Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association

ECM File Numbers: [3337011](#) ; [3337010](#)

Submission Point No: 565.15(Section 15 - all references to Coromandel Town)

Category: Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports the recognition of Coromandel as a “town” within the Plan, and opposes the status of “Settlement” for Coromandel.

Decision Requested: Recognise Coromandel’s “Town” status within the Plan.

Submission Point No: 565.5(Section 15 - Issue 4) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Issue 4 insofar as it recognises that incompatible land uses can cause reverse sensitivity effects and restrict commercial and industrial opportunities.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Issue 4.

Submission Point No: 565.6(Section 15 - Objective 2 and related policies) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Generally supports Section 15 Objective 2 and related policies, and seeks removal of "undue" from Policy 2e.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 2 and related policies, with the word "undue" deleted from Policy 2e.

Submission Point No: 565.7(Section 15 - Objective 3, Policies 3b and 3c n)) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 Objective 3, Policies 3b and 3c n)

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 3, Policies 3b and 3c n).

Submitter Number: 568 **Submitter:** Ben and Donna Grubb

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336591](#); [3336590](#); [3336589](#); [3336588](#); [3336587](#); [3336586](#); [3336584](#); [3349405](#); [333](#)

Submission Point No: 568.29(Section 15.1 - Para 4) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: In Section 15.1 - Paragraph 4 Amend as follows: "Outside of these areas, development will be discouraged."

Submission Point No: 568.30(Section 15 - Issue 3) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose Issue 3 (lack of diversity in built environment) until this can be proven to be fact.

Decision Requested: In Section 15.2 Issues; delete Issue 3.

Submission Point No: 568.31(Section 15 - Policy 1e) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: In Section 15 Policy 1e: Add: "Development outside of existing settlements shall not contribute to 'ribbon development' along the coast or along State Highways, and shall not blur the visual boundaries of settlements."

Submission Point No: 568.32(Section 15 - Policy 2a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: In Section 15 Add to Policy 2a: "within the areas zoned for business and industrial activities."

Submission Point No: 568.33(Section 15 - Policy 3a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: In Section 15:Amend Policy 3a: "Growth in the Coastal Environment shall be clustered in existing settlements ..."

Submission Point No: 568.34(Section 15 - Policy 4d) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: In Section 15 delete Policy 4d.

Submission Point No: 568.35(Section 15 - Policy 6a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: Replace "~~should~~" with "shall" in Section 15.

Submission Point No: 568.36(Section 15 - Policy 6b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the counter-policy of providing for development outside existing settlement using sufficiency and environment gain as excuses.

Decision Requested: In Section 15 delete Policy 6b.

Submitter Number: 578 **Submitter:** Christine Vickerman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337120](#)

Submission Point No: 578.45(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth Policies 3a, 5e, 7d, Objective 10) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15 Objective 10 and Policies 3a, 5e, 7d and 10a-10t.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 15 Objective 10 Policies 3a, 5e, and 7d. Delete and Policies 10a - 10t.

Submitter Number: 596 **Submitter:** Jane Lyon Bartrom

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337210](#)

Submission Point No: 596.1(Section 15.3 Policy 10c - Coromandel Town) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the use of "settlement" for Coromandel Town.

Decision Requested: Delete the use of "settlement" for Coromandel Town.

Submitter Number: 602

Submitter: David Lamason

On behalf of: Ward Family

ECM File Numbers: [3337312](#)

Submission Point No: 602.4(Section 15.3 Policy 10b - Moehau Peninsula)

Category: Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes reference to Moehau as a peninsula in Section 15 Policy 10b.

Decision Requested: Delete reference to Moehau Peninsula in Policy 10b and replace with a correct place of reference i.e. Moehau Range.

Submitter Number: 603

Submitter: David Lamason - Planners Plus

On behalf of: Cooks Beach Futures Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3337316](#)

Submission Point No: 603.2(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth 10a)

Category: Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose in part Section 15 Policy 10a - Cooks Beach and Ferry Landing as the Cooks Beach backdrop is not rural or perceived to hold high natural character.

Decision Requested: Change the Section 15 Policy 10a to confirm that the backdrop to Cooks Beach is moderately modified and contains a number of residential dwellings integrated into the environment.

Submitter Number: 624 **Submitter:** Chris Lux

On behalf of: James Lux, Kimberlea Lux

ECM File Numbers: [3337419](#)

Submission Point No: 624.6(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth - Objective 10) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Include Kennedy Bay and Tuatēawa in Section 15 Objective 10 as a new policy.

Decision Requested: Include Kennedy Bay and Tuatēawa in Section 15 Objective 10 as a new policy to read: "Should retain existing rural and natural character backdrops. A diversity of sustainable community, commercial industrial and residential activities should be allowed."

Submission Point No: 624.7(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Oppose "small-scale" for Objective 10 policies as it is a value judgement. "Sustainable" more accurately reflects the purposes and principles of the RMA.

Decision Requested: Remove in all the Objective 10 policies the word '~~small-scale~~' and replace with 'sustainable'.

Submitter Number:	709	Submitter:	Matt Allot - Boffa Miskell
On behalf of:	Blue Water Estates Ltd		
ECM File Numbers:	3337742		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	709.2(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports concentration of urban growth in 3 main centres in Section 15 provisions.		
	Decision Requested: Retain the 'checks and balances' in Section 15 that make the 'concentration in 3 main centres' strategy not get in the way of subdivision and land use consents in other more remote parts of the District.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	751	Submitter:	John Drummond
On behalf of:	Tairua Environment Society		
ECM File Numbers:	3338034 ; 3338035 ; 3338036		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	751.15(Section 15 - including new rules)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose lack of reference to Blueprint process, no recognition of Thames, Whangamata and Whitianga as growth areas, and no rules allowing growth in the three towns and limiting growth outside them.		
	Decision Requested: Write Objectives, Policies and Rules that reflect the Blueprint, encourage growth in the big 3 towns, and contain growth in other towns and areas.		
<hr/>			

Submission Point No:	751.16(Section 15 - Policy 10o and new policies)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose the very watered down version of the Tairua Character policy in Section 15 Policy 10o compared to what is in the existing Plan.		
	Decision Requested: Expand section 15 Policy 10o for Tairua, and add Paku, Te Karo Bay and Pumpkin Hill Character areas to this Policy.		

Submitter Number:	755	Submitter:	Brian Sharp and Sue Edens
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3337962 ; 3343458		

Submission Point No:	755.2(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes an alleged confusion in Section 15 of Council's roles as service provider and regulator and requests new provisions that are less restrictive.		
	Decision Requested: In Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth: Amend Objective 1 to add 'or provide required infrastructure'. Remove Policy 1a, 1g, 3a, Amend Policy 1b, 1e, 2a, 2b, 2c, 2e, 3b, and 10. Add new Policy to encourage a variety of densities through provision of greater variation in section sizes in all subdivisions.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		

Submitter Number:	772	Submitter:	Margaret Harrison
On behalf of:	Coromandel-Colville Community Board		
ECM File Numbers:	3338195		

Submission Point No:	772.19(Section 15 - Policy 1b)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Extensions to infrastructure should be provided where it is demonstrated that it is cost-effective for developers to provide the capacity.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 1b to enable developers to provide infrastructure if it is appropriate for sustainable growth.		

Submitter Number:	774	Submitter:	Larissa Doherty
On behalf of:	Thames Community Board		
ECM File Numbers:	3338200 ; 3338198		

Submission Point No:	774.10(Section 15 - Policy 10q)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Support the wording in Policy 10q.		
	Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Policy 10q.		

Submission Point No:	774.9(Section 15 - Policy 10p)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Propose an amendment to Section 15 Policy 10p.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10p as follows: "... Development and growth should not occur where it requires Council funding for additional water, wastewater, stormwater and roading network infrastructure."		

Submitter Number:	780	Submitter:	Al Fleming
On behalf of:	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		
ECM File Numbers:	3338431		
Submission Point No:	780.6(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Seeks amendments to specify purpose of open space reserves and specific rewording of Policy 2a, 3b and 3c. Decision Requested: Amend Issue 15.2 to include 'natural character'. Reword Policy 2a to read : 'Business and industrial activities that increase ecosystem health, social benefits, boost...'Add 'where appropriate' to Policy 3b. Reword Policy 3c to read: '...inland migration opportunities (over a 100 year timeframe) ; and...'Identify 'intolerable' risk in Policy 7a.		

Submitter Number:	781	Submitter:	Augusta Macassey-Pickard
On behalf of:	Mercury Bay branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		
ECM File Numbers:	3337947		
Submission Point No:	781.6(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports provisions of Section 15 and requests rewordings to not encourage economic development at the expense of the environment and new Policy to provide for multiple use open space areas.		

Decision Requested: Amend Issue 15.2 to include 'ecosystem functioning'. Add new Policy 1i to read: 'Open space reserves should be designed for multiple uses wherever possible e.g. stormwater retention/treatment and recreation and wildlife habitat enhancement'. Reword Policies 2a and 3b and 3c. Define 'intolerable' risk in Policy 7a. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 785 **Submitter:** Paul Cook

On behalf of: Opito Bay Ratepayers Association

ECM File Numbers: [3337942](#); [3337941](#)

Submission Point No: 785.1 (Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Supports the vision contained in the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint for development to be concentrated in three main urban hubs (Thames, Whitianga and Whangamata) and for the special character of small coastal settlements such as Opito Bay to be preserved.

Decision Requested: Amend the Plan to reflect the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint.

Submitter Number: 787 **Submitter:** Jenni Fitzgerald

On behalf of: New Zealand Transport Agency

ECM File Numbers: [3338388](#); [3338389](#); [3338390](#)

Submission Point No: 787.9 (Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports provisions in Section 15 and requests amendments to provide for industry to be located where there is access to strategic transport networks and to recognise existing and planned key infrastructure.

Decision Requested: In Section 15: Retain Objective 1 as notified. Amend Policy 1g. Amend Policy 1e to better manage reverse sensitivity effects from land uses close to State Highways. Retain Objectives 3 and 5 and Policy 5b, 5c and 5c(g).(refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

On behalf of: Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

ECM File Numbers: [3338486](#)

Submission Point No: 790.24(Section 15.1) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Background needs to include the distinct Maori perspective on settlement development both historic, contemporary and to deal with future development.

Decision Requested: In section 15 include specific recognition of the potential for settlement aspirations to reveal a landscape that does not align well with Council's current policy framework, including place specific measures such as those included in this section, and zoning.

Submission Point No: 790.25(Section 15 - Issue 8 and new issues) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Issue 8, but the list does not consider the need and desirability of an integration of Maori perspectives and tikanga around urban design and residential development.

Decision Requested: In Section 15 add new issues about urban and residential design not incorporating Maori perspective, a lack of papakainga, and a need to

plan for Maori papakainga aspirations following Treaty settlement. Refer to original submission for specific wording.

Submission Point No: 790.26(Section 15 - Policy 4f, Objective 8, Policies 8a, 8b, 8c, new Policy 8d) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Does not want provisions to undermine Maori aspirations following Treaty settlement. Support Policy 4f, Objective 8, Policy 8a, Policy 8b and Policy 8c. However, need to promote the incorporation of Maori values and perspectives in the design and development of our settlements generally, not only in papakainga.

Decision Requested: Retain Policy 4f.Retain Policies 8a and 8b.Change section 15 Policy 8c to the following: "Papakainga housing is supported as a means of realising Maori housing and Treaty Settlement aspirations, and assists Maori to continue their relationship with their ancestral lands."Add new Policy 8d: "Residential and urban planning should be informed by kaitiakitanga, matauranga Maori and Maori planning perspectives."

Submitter Number: 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

Submission Point No: 791.43(Section 15) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose this section. The RPS has resolved the appeal on the Blueprint to where a more open approach can be taken. Use opportunities to gradually expand settlements.

Decision Requested: In Section 15:1. Rewrite to show common sense in allowing for growth. 2. Add an issue that all settlements should have at least one sealed road. 3. Change "shall" to "should". 4. In Policy 3c b) rewrite to exclude amenity.5. Delete either Policy 6a or 6b as they are the same.(Refer to original

submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 794 **Submitter:** Debbie Waterhouse

On behalf of: Surveying Services Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3337930](#) ; [3337931](#)

Submission Point No: 794.10(Section 15 - Objectives 1 - 9 and their policies) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Objectives 1 to 9 and all the related policies.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objectives 1 to 9 and all the related policies.

Submitter Number: 797 **Submitter:** Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell Limited

On behalf of: The Vela Trust

ECM File Numbers: [3337927](#)

Submission Point No: 797.5(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth Policy 3a) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Notes that Section 15, Policy 3a does not differentiate between appropriate or inappropriate forms of development, and runs contrary to the wider approach of the Proposed Plan of enabling appropriate subdivision, use and development that

protects and enhances landscape and natural character values.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 3a to recognise that only inappropriate development in the Coastal Environment, outside of existing settlements, should be discouraged.

Submitter Number: 799 **Submitter:** Gloria and Graeme Ready

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337925](#)

Submission Point No: 799.19(Section 15 - Policies 6a and 6b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15.3 Policy 6a on the basis that apart from the words "potentially erodible slopes, and high class soils" it is very similar to Policy 6b.

Decision Requested: Amend section 15 Policy 6b and delete Policy 6a.

Submission Point No: 799.20(Section 15 - Policy 7b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15.3 Policy 7b as it does not make sense.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 15 Policy 7b or rewrite it so it can be understood.

Submission Point No: 799.21(Section 15 - Policy 7c) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15.3 Policy 7c (natural hazards) as it precludes installing structures to ensure future growth.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 15 Policy 7c or amend it so that it can be understood.

Submission Point No: 799.22(Section 15 - Policy 8b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15.3 Policy 8b.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 8b to avoid the potential for Council consent processes to be "duplicated".

Submission Point No: 799.23(Section 15 - Policies 10a to 10j) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15.3 Policies 10a - 10j relating to individual settlements.

Decision Requested: Validate Section 15 Policies 10a - 10j with a view to amending the Plan accordingly.

Submitter Number: 817 **Submitter:** Liane Ngamane

On behalf of: Ngati Tamatera

ECM File Numbers: [3342046](#); [3342045](#)

Submission Point No: 817.10(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15.3 Objective 10, Policy 10b and Policy 10p relating to use of the term "Moehau Peninsula" and the relationship with Moehau and the Thames Coast.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10b to read Moehau not Moehau Peninsula and Policy 10b (Moehau); and amend Policy 10p (Thames Coast) to recognize and provide for the relationship of Ngati Tamatera with their ancestral lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu and taonga including Maori land and treaty settlement land.

Submission Point No:	817.9(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	--

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 15 in part but notes that this may affect the ability of Maori to meet their social cultural aspirations in relation to their lands and the coastal environment.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 to incorporate Maori social, cultural and economic aspirations in relation to their lands and the coastal environment; and Policy 8c so that it isn't limited to papakainga housing.

Submitter Number:	821	Submitter:	Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell
--------------------------	-----	-------------------	--------------------------------

On behalf of: Northern Land Property Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3342074](#)

Submission Point No:	821.3(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth Policy 3a, 10s)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
-----------------------------	--	-----------------	--

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to Section 15 Policies 3a and 10s.

Decision Requested: 1. Amend Section 15 Policy 3a to recognise that only inappropriate subdivision, use and development in the Coastal Environment, outside of existing settlements, should be discouraged. 2. Amend Section 15 Policy 10s to apply to 'inappropriate' development and growth only.

Submitter Number: 832 **Submitter:** Jo Young - Boffa Miskell Ltd

On behalf of: Transpower New Zealand Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3342101](#)

Submission Point No: 832.7(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth) **Category:** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports approach taken in Section 15 and seeks amendment to 15.2 Issue 4 and Policy 1h to enable consideration of the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of strategic infrastructure.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 15 Objective 4 and Policy 4e. Amend Section 15 Issue 4 and Policy 1h to enable consideration of the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of strategic infrastructure. (refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 881 **Submitter:** Marcus Crawshaw Ward

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3342922](#); [3345614](#)

Submission Point No:	881.1(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth - Objective 10b)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes the use of 'Moehau Peninsula' in the Policy 10b. It does not need a new name when 'Moehau Range of Coromandel Peninsula' is already used.		
	Decision Requested: Change reference to 'Moehau Peninsula' in Policy 10b.		

Submitter Number:	883	Submitter:	Cath Wallace and Barry Weeber
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342928		

Submission Point No:	883.4(Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	The Plan is contrary to the principles of sustainable management and will fail to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.		
	Decision Requested: Amend the Plan so it is in line with the Blueprint vision that consolidated development and growth in Thames, Whitianga and Whangamata.		

Submitter Number:	945	Submitter:	Bronwyn Lee Blair
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343386 ; 3343387 ; 3343385 ; 3343382		

Submission Point No:	945.7(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Seeks amendments to Section 15 Objective 10 and Policy 10c to reference sustainability, heritage town status and prohibit dredging of harbour.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Objective 10 and Policy 10c to refer to sustainability, heritage town status and to specifically prohibit dredging of harbour and all other activities that may have a negative environmental effect on the harbour, coast and/or population.</p>		

Submitter Number:	958	Submitter:	Penelope Anthea Crawshaw Ward
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343716		

Submission Point No:	958.5(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth - Policy 10b)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Delete reference to 'Moehau Peninsula' in Section 15 Policy 10b.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Use 'Moehau District' or the 'Moehau' instead of 'Moehau Peninsula' in Section 15 Policy 10b.</p>		

Submitter Number:	960	Submitter:	Theodora C Ward
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343751		

Submission Point No: 960.3(Section 15 Settlement Development and Growth) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the use of the word 'Moehau Peninsula' in Section 15 Policy 10b.

Decision Requested: Replace word 'Moehau Peninsula' in Section 15 Policy 10b with an appropriate reference to the area.

Submitter Number: 962 **Submitter:** Alexander Bruce and Catherine Suzanne Ward - Puriri Partnership

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343754](#)

Submission Point No: 962.3(Section 15 - Policy 10b) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15 Policy 10b and requests flexibility to build additional dwellings on the northern tip of the Coromandel Peninsula.

Decision Requested: Clarify what the 'Moehau Peninsula' in Section 15 Policy 10b is, and provide flexibility in the Plan to build additional dwellings in this area.

Submitter Number: 964 **Submitter:** Catherine Donoghue

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343765](#)

Submission Point No: 964.6(Section 15 - Objective 3 and its policies) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks that coastal communities are restricted to their current areas.

Decision Requested: In the Plan, restrict coastal communities to their current areas.

Submitter Number: 966 **Submitter:** Terry Walker

On behalf of: Whangamata Community Board

ECM File Numbers: [3343769](#)

Submission Point No: 966.12(Section 15) **Category** Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Whangamata is one of the growth hubs identified in the TCDC Economic Development Action Plan December 2013 and the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint 2009. Investment into three wastewater plants on the Eastern Seaboard at ~\$100 m with two wastewater plants identified in the Peninsula BluePrint as growth hubs - Whangamata and Whitianga.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 to more clearly reflect the values in the 'Future Coromandel - Thames Coromandel District Economic Development Action Plan' December 2013 and the 'Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint' 2009.

Submitter Number: 990 **Submitter:** Richard Coles - Boffa Miskell

On behalf of: Greenfields Coromandel Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3344309](#)

Submission Point No:	990.2(Section 15 - Policy 10C)	Category	Section 15 - Settlement Development and Growth
-----------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	--

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose Section 15 Policy 10c as it does not align with the Council's current infrastructure planning.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 15 Policy 10c - Coromandel Town to include the Greenfields Coromandel site or be less specific.

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 16 - Subdivision

Submitter Number:	1150	Submitter:	David Lamason
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3345202		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1150.15(Section 16.3 Policy 1c)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes Policy 1c relating to subdivision in the Rural Lifestyle zone.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1c by removing the word 'significant' in relation to biodiversity gains.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1204	Submitter:	Victor McLean
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1204.2(Sections 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number: 1205 **Submitter:** Lisa May Hale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1205.2(Sections 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1206 **Submitter:** Kellie Harrison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1206.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number:	1207	Submitter:	Jackson Sweeney
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1207.2(Sections 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1208	Submitter:	Shanene Sweeney
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1208.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1209	Submitter:	Cherie Ngapo
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1209.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1210	Submitter:	Shawn Thwaites
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1210.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1211	Submitter:	Daniell Huia
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1211.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording)		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1212	Submitter:	Alana Baret
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1212.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number: 1213 **Submitter:** D Keremeneta

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1213.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1214 **Submitter:** A Morgain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1214.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number:	1215	Submitter:	S L Maneroa
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1215.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1216	Submitter:	D Manyard
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1216.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number: 1217 **Submitter:** Wendy Vause

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1217.2(Section 16,17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1218 **Submitter:** R Richard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1218.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1219 **Submitter:** Bernice Laurie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1219.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1220 **Submitter:** Rupeka Renata

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1220.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number:	1221	Submitter:	Boni Renata
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1221.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1222	Submitter:	Abby Forbes
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1222.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1223	Submitter:	Thelma Waru
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1223.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents. Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1224	Submitter:	Teri Eynon
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1224.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents. Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1225	Submitter:	Taega Hale
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1225.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1226	Submitter:	Erica Burger
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1226.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1227	Submitter:	Tina Duggan
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1227.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents. Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1228	Submitter:	Rewi Browne
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1228.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents. Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1229	Submitter:	Judy Hawkey
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1229.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents. Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1230	Submitter:	Inge Provoost
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1230.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents. Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1231	Submitter:	P Thompson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1231.2(Section 16, 17 ad 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1232	Submitter:	R Riri
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1232.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1233	Submitter:	Karen Messcte
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1233.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1234	Submitter:	M Zenneth
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1234.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number: 1235 **Submitter:** Maria Woods

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1235.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1236 **Submitter:** Annie Holman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1236.2(Section 16, 17 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.

Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number:	1237	Submitter:	Pererika Williams
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1237.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1238	Submitter:	Barbara J Smith
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1238.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1239	Submitter:	Georgia May Turner
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346013		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1239.2(Section 16, 17 and 38)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Oppose subdivision of ancestral lands in Harataunga, including existing structure plans or subdivision consents.		
	Decision Requested: Remove ability to subdivide from ancestral lands in Harataunga. Revoke the structure plan and associated consents for 1286 and 1291 Kennedy Bay Road (refer to original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1241	Submitter:	Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultant Ltd
On behalf of:	Powerco Limited		
ECM File Numbers:	3342088		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1241.16(Section 16 - Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Supports provisions in Section 16 and seeks protection of utility network assets from subdivision, use and development.		
	Decision Requested: 1. Add to Section 16 Issue 5: "Compromise security of supply, including by placing increasing pressure on existing utility services."2. Retain Objective 2.3. Add new Policy under Objective 2 to protect network utility assets from subdivision, use and development.4. Retain Objective 9 and Policy 9g.5. Add 'sustainable' to supply in Policy 9d.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		

Submitter Number: 131 **Submitter:** Paul Kelly

On behalf of: Mercury Bay Community Board

ECM File Numbers: [3329109](#)

Submission Point No: 131.15(Section 16 - Policy 3a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Amend Policy 3a to not reduce Council's ability to acquire public reserve through subdivision.

Decision Requested: Clarify Policy 3a to ensure that it does not reduce Council's ability to have an esplanade reserve vested at the time of subdivision or contradict Policy 3b.

Submission Point No: 131.16(Section 16 - Objectives 3, 8 and related policies, and Section 38 - Rules 7, 8, 9, 10, 11) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Amend subdivision policy and rules to give the Council greater powers to vest esplanade reserves at the time of subdivision.

Decision Requested: Amend the objectives and policies in Part III - Section 16 - Subdivision and Part VII - Section 38- Subdivision be amended to provide Council a greater ability to require the vesting of esplanade reserves at the time of any subdivision adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers. This should include provision for Council to require the vesting of esplanade reserves for lot sizes under 4 hectares as provided for in section 230(3) of the Resource Management Act.

Submitter Number:	298	Submitter:	Dean Glen
On behalf of:	Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms		
ECM File Numbers:	3334658 ; 3334659		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	298.41(Section 16 - Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	<p>In Section 16, supports last paragraph in Section 16.1, Objectives 1, 2, 11 and Policy 11a. Requests deletion of Section 16.1 paragraph 5 reference to 'offering environmental benefits for the District', Policy 2b and Policy 11b. Requests amendments to Policies 1e, 6a, 8a and 12a, and introduce a new policy about reverse sensitivity on adjoining permitted or approved activities.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Delete "... and offers environmental benefits for the District." in section 16.1 paragraph 5; and retain the last paragraph within section 16.1 unchanged. Retain Objectives 1 and 2 unchanged. Amend Policy 1e to the following: "Subdivision within the Rural Zone shall be at a density no greater than that envisaged by the subdivision standards within the PDP to retain the low density character of the Rural Area." Delete Policy 2b. Introduce a further policy that: "<u>subdivision shall not result in reverse sensitivity effects on adjoining permitted or approved activities.</u>" Amend Policy 6a as follows: "... of indigenous biodiversity shall be encouraged in the Rural Area...". Amend Policy 8a to: "An esplanade reserve/strip shall be established, <u>in accordance with the requirements of the Resource Management Act</u>, at the time of subdivision where it will:" Retain Objective 11 and Policy 11a unchanged. Delete Policy 11b. Alter the beginning of Policy 12a to the following: "<u>Where it is reasonably considered that a site could be contaminated due to historic or current land use, ...</u>"</p>		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	320	Submitter:	Nicola de Wit
On behalf of:	Environmental Defence Society Ltd		
ECM File Numbers:	3334665 ; 3334666		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	320.2(Section 16	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision

Subdivision)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes permissive approach to subdivision and requests alignment of Section 16 to New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and Coromandel Blueprint direction and prohibition of subdivision in areas of high natural value.

Decision Requested: Reword Section 16 objectives and policies to reflect New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and Coromandel Blueprint direction on consolidating development and preserving the rural environment. Prohibit subdivision in the Rural Zone, coastal environment, outstanding natural landscapes, amenity landscapes, natural character areas, areas with significant biodiversity, and sensitive landforms (including ridgelines and headlands), and have robust assessment and management of subdivision in Residential Areas. Reword Background and Issue 1 and rewrite Objective 1. Reword Policy 3a. Amend Objective 5 and Policy 5b. Amend Objective 6. Reword Policy 6a and retain Policy 6b, 6d and 7c. Amend Policy 6c and delete Policy 6e. Amend Objectives 7 and 8 and Policies 8a and 8b. Retain Policy 8c. Delete Policy 8d and point b) from Policy 8e. Delete Policy 11b a), b) and d). (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 365

Submitter: Chris & Lyndsay Ison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335909](#); [3335910](#)

Submission Point No: 365.2(Section 16.3 Objectives and Policies)

Category Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: This section needs to reflect the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement direction to consolidate development and avoid sporadic/sprawling development and preserve small coastal settlements and the rural environment.

Decision Requested: Amend to include objectives and policies to reflect the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement direction to consolidate development and avoid sporadic/sprawling development and preserve small coastal settlements and the rural environment.

Submission Point No: 365.21(Section 16.2 Issue 1) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Any subdivision can adversely affect the District's special values.

Decision Requested: Amend the issue to remove the words 'poorly planned.'

Submission Point No: 365.22(Section 16.3 Objective 5) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks amendments to the objective to require the avoidance of subdivision on headlands and ridgelines.

Decision Requested: Amend objective 5 to require the avoidance of subdivision on headlands and ridgelines.

Submission Point No: 365.23(Section 16.3 Policy 6e) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Subdivision should not be allowed in the coastal environment.

Decision Requested: Delete policy 6e.

Submission Point No: 365.24(Section 16.3 Policy 8a, 8b and 8d) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Esplanade reserves should be required as a matter of course.

Decision Requested: Not stated in submission.

Submitter Number: 397

On behalf of: Thames-Coromandel District Council

ECM File Numbers: [3337663](#); [3337643](#); [3344601](#); [3344635](#); [3344662](#); [3344670](#); [3376232](#); [3348161](#); [334](#)

Submission Point No: 397.24(Section 16 - Policy 2b)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Seeks amendment of Policy 2b in Section 16 to refer to significant mineral resources as 'i

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 2b in Section 16 to read:" Subdivision should consid

Submission Point No: 397.25(Section 16 - Objective 8)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Seeks minor addition to Objective 8 in Section 16.

Decision Requested: Amend Objective 8 of Section 16 to read: "Subdivision provides fo

Submission Point No: 397.26(Section 16 - New Policy 8f)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Seeks addition of a Policy 8f to require esplanade reserves/strips to be established in the

Decision Requested: Amend Section 16 as follows: Add new policy 8f: An esplanade res

Submitter Number: 412

Submitter:

Brent Page

On behalf of:	Thames-Coromandel District Council Economic Development Committee		
ECM File Numbers:	3336670 ; 3336668		
Submission Point No:	412.2(Section 16)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Seeks acknowledgement of the Council's strategic project 'The Great Coastal Walk' in the Plan.		
	Decision Requested: Include some 'trade-off' subdivision mechanism into section 16 to make land available to the Council for 'The Great Coastal Walk'.		

Submitter Number:	421	Submitter:	Martin Williams - Shakespeare Chambers
On behalf of:	Tasman Buildings Limited		
ECM File Numbers:	3338068 ; 3338069		
Submission Point No:	421.8(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Seeks general review of entire section 16 to better align it with Part II Resource Management Act.		
	Decision Requested: Delete Objective 1 and reframe to better reflect Part II of the Resource Management Act; and either delete Policy 1a and Policy 1e or amend as per submission. Amend Objective 5 so as to refer to subdivision not unnecessarily or inappropriately altering the contours of the existing landform. Amend Policy 5b as worded in the submission. Commence Policy 5c with "where practicable and appropriate". Amend Objective 6 to provide for adequate remediation; and amend the reference to Section 6 Policy 1d within Policy 1a, to Policy 1(c).Amend Objective 7 such that subdivision maintains the overall quality of water in wetlands, water ways and ground water within the District and by deleting the reference to water quantity; and amend Policy 7c the same relating to stormwater. Delete Objective 8.Amend Policy 8b a) and b) by adding "or strip"		

after "reserve". Amend Policies 8a to 8e so as to only require esplanade reserves or strips for subdivisions creating allotments less than 4 ha, and to refer to compensation being paid in any other subdivision case, or where a reserve or strip of greater than 20 m width is taken. Delete Policy 11b.

Submitter Number: 431 **Submitter:** Joel Haugh - RMS Surveyors

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338556](#); [3338529](#)

Submission Point No: 431.1(Section 16 Subdivision Use of Subdivision Design Guidelines) **Category:** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the use of Subdivision Design Guidelines.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 524 **Submitter:** John and Verona McLeod

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335241](#)

Submission Point No: 524.13(Section 16.1 Background) **Category:** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Questions identification of matters of national importance through overlays.

Decision Requested: The costs of protecting things for the public good should not be carried by the landowner.

Submitter Number: 531 **Submitter:** Duncan McKenzie

On behalf of: New Zealand Historic Places Trust Pouhere Taonga

ECM File Numbers: [3335677](#)

Submission Point No: 531.23(Section 16 - Subdivision 16.1) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT is concerned that the sentence relates to Section 6 of the RMA which refers to 'historic heritage' but that the word historic does not precede 'heritage values'. Cultural values should also be included for acknowledgement of their importance as also required by section 6 of the RMA.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 16, 16.1 sixth paragraph as follows: "Matters of national importance (S 6 of the RMA) are provided for in this Plan through the use of overlays and district-wide rules that afford targeted protection for all areas with high landscape, natural character, biodiversity, historic heritage and cultural values."

Submission Point No: 531.24(Section 16 - Subdivision) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT considers that as historic heritage (e.g. coastal archaeological sites) and cultural values may be compromised by subdivision that they be identified as issues.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 16, 16.2.5 to add as follows: h) compromise historic heritage, including coastal archaeological sites i) adversely affect cultural values.

Submission Point No:	531.25(Section 16 - Subdivision 16.3)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>The NZHPT is supportive of an overall objective for subdivision to benefit the District, but seeks that historic heritage be included in the list of matters to be maintained and benefited.</p> <p>Decision Requested: That Section 16 Objective 1 be retained and amended as follows: “Subdivision benefits the District by being the ‘right thing in the right place, maintains, protects and enhances amenity, biodiversity, and natural character, and historic heritage, and fits within its landscape and surroundings.”</p>		
Submission Point No:	531.26(Section 16 - Subdivision 16.3)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>The NZHPT acknowledges that Historic Heritage Areas and Historic Heritage Items are recognised. The NZHPT seeks that a new policy is added to address Archaeological Sites and Maori Cultural Sites which have been identified as significant and scheduled in the plan as the protection of historic heritage items and their surrounds is most effectively and efficiently achieved at the time of subdivision to ensure long term protection of the heritage resource</p> <p>Decision Requested: That a new policy be added to Section 16.3 as follows: “Significant archaeological and cultural sites shall be protected from damage and fragmentation through subdivision.”</p>		
Submission Point No:	531.27(Section 16 - Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>The NZHPT supports the identification of potential adverse effects resulting from the provision of public reserves through subdivision. However, the maintenance and management of historic heritage is not identified as an issue that may contribute to a public reserve not being provided, and the NZHPT seek an amendment to ensure that appropriate consideration is afforded to historic heritage in this process.</p> <p>Decision Requested: That Section 16 Policy 3a be retained and added to as follows: d) “significant historic heritage is maintained and managed.”</p>		

Submission Point No: 531.28(Section 16 - Subdivision 5a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: That Section 16 Policy 5a d) be re-worded to more accurately represent historic heritage as archaeological sites afford acknowledgement alongside Maori Cultural Sites.

Decision Requested: That Section 16 Policy 5a d) is amended as follows: “d) Historic heritage sites (including Maori Cultural sites and archaeological sites).”

Submission Point No: 531.29(Section 16 - Subdivision - Policy 8a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT is concerned that establishing an esplanade reserve at the time of subdivision has the potential to impact on historic heritage, noting that archaeological sites are often concentrated along the coast and Maori Cultural Sites are also well represented in coastal areas. Therefore, historic heritage should be highlighted as a matter to be taken into account when establishing esplanade reserves.

Decision Requested: That Section 16 Policy 8a, be amended as follows: “c) Maintain, protect and enhance aquatic habitats, ecosystem and historic heritage; or... f) Protect the natural character, historic heritage, and/or amenity values associated with a riparian area.”

Submission Point No: 531.30(Section 16 - Subdivision Policy 8c) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT consider that the presence of historic heritage should be acknowledged as a factor in the decision making process to vary the width of an esplanade reserve/strip at the time of subdivision.

Decision Requested: That Section 16 Policy 8c be amended as follows: “a) the area has special values (including historic heritage) that require protection greater than a 20 m reserve /strip can provide; or...”

Submitter Number:	534	Submitter:	Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council
On behalf of:	Waikato Regional Council		
ECM File Numbers:	3336040		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	534.7(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>Supports most of the provisions in Section 16 and requests wording changes to strengthen protection of the natural environment, including in the Coastal Environment.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Objective 1 and retain Policy 1c. Amend Objective 5 to be more explicit what natural values are sought to be retained. Retain Objective 6 and Policy 6a but delete reference to Policy 1d, and replace it with reference to Policy 1c. Retain Policies 6b to 6e. Retain Objective 7 and associated Policies. Retain Objective 8 and amend Policy 8a and 8b and 8d. Retain Policies 8c and 8e. Retain Policy 9b and add 'treatment' after 'wastewater'. Add to Policy 9c 'Such development would likely require discharge consent from Waikato Regional Council'. Retain Policy 9f. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	547	Submitter:	Sue Wright
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3346943 ; 3343339		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	547.28(Section 16 - Objective 11)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Fully support Objective 11 protection of high-class soils.		

Decision Requested: Retain Objective 11.

Submission Point No: 547.40(Section 16 Subdivision) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports protection of high class soils.

Decision Requested: Retain Objective 11.

Submitter Number: 555 **Submitter:** Gary Blake

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336569](#)

Submission Point No: 555.7 **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Subdivision should favour less fertile sites and building designs should be smaller and more efficient.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 568 **Submitter:** Ben and Donna Grubb

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336591](#); [3336590](#); [3336589](#); [3336588](#); [3336587](#); [3336586](#); [3336584](#); [3349405](#); [3336583](#)

Submission Point No: 568.37(Section 16 - Policy 6a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the concept running throughout that subdivision is necessary to protect and enhance landscape, natural character, biodiversity and environmental gains.

Decision Requested: Section 16 Delete Policy 6a.

Submission Point No: 568.38(Section 16 - Policy 6b) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the concept running throughout that subdivision is necessary to protect and enhance landscape, natural character, biodiversity and environmental gains.

Decision Requested: Replace Policy 6b with suggested policy in submission(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 602 **Submitter:** David Lamason

On behalf of: Ward Family

ECM File Numbers: [3337312](#)

Submission Point No: 602.5(Section 16.3 Objective 11 and Policy 11a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes reference to protection of high class soils without their identification.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 16 Objective 11 and Policy 11a.

Submission Point No:	602.6(Section 16.3 Policy 11b)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes Section 16 Policy 11b containing matters that should be discretionary activity assessment criteria.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 16 Policy 11 b to move the matters as discretionary activity assessment criteria into Section 38 Subdivision.		

Submitter Number:	624	Submitter:	Chris Lux
On behalf of:	James Lux, Kimberlea Lux		
ECM File Numbers:	3337419		

Submission Point No:	624.10(Section 16 - Subdivision - Policy 6b)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Biodiversity must not be used as a planning tool to prevent landowners from subdividing. Biodiversity objectives can be successfully integrated within the consent process.		
	Decision Requested: In Section 16 Policy 6b, within residential zones biodiversity objectives should be encouraged to fit within the development objectives permitted within the respective residential zones.		

Submission Point No:	624.8(Section 16 - Subdivision Policy 2a)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Seeks to amend Section 16 Policy 2a wording.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 16 Policy 2a to: "Subdivision should take into account the location of existing quarries and be designed to ensure that reverse sensitivity clauses are required in conditions of consent."		

Submission Point No: 624.9(Section 16 - Subdivision - Policy 5b) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The language in Section 16 Policy 5b needs tightening up. It is too open to interpretation.

Decision Requested: In residential areas allow buildings to be built to integrate into existing landforms and not to be excluded from building on ridges or where buildings can be viewed from public places.

Submitter Number: 641 **Submitter:** John and Helen Russell

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337244](#)

Submission Point No: 641.7(Section 16 - Subdivision) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Subdivision standards for the Rural Zone within the Coastal Environment at New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay are opposed.

Decision Requested: Delete "carefully managed" and insert "avoided" in 16.1 4th paragraph; and Delete "Poorly planned" in 16.2 Issues 1; and Amend 16.3 Objective 1 to make clear that subdivision is enabled in existing settlements, urban zones and rural lifestyle zone but discouraged elsewhere and avoided in the Coastal environment Area; and Amend Objective 5 to require avoidance of subdivision on headlands and ridgelines; and Delete from Policy 5b the reference at the end of this policy to public places.

Submitter Number: 751 **Submitter:** John Drummond

On behalf of: Tairua Environment Society

ECM File Numbers: [3338034](#); [3338035](#); [3338036](#)

Submission Point No: 751.18(Sections 16 and 38) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose subdivision allowed for in all zones in the District.

Decision Requested: Prohibit subdivision in outstanding landscape, natural character, amenity landscape and coastal environment overlays.

Submitter Number: 755

Submitter: Brian Sharp and Sue Edens

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337962](#); [3343458](#)

Submission Point No: 755.3(Section 16 Subdivision) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes management of subdivision in a separate chapter and seeks removal of reference to utilising capacity in existing infrastructure as an issue for the Plan.

Decision Requested: Move policy issues relating to subdivision to the relative zones that they apply to. Remove reference to utilising capacity in existing infrastructure as an issue for the Plan. Add a new 'issue'. Reword Issue 2.6 to acknowledge other operators of managing sewage systems. Reword Policy 1d to 'maintain a low density character and amenity of a rural zone. Replace Policy 1e by a statement that specifies management of high quality soils. Reword Policy 1g to allow for a variety of section sizes. Reword Objective 3 and Policy 3a to clarify that the value of any land used for reserves. Change 'and' to 'or' in Objective 7.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number:	780	Submitter:	Al Fleming
On behalf of:	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc		
ECM File Numbers:	3338431		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	780.7(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Seeks amendments to Section 16 to manage future ecological/hazard planning, strategic reserve land, and minor wording changes.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Reword Issues Section 16.2 Include 'Rural Zone' into Policy 1c. Reword Policies 3a and 5a. Include 'Rural Lifestyle Zone' and add areas that are Identified Threatened Environments to Policy 6b. Amend Policy 6e to read: '.near inter-tidal areas and allow for migration of such ecosystems with a predicted 1 meter sea level rise. Link Policy 8a to Rule 11 wording. Amend Policy 11a to make an exception for Threatened Environments. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)</p>		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	781	Submitter:	Augusta Macassey-Pickard
On behalf of:	Mercury Bay branch of the Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society		
ECM File Numbers:	3337947		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	781.7(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	<p>Supports provisions in Section 16 and requests amendments to achieve strategic acquisition of reserve land to allow for future ecological functioning of ecosystems, restoration of threatened environments and ability to retire high class soil land where this achieves significant ecological benefit.</p>		

Decision Requested: Reword Section 16.2 Issues to clarify that effects are not restricted to particular zones as per written submission. Clarify in Policy 1c that subdivision is expected to provide significant biodiversity gains for the community in the Rural Lifestyle Zone **and** in the Rural Zone. Reword Policies 3a, 5a, 6b, 6e and 11. Retain Policy 10a. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 787 **Submitter:** Jenni Fitzgerald

On behalf of: New Zealand Transport Agency

ECM File Numbers: [3338388](#); [3338389](#); [3338390](#)

Submission Point No: 787.10(Section 16 Subdivision) **Category:** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 16 Subdivision and requests amendments to better manage existing and planned networks with an emphasis on network safety and efficiency and recognition of multi-modal transport options in a new Policy.

Decision Requested: Retain Objective 2. Amend Policy 2a as per written submission. Retain Objective 4 and Policy 4b and amend Policy 4a as per written submission. Retain Objective 9 and introduce new Policy 9h to read: 'Subdivision shall demonstrate how multi-modal transport options have been provided for.'

Submitter Number: 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

On behalf of: Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

ECM File Numbers: [3338486](#)

Submission Point No: 790.27(Section 16) **Category:** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Background needs to include a Maori perspective on settlement development both historic, contemporary and to deal with future development. Reference in this section Background to: "the consolidation of coastal settlements where this will assist with the avoidance or mitigation of sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement" raises concern.

Decision Requested: In Section 16 include specific recognition of the potential for settlement aspirations to reveal a landscape that does not align well with Council's current policy framework, including place-specific measures and zoning.

Submission Point No: 790.28(Section 16.2) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: No recognition of the scarcity of Maori communities in the district and Maori aspirations in this regard, particularly post-settlement.

Decision Requested: In Section 16 amend Issue 1; and Add new Issue 9 acknowledging the scarcity of Māori communities in the district.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submission Point No: 790.29(Section 16 - Policy 1a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Section 16 Policy 1a.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 16 Policy 1a.

Submission Point No: 790.30(Section 16 - Policy 3a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Policy 3a states conditions under which that public reserves will be required. Maori cultural significance is not currently included.

Decision Requested: In Section 16 add new d):"d) Reserve land would serve to protect or maintain Maori cultural values, or mitigate against their loss."

Submission Point No: 790.31(Section 16 - Policy 5a d)) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Section 16 Policy 5a d) should be amended to include cultural areas.

Decision Requested: Reword Section 16 Policy 5a d) to read: "Maori cultural sites and areas or other historic heritage sites and areas."

Submission Point No: 790.32(Section 16 - Policy 8a f)) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Section 16 Policy 8a should be expanded to include for cultural significance purposes.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 16 Policy 8a f) to read: "Protect the natural character, Maori cultural values and/or amenity values associated with a riparian area."

Submitter Number: 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

Submission Point No: 791.44(Section 16) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose this section. Needs to be rewritten. Objective 9 and policy need to reflect what has happened with staging. Need continuity to staged development.

Decision Requested: 1. Rewrite Section 16. 2. Add new Policy 9h.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number:	794	Submitter:	Debbie Waterhouse
On behalf of:	Surveying Services Ltd		
ECM File Numbers:	3337930 ; 3337931		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	794.11(Section 16 - except Policies 1c, 8e, 11a)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Support all of Section 16 but seeks amendments to Policies 1c, 8e, 11a. Decision Requested: Retain Section 16, with additions to Policies 1c, 8e and amendments to Policy 11a (refer to the original submission for specific wording).		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	794.59(Coromandel Walkway/cycleway incentive)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Preliminary work should be carried out on the proposed Coromandel Walkway or cycleways so incentives can be included in the Plan to encourage landowners to vest land for the purpose, for example benefit lots in return for vesting land. Decision Requested: Carry out preliminary work on walkways/cycleways to include subdivision incentives to vest land for these purposes.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	797	Submitter:	Richard Forbes - Boffa Miskell Limited
On behalf of:	The Vela Trust		
ECM File Numbers:	3337927		

Submission Point No:	797.6(Section 16 - Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Section 16 Objective 1 and Policy 1e; Objective 6 and Policies 6a-6e.		
	Decision Requested: Retain Section 16 Objective 1 and Policy 1e; and Objective 6 and Policies 6a-6e.		

Submitter Number:	817	Submitter:	Liane Ngamane
On behalf of:	Ngati Tamatera		
ECM File Numbers:	3342046 ; 3342045		

Submission Point No:	817.28(Section 16.3 Policy 1a)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Section 16.3 Policy 1 a, in part.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1a to provide a link to a broader schedule of archaeological and heritage sites.		

Submission Point No:	817.29(Section 16.3 Policy 3a and 3b)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes Section 16.3 Policy 3a and 3b on the basis that Maori and treaty settlement land should not be taken for public access.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Policy 3a to exempt Maori and treaty settlement land from this provision.		

Submission Point No: 817.30(Section 16.3 Policy 5a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 16.3 Policy 5a.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 5a to add the word "enhance" after maintain.

Submitter Number: 827 **Submitter:** Christopher Berry

On behalf of: Department of Conservation

ECM File Numbers: [3342091](#)

Submission Point No: 827.19(Section 16.3 - Objectives 6, 7, 8 and related policies) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Objectives 6, 7, 8 and related policies.

Decision Requested: Retain Objectives 6, 7, 8 and related policies.

Submitter Number: 832 **Submitter:** Jo Young - Boffa Miskell Ltd

On behalf of: Transpower New Zealand Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3342101](#)

Submission Point No:	832.8(Retain Objective 15.3.4 as notified)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	<p>There is limited subdivision potential within the Rural and Open Space zones of the District which the National Grid traverse. Inappropriate subdivision can however adversely affect the National Grid lines and substations and therefore it is important that the District Plan provides some guidance as to appropriate subdivision in proximity to the National Grid infrastructure.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Section 16 Objective 10 to allow consideration of adverse effects to be avoided on significant infrastructure .Include new Policy 10b to protect the National Grid from inappropriately located building platforms.</p>		

Submitter Number:	844	Submitter:	Al and Mel Yates
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342120		

Submission Point No:	844.3(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Avoid subdivision in the Coastal Environment and change Objectives and Policies accordingly.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Avoid subdivision in the Coastal Environment and change Objectives and Policies accordingly - as per written submission.</p>		

Submitter Number:	849	Submitter:	Malcolm and Erin Clark
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342122		

Submission Point No: 849.7(Section 16 - Subdivision) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the background, Issue 16.2.1, Objective 1 and 5 and their associated policies.

Decision Requested: Amend the background, Issue 16.2.1, Objective 1 and 5 and their associated policies (specific wording provided in original submission).

Submitter Number: 855 **Submitter:** Katie Treadaway - KTB Planning

On behalf of: Rayonier New Zealand Ltd and Earnslaw One Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3342127](#)

Submission Point No: 855.10(Section 16.3 Policy 11a) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports policy 11a.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 16 Policy 11a.

Submitter Number: 889 **Submitter:** Michael Savage

On behalf of: Whangapoua Beach Ratepayers Association Incorporated

ECM File Numbers: [3342163](#)

Submission Point No:	889.10(Sections 16)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Opposes providing for subdivision in the Coastal Environment of the Rural Zone, particularly behind New Chums/Wainuiototo Bay.		
	Decision Requested: 1. Amend Section 16.1 paragraph 4;2. Amend Section 16 Issue 1;3. Amend Section 16;.4. Amend Section 16 Objective 5;5. Amend Section 16 Policy 5b.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)		

Submitter Number:	895	Submitter:	Vivienne McLean
On behalf of:	Kauri 2000 Trust		
ECM File Numbers:	3342171		

Submission Point No:	895.5(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Maintenance, restoration and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity should not be limited by subdivision but should be ongoing.		
	Decision Requested: Delete 'at the time of subdivision' from Section 16 Objective 6.		

Submitter Number:	896	Submitter:	Ian Murray Revell
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342173		

Submission Point No:	896.7(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Seeks strengthening of protection of headlands, the Coastal Environment and Rural Zone from subdivision.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Section 16 provisions: Section 16.1 Background, Issue 1, Objective 1 and associated policies, Objective 5 and associated policies, and Policy 5b to protect headlands, the Coastal Environment and Rural Zone from inappropriate subdivision as set out in the written submission.</p>		

Submitter Number:	925	Submitter:	Bridget Hackshaw
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3342186		

Submission Point No:	925.3(Section 16 Subdivision)	Category	Section 16 - Subdivision
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Strengthen policies to protect natural values.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Add 'avoided' to Background. Delete 'poorly planned' from Issue 16.2.1. Amend Objective 1 and associated policies to be clear that subdivision is enabled in existing settlements, urban zones... Amend Objective 5 and associated policies to 'avoid' subdivision on headlands and ridgelines. Delete reference to public places at end of Policy 5b.</p>		

Submitter Number:	935	Submitter:	Sally Millar
On behalf of:	Federated Farmers of New Zealand		

ECM File Numbers: [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

Submission Point No: 935.12(Section 16 Subdivision) **Category** Section 16 - Subdivision

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks changes to wording of some provisions, extension of scope of reverse sensitivity management to activities other than mining, deletion of provisions on water quality and retention of some of the Objectives and Policies.

Decision Requested: Implement relief sought for Policies 1a and 1c as per written submission. Add Objectives and Policies to acknowledge that reverse sensitivity effects do not only affect mining. Retain Objective 3 and Policies 3a to 3c. Make amendment as suggested to Policy 6a (wrong reference). Delete Objective 7 and Policies 7a, b and c as water quality matters are a function of regional councils. Include 'minor boundary adjustment' into 9d. Retain Objective 11 and Policies 11a and b as subdivision can facilitate the best use of land.

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Submitter Number:	1178	Submitter:	Lillian Adams
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3345972		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1178.2(Sections 17 and 33)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	All ancestral land owned by Maori should have the same status in the Plan.		
	Decision Requested: Give all ancestral land owned by Maori the same status in the Plan. Refer to original submission for specific wording.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	1199	Submitter:	Michael Gunson
On behalf of:	Surfbreak Protection Society		
ECM File Numbers:	3338380		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1199.2(Section 17 - new provisions)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	TCDC should support the creation of hapu & iwi environmental plans along with any hapu & iwi archaeological plans and protocols.		
	Decision Requested: Not stated.		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1199.3(Section 17)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			

Submission Summary: Areas of significance to Maori also need to be addressed, as the interpretation of archaeological and cultural sites appear to be too narrow.

Decision Requested: Address areas of significance to Maori.

Submission Point No: 1199.5(Section 17) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The Plan should give effect to the NZCPS Policy 2 -The Treaty of Waitangi, tangata whenua and Maori heritage.

Decision Requested: Give effect to NZCPS Policy 2.

Submitter Number: 1204 **Submitter:** Victor McLean

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1204.4(Sections 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1205 **Submitter:** Lisa May Hale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1205.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1206 **Submitter:** Kellie Harrison

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1206.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1207 **Submitter:** Jackson Sweeney

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1207.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1208 **Submitter:** Shanene Sweeney

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1208.4(Sections 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1209 **Submitter:** Cherie Ngapo

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1209.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1210 **Submitter:** Shawn Thwaites

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1210.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1211 **Submitter:** Daniell Huia

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1211.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1212 **Submitter:** Alana Baret

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1212.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1213 **Submitter:** D Keremeneta

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1213.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1214 **Submitter:** A Morgain

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1214.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1215 **Submitter:** S L Maneroa

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1215.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1216 **Submitter:** D Manyard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1216.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1217 **Submitter:** Wendy Vause

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1217.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1218

Submitter: R Richard

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1218.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1219

Submitter: Bernice Laurie

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1219.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1220 **Submitter:** Rupeka Renata

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1220.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1221 **Submitter:** Boni Renata

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1221.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1222 **Submitter:** Abby Forbes

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1222.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1223 **Submitter:** Thelma Waru

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1223.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1224 **Submitter:** Teri Eynon

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1224.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1225 **Submitter:** Taega Hale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1225.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1226 **Submitter:** Erica Burger

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1226.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1227 **Submitter:** Tina Duggan

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1227.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1228 **Submitter:** Rewi Browne

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1228.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1229 **Submitter:** Judy Hawkey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1229.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1230 **Submitter:** Inge Provoost

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1230.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1231 **Submitter:** P Thompson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1231.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1232

Submitter: R Riri

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1232.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1233

Submitter: Karen Messcte

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1233.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1234

Submitter: M Zenneth

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1234.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1235

Submitter: Maria Woods

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1235.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1236 **Submitter:** Annie Holman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1236.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1237 **Submitter:** Pererika Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1237.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1238 **Submitter:** Barbara J Smith

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1238.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 1239 **Submitter:** Georgia May Turner

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346013](#)

Submission Point No: 1239.4(Section 17 and 4) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: I want to be fully informed and involved in decision-making about development and change on my ancestral lands and in my community.

Decision Requested: Ensure the rules for decision-making about each zone enable Maori to be notified, informed and fully involved about activities that require resource consent (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 254 **Submitter:** Robert Lilly

On behalf of: Wilson Bay Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3332961](#)

Submission Point No: 254.3(Section 17 Tangata Whenua Consultation) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 17 and in particular the requirement to consult with Tangata Whenua.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 298 **Submitter:** Dean Glen

On behalf of: Dean Glen, Claire Elliot, Sol Glen, Roy Glen and Black Jack Farms

ECM File Numbers: [3334658](#); [3334659](#)

Submission Point No: 298.48(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Supports section 17.1.1 and 17.1.2 in that Maori should be actively involved in the governance and management of their resources; Request clarification that proposed co-management committees relate to the governance of Maori resources.

Decision Requested: Retain section 17.1.1 and 17.1.2; Make explicit that proposed co-management committees relate to the governance of Maori resources.

Submitter Number: 435 **Submitter:** Catherine Delahunty

On behalf of: Green Party of Aotearoa New Zealand

ECM File Numbers: [3338296](#); [3338297](#)

Submission Point No: 435.2(Section 17 Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Concern expressed about a lack of integration of 'Te Tiriti o Waitangi' in the Plan.

Decision Requested: Strengthen importance of 'Te Tiriti' with Hauraki hapu and iwi through Objectives and Policies.

Submitter Number: 502 **Submitter:** Betty Whaitiri Williams

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335104](#)

Submission Point No: 502.2(Section 17.1) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: 'Cultural issues' - failure to recognise 'culture' as the connection between humankind, the universe and the practices that are fundamental to maintaining the connection.

Decision Requested: Subject to intense critical analysis.

Submitter Number: 524 **Submitter:** John and Verona McLeod

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3335241](#)

Submission Point No: 524.14(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Notes that the term "maori cultural sites" is not consistent with the Resource Management Act.

Decision Requested: Amend to replace "maori cultural sites" with "sites of significance to maori."

Submitter Number: 531 **Submitter:** Duncan McKenzie

On behalf of: New Zealand Historic Places Trust Pouhere Taonga

ECM File Numbers: [3335677](#)

Submission Point No: 531.32(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua 17.2) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The NZHPT supports the identification of the involvement of tangata whenua in the decision making process to enable greater local empowerment. However, while the NZHPT concurs that a wider range of activities can be provided for on Maori land, there is a need to meet other requirements contained in the plan , (such as Overlay Zones) and legislative requirements outside the plan (such as the HPA).

Decision Requested: That Section 17 Issue 1 is amended as follows: “Tangata whenua should be involved with resource management matters, including consultation arrangements and how to enable greater local empowerment and decision making, in so long as other legislative requirements (including District Plan requirements) are met.”

Submission Point No: 531.33(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Objective 1) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT seeks that objective 1 be amended to reflect the amendment sought in Issue 1.

Decision Requested: That Section 17 Objective 1 be amended: “Tangata Whenua are able to exercise kaitiakitanga over their ancestral lands, water, cultural sites, wahi tapu and other taonga, and on resource management matters of importance to tangata whenua, in a manner that promotes sustainable management of natural and physical resources, in so much as other statutory requirements are met.”

Submission Point No: 531.34(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Policy 1c) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The proposed plan is required to manage the use, development, and protection of

natural and physical resources, and in doing so recognise and provide for matters of national importance. The NZHPT is concerned that the protection of a Maori cultural site is not included nor the avoidance of development on a Maori cultural site as a means to achieve the purpose of 6(e) of the RMA.

Decision Requested: That Section 17 Policy 1c be reworded: "Development on a Maori cultural site (whether on Maori land or not) should incorporate Maori values by consultation with tangata whenua. For example, using site avoidance techniques, protection of the site, using adopting traditional place names, appropriate street names, the restoration of mauri through ecosystem enhancement, waterways restoration, the use of appropriate plant species, appropriate tangata whenua access to the site, incorporation of traditional/sympathetic Maori design elements and/or management of important views shafts to and from the site."

Submission Point No:	531.35(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Objective 3)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT seeks clarification that the provision to allow Maori non-residential activities on land, other than Maori land (subject to cultural and social benefits to tangata whenua), and in the consideration of the avoidance of adverse effects should also clarify that this policy does not exempt those undertaking the activity for the requirements to comply with other relevant legislation.

Decision Requested: That Section 17 Policy 1d be amended: "Maori non-residential activities such as marae on land other than Maori land should be provided for where they offer cultural and social benefits to the tangata whenua, provided adverse effects are avoided if the activity becomes commercial or other development activity, not precluding the need to comply with other legislative requirements."

Submission Point No:	531.36(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Objective 3)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------------------

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: The NZHPT agrees with the objective to provide for specific cultural activities on Maori land which will assist with achieving the purpose of 6(e) of the RMA. However, the NZHPT also considers that the need to comply with other legislative requirements should be highlighted to better inform, the reader.

Decision Requested: That Section 17 Objective 3 be amended: "Marae, papakainga development, community services and customary activities on Maori land are provided for in so much as other legislative requirements are met."

Submitter Number: 578 **Submitter:** Christine Vickerman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337120](#)

Submission Point No: 578.46(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Policy 1d) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports the importance of promoting the historical and cultural relationship of tangata whenua with their ancestral lands; Kaitiakitanga should be integrated into Council (Regional and District) processes; Land use and development should not be required to express the values of one particular ethnic group over another. Rather it should reflect the values and qualities inherent in the local environment and community.

Decision Requested: 1. Objectives and policies that avoid duplication of consent processes, and will protect the rights of private property owners; and 2. Delete Section 17.1.3.

Submission Point No: 578.47(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua 17.2) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Section 17.2 Issue 1 reads more like a policy than an issue and is covered in other policies.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 17.2 Issue 1

Submission Point No: 578.48(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Policy Objective 1) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Section 17 Objective 1 and its policies are unclear. Landowners have a cultural and traditional right to non-interference with land management.

Decision Requested: 1. Reword Section 17 Objective 1 and its policies; or 2. Add an Issue under 17.2 to acknowledge the rights of owners of private property.

Submission Point No: 578.49(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua Policy Objectives 2 and 3) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 15 Objective 2 and its policy. It is covered in other sections. The Coastal Environment overlay is not the same as Maori ancestral lands and should not be used as such. Supports Objective 3 providing cultural and social benefits for Maori on Maori land.

Decision Requested: Not stated in submission

Submitter Number: 787 **Submitter:** Jenni Fitzgerald

On behalf of: New Zealand Transport Agency

ECM File Numbers: [3338388](#) ; [3338389](#) ; [3338390](#)

Submission Point No: 787.11(Section 17 Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 17 and seeks provision in Policy 4b to limit access to State Highways.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 4b to add '....or State Highways'

Submitter Number: 790 **Submitter:** Nathan Kennedy

On behalf of: Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

ECM File Numbers: [3338486](#)

Submission Point No: 790.33(Sections 17.1 and 17.2) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Good general background, but lack of a Maori environmental perspective. No effort given to identifying the tangata whenua of the district. Issues statements do not necessarily articulate the iwi district issues.

Decision Requested: Section 17 Issues statement should include the massive destruction of Māori cultural interests and values under planning instruments over recent decades.

Submission Point No: 790.34(Section 17.3) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Some of the wording is weak, for example Objective 2 does not match RMA section 6e. Objectives and policies generally are too focused on Maori activities rather than wide application Maori values and interests.

Decision Requested: Reword Section 17 Objective 2: "The historical and cultural relationship of tangata whenua with the Coastal Environment is recognised and provided for in relation to any subdivision, use and development."(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submission Point No: 790.35(Section 17 - Policy 1b) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Greater assistance from Council needed in the production of iwi management plans, and support for other RMA processes such as Maori commissioners.

Decision Requested: Council policy commitment and associated method for resourcing the drafting of iwi management plans.

Submission Point No: 790.36(Section 17 - Policy 1c) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Plan includes a range of measures including the "restoration of mauri through ecosystem enhancement" for development on a Maori cultural site - this should be a widespread aspiration/requirement articulated throughout the plan.

Decision Requested: More consistent use of Maori key environmental aspirations and measures throughout the plan, e.g. mauri, kaitiakitanga, and associated methods to include indicators for these other environmental management matters.

Submission Point No: 790.37(Section 17 - Policy 1d) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Only support for papakainga on Maori land. Marae and other development is anticipated on Maori and general land. The Plan should anticipate and provide for papakainga development needs, distinct from wider residential ones, on both Maori and general land.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 17 Policy 1d as follows: "Maori ~~non-residential~~ activities such as marae and papakainga on land other than Maori land should be provided for ..."

Submitter Number: 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

Submission Point No: 791.45(Section 17) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Why stricter requirements for us than tangata whenua? This section needs to not abuse what the Peninsula is about. Significance to Maori need to be showcased, e.g. information boards.

Decision Requested: In Section 17:1. Reword "Maori cultural sites" to "sites of significance to Maori"; and 2. Iwi management plans need to reflect the true picture. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 799

Submitter: Gloria and Graeme Ready

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3337925](#)

Submission Point No: 799.24(Section 17)

Category Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 17 with concerns around the potential for dual-consent processes.

Decision Requested: Review Section 17 to ensure there is no ambiguity between the rights and requirements of tangata whenua and those of other private property owners.

Submitter Number: 811

Submitter: Wati Ngamane

On behalf of: Ngati Maru Runanga

ECM File Numbers: [3341946](#)

Submission Point No: 811.1(Section 17 - Tangata

Category Section 17 - Tangata

	Whenua)		Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports the inclusion of provisions which recognise and provide for the economic, cultural and social development of Maori and Treaty settlement land unencumbered by restrictive overlays or associated rules.		
	Decision Requested: Include provisions which recognise and provide for the economic, cultural and social development of Maori and Treaty settlement land unencumbered by restrictive overlays or associated rules.		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	811.14(New zones and historic heritage sites)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Seeks inclusion of new provisions to support the economic, cultural and social aspirations of Mana Whenua.		
	Decision Requested: Amend the Plan to include new zones and historic heritage sites, including archaeological sites and Maori cultural sites.		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	811.6(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Raises concerned with the lack of integration across the Plans objectives and policies, and through the methods and rule framework. Suggests that the Plan has been developed in sections and amalgamation of these sections has resulted in gaps.		
	Decision Requested: Amend the Plan to ensure integration both horizontally and vertically in the Plan in relation to provisions for Mana Whenua.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	813	Submitter:	Gilbert James
On behalf of:			

ECM File Numbers: [3341957](#)

Submission Point No: 813.1(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 17.

Decision Requested: Delete Section 17 in its entirety. Apply site specific provision after due process as appropriate.

Submitter Number: 817 **Submitter:** Liane Ngamane

On behalf of: Ngati Tamatera

ECM File Numbers: [3342046](#); [3342045](#)

Submission Point No: 817.11(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 17 and the provision for the relationship of Maori.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 17 and make it an overlay.

Submission Point No: 817.12(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: It is not for Council to direct what should be contained in Iwi Management Plans. Whether sites are identified through IMPs or some other mechanism they will require protection in the Plan.

Decision Requested: Amend to reflect the status of IMP in decision making under the RMA rather than directives on what should be incorporated into them.

Submission Point No: 817.31(Section 17.1.2 Hauraki Treaty of Waikato settlement) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Section 17, 17.1.2 'Hauraki Treaty of Waitangi settlement' reference to treaty settlement.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 17, 17.1.2 'Hauraki Treaty of Waitangi settlement' to include Ngati Tamatera treaty settlement lands and include a description of treaty settlement transfers, settlement agreements and co-governance regimes.

Submission Point No: 817.32(Section 17.1.4 Development of Maori Land) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 17, 17.1.4 'Development of Maori land'

Decision Requested: Amend Section 17, 'Development of Maori land' to extend to treaty settlement land and provide for customary use and cultural activities of Ngati Tamatera.

Submission Point No: 817.33(Section 17.3 Policy 1a) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 17 Policy 1a .

Decision Requested: Amend Section 17 Policy 1a by including the word 'shall' or 'must' making it compulsory to consult Maori.

Submission Point No: 817.34(Section 17.3 Policy 1b) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 17 Policy 1b.

Decision Requested: Amend section 17 Policy 1b to reflect the status of Iwi Management Plan in decision making under the Resource Management Act rather than directing what they should contain.

Submission Point No: 817.35(Section 17.3 Policy 1c) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 17.3 Policy 1c.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 17.3 Policy 1c.

Submission Point No: 817.36(Section 17.3 Policy 3a) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 17.3 Policy 3a with amendment.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 17.3 Policy 3a to include treaty settlement land.

Submission Point No: 817.40(Zone and district-wide rules) **Category** Section 17 - Tangata Whenua

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Supports Part VIII where rules enable use and development of Maori land and treaty settlement land to meet the social, cultural and economic aspirations of Ngati Tamatera. Oppose rules that do not.

Decision Requested: Amend rules to ensure that the use and development of Maori land and treaty settlement land is enabled; the role of kaitiaki is given effect to and Ngati Tamatera is able to practice customary use and cultural activities.

Submitter Number:	882	Submitter:	Ohomauri Mataia Nicholls
On behalf of:	N T Nicholls Estate		
ECM File Numbers:	3342924		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	882.1(Section 17 - Tangata Whenua)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Opposes specific Plan provisions on indigenous perspective, landowners rights, review WRC and TCDC, mining, holiday camp rents, vegetation removal, subdivision, coastal erosion, aquaculture, islands in gulf.		
	Decision Requested: Not stated in submission		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	911	Submitter:	David Nikorima Robson
On behalf of:			
ECM File Numbers:	3343196 ; 3343195		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	911.2(Section 17 Tangata Whenua)	Category	Section 17 - Tangata Whenua
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Support the establishment of a Maori Cultural Sites schedule.		
	Decision Requested: Support the establishment of a Maori Cultural Sites schedule in Appendix 1.2.		
<hr/>			

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 18 - Transport

Submitter Number:	1199	Submitter:	Michael Gunson
On behalf of:	Surfbreak Protection Society		
ECM File Numbers:	3338380		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1199.22(Section 18.1.4)	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Notes that while paper roads may not be transport thoroughfares, they form the basics of pedestrian, cycle and tourist opportunities and should not be given to private commercial interests to set in place infrastructure on public roads or land.		
	Decision Requested: Not stated.		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	1199.23(Section 18.1.7)	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	Raises concern that Section 18.1.7 marine transport could result in inappropriate expansion of marinas, or in inappropriate places. The policy has not recognised existing swing and pole moorings and wharves.		
	Decision Requested: Amend or delete the last sentence of Section 18.1.7.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	130	Submitter:	Rebecca Beals
On behalf of:	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd		
ECM File Numbers:	3329384 ; 3329386		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	130.16(Section 18 - Transport 18.1.6)	Category	Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Background discussion - Transport 18.1.6

Decision Requested: Retain section 18.1.6.

Submission Point No: 130.17(Section 18 - Transport 18.2 Issues) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports discussion of Issues, Section 18.2 - Transport.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 18.2, Issues.

Submission Point No: 130.18(Section 18 - Transport 18.3 Objectives and Policies) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports 18.3 Objectives and Policies - Transport .

Decision Requested: Retain Section 18.3 Objectives and Policies - Transport (including specifically that these reference land transport rather than only roads, and that these require reverse sensitivity issues to be accommodated).

Submitter Number: 358

Submitter: Chris Carlsson

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3334389](#)

Submission Point No: 358.3(Section 18 - Transport) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the whole plan. The Kennedy Bay Road on the Kennedy Bay side is unsafe and very dangerous if oncoming traffic do not know how to pull over.

Decision Requested:

The Council really need to take some time to look at this road.

Submitter Number: 443 **Submitter:** Kerry Watson

On behalf of: Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold

ECM File Numbers: [3342136](#); [3382330](#); [3382332](#)

Submission Point No: 443.10(Section 18 Transport) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Requests relaxation of Policy 3a which seeks location of high traffic generators near higher class roads.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 3a which seeks location of high traffic generating activities near higher class roads to add 'where possible'.(refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 534 **Submitter:** Ursula Lehr - Waikato Regional Council

On behalf of: Waikato Regional Council

ECM File Numbers: [3336040](#)

Submission Point No: 534.8(Section 18 Transport) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 18, the use of the road classification Table and requests minor amendments to include role of public transport in Background 18.1 and a minor wording change in Issue 3.

Decision Requested: Include reference to public transport in section 18.1 to discuss the existing and future role of public transport in the District .Amend Issue 3 by replacing 'larger traffic generating activities' with 'Land use development that is not appropriately serviced by infrastructure'. Reword Issue 4 to better express what the consequences of poorly planned development can be. Retain Objectives and Policies and Policies of Section 18.Retain Method 18.4.

Submitter Number: 547

Submitter: Sue Wright

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

Submission Point No: 547.29(Section 18 - Objective 1)

Category Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Suggests that Section 18.3 Objective 1 needs to take into account the needs of an ageing population, particularly disabled persons safety.

Decision Requested: Amend Objective 1 to take into account the needs of an ageing population, particularly disabled persons safety.

Submission Point No: 547.30(Section 18 - Policy 1b)

Category Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Support Policy 1b.

Decision Requested: Retain Policy 1b.

Submission Point No: 547.31(Section 18 - Table 1 - Coromandel Town roads) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Suggests that the Coromandel bypass should be prioritised as main street congestion is becoming dangerous.

Decision Requested: Prioritise Coromandel Town main street bypass.

Submission Point No: 547.32(Section 18.4 - new method) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Request Council support the reintroduction of benefit/cost rating on local roads by Central Government.

Decision Requested: Add support the reintroduction of benefit/cost rating on local roads by Central Government.

Submission Point No: 547.41(Section 18 Transport) **Category** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Supports Policy 1b.

Decision Requested: Retain Policy 1b.

Submitter Number: 555

Submitter: Gary Blake

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3336569](#)

Submission Point No:	555.8	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Suggests that we need to look at creating facilities for water transport.		
	Decision Requested: Not stated.		

Submitter Number:	565	Submitter:	Tom Hollings
On behalf of:	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association		
ECM File Numbers:	3337011 ; 3337010		

Submission Point No:	565.11(Sections 18 and 39 - new provisions)	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Make provision to support improved landing/ramps and like facilities, immediately adjacent to the town, for Recreational and Charter users.		
	Decision Requested: Add provision of improved landing/ramps, suitable marine precinct status and for: carparking, access, roads, ramps, haulouts, and like facilities.		

Submission Point No:	565.8(Section 18 - Issue 7, Objective 7 and related policies)	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Support Issue 7, Objective 7 and related policies.		
	Decision Requested: Retain Section 18 Issue 7, Objective 7 and related policies.		

Submitter Number:	709	Submitter:	Matt Allot - Boffa Miskell
On behalf of:	Blue Water Estates Ltd		
ECM File Numbers:	3337742		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	709.3(Section 18 Transport)	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Neutral		
Submission Summary:	Section 18 is supported, requests transparent funding arrangements for roads.		
	Decision Requested: Make transportation funding transparent.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	787	Submitter:	Jenni Fitzgerald
On behalf of:	New Zealand Transport Agency		
ECM File Numbers:	3338388 ; 3338389 ; 3338390		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	787.12(Section 18 Transport)	Category	Section 18 - Transport
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports provisions in Section 18 and requests further refinement of Objectives and Policies to recognise the roading hierarchy, the NZTA Planning Policy Manual 2007, requirements for Integrated Transport Assessments for certain developments and a new Policy 4a to better link Section 18 - Transport with Section 15- Settlement Development and Growth.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Section 18 as follows: Include reference to 'the roading hierarchy' functional classification of roads into Policy 1a. Amend Policies 1c and 2c. Retain Objective 3. Amend Policies 3a, 3b and 3e. Retain Policies 3c and 3d. Retain Objective 3 and introduce new Policy 3f to require an integrated transport assessment for new subdivision, use or development of a nature, scale or location that has the potential to generate adverse transportation effects.		

Retain Objective 4 and Policy 4a. Amend Policy 4b. Introduce a new Policy 4c to implement Objective 4 to ensure development is connected and integrated with community services, centres and social infrastructure. Amend Section 18.4 to include description of the function of each classification of road. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 791 **Submitter:** Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3338258](#); [3338259](#); [3338260](#); [3338261](#); [3338262](#); [3338263](#)

Submission Point No: 791.46(Section 18) **Category:** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Coastal walkway need to respect that some places are better protected without the public in them. Sealed roads to settlements. Have this section for the future of transport.

Decision Requested: In Section 18:1. Issues 2, 3, 5: change "can" to "may". 2. Include new policy to have sealed road to existing settlement. 3. Remove Policy 4a. (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 910 **Submitter:** John David Isdale

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343186](#)

Submission Point No: 910.1(Section 18 Transport) **Category:** Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks recognition of public use of paper roads, acknowledgement of water transport and provision for angle parking.

Decision Requested: 1. Amend Section 18.1.4 to enable the public to use paper roads as per submission text provided.2. Amend Section 18.1.7 to acknowledge water transport's potential to replace heavy road transport.3. Amend Section 18 Policy 1b to read "car parking in urban areas be angle parking where ever possible."

Submitter Number: 964

Submitter: Catherine Donoghue

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3343765](#)

Submission Point No: 964.3(Sections 18, 39 - new provisions)

Category Section 18 - Transport

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Coastal roading system will become more difficult to maintain due to climate change-related events. Support barging logs instead of the massive damage on roads.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Category Name: PART III - DISTRICT-WIDE ISSUES, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

Section 19 - Utilities

Submitter Number: 1188 **Submitter:** Colin Clune

On behalf of: Vodafone New Zealand Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3361656](#)

Submission Point No: 1188.3(Section 19.1.3 National Environmental Standards) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Questions the use of the word 'structures' in point 4 of Section 19.1.3.

Decision Requested: Remove reference to 'masts's in Section 19.1.3 point 4 (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submission Point No: 1188.4(Section 19.2 Issues) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Notes that Section 19.2 Issue 1 should acknowledge that network utilities are essential for the social and economic wellbeing of the District.

Decision Requested: Delete issue 19.2.1 and insert "network utilities are essential for providing social and economic wellbeing and a competitive economy for the District."

Submission Point No: 1188.5(Section 19.3 Objectives and policies) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Suggests that Objective 1, Policy 1a, 1b and 1c are open to interpretation, not appropriate or unrealistic.

Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1a, 1b and 1c (specific wording provided in original submission).

Submitter Number: 1241 **Submitter:** Kathryn Akozu - Burton Planning Consultant Ltd

On behalf of: Powerco Limited

ECM File Numbers: [3342088](#)

Submission Point No: 1241.2(Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks a stand-alone 'Utilities' chapter for the management of electricity lines with provision of new above and underground electricity lines in all zones and overlays as a permitted activity

Decision Requested: Retain Section 19.2.1 3rd paragraph; Issues 1, 2, 3; Objective 1, Policy 1a and 1e; Amend Policy 1b to refer to "remedy and mitigate"; Policy 1c to recognise that in some cases it is not technically or economically feasible to locate network utility infrastructure underground as per written submission; and Policy 1d to add "... to the extent practicable"; Amend Policies 1f and 1g(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submission Point No: 1241.3(Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Seeks to retain Objective 2, Policies 2a and 2b and Policy 3a

Decision Requested: Retain Objective 2, Policies 2a and 2b and Policy 3a(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submission Point No: 1241.4(Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Seeks a stand alone chapter for all rules associated with network utilities or delete zone rules for new aboveground lines, new underground cables, electricity telecommunication facilities and minor upgrading of electricity lines and replace with new section.

Decision Requested: Seeks a stand alone chapter for all rules associated with network utilities or delete zone rules for new aboveground lines, new underground

cables, electricity telecommunication facilities and minor upgrading of electricity lines and replace with new section.(Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 547 **Submitter:** Sue Wright

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: [3346943](#); [3343339](#)

Submission Point No: 547.24(Section 19 - new policy and rule) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Suggests that on-site water tank for water conservation must be a requirement of all new building consents.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 19 to include a new objective and policy requiring on-site water tank for water conservation with all new building consents.

Submitter Number: 787 **Submitter:** Jenni Fitzgerald

On behalf of: New Zealand Transport Agency

ECM File Numbers: [3338388](#); [3338389](#); [3338390](#)

Submission Point No: 787.13(Section 19 Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 19 as notified.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 19 as notified.

Submitter Number:	827	Submitter:	Christopher Berry
On behalf of:	Department of Conservation		
ECM File Numbers:	3342091		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	827.20(Section 19.3 - Policy 1b)	Category	Section 19 - Utilities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Policy 1b.		
	Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1b to add reference to indigenous biodiversity.		
<hr/>			

Submitter Number:	832	Submitter:	Jo Young - Boffa Miskell Ltd
On behalf of:	Transpower New Zealand Limited		
ECM File Numbers:	3342101		
<hr/>			
Submission Point No:	832.14(Content of all sections)	Category	Section 19 - Utilities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:			
Submission Summary:	Seeks protection for existing National Grid network from reverse sensitivity issues and addition of appropriate policies for the development of new National Grid Corridors.		
	Decision Requested:		

Amend the Plan to ensure that the National Policy Statement for Electricity Transmission 2008 (NPSET) is given effect to; Recognise the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 (NESETA); and ensure there is no conflict between the Plan and NESETA; Protect the National Grid network from reverse sensitivity and others' activities using appropriate National Grid Corridors and Yards; Provide a policy framework for the development of new National Grid electricity transmission lines; and Provide for the on-going operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of existing National Grid transmission lines.

Submission Point No: 832.9(Section 19 - Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports Section 19 generally, however opposes obligation implied by Policies to underground the National Grid lines for economic reasons.

Decision Requested: Retain Section 19 19.1 Background paragraphs 1 to 3; Issues 1 to 3, Objectives 1 and 2, Policies 2a and 2b; amend Policy 1b to add 'to the extent practicable'; amend Policy 1c e) to add 'or part of the National Grid'; amend Policy 1e to add 'and surrounding land uses managed' after 'upgraded; amend Policy 1f b) to add 'if it is the best environmental outcome'.(refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 877 **Submitter:** Mary Barton

On behalf of: Chorus New Zealand

ECM File Numbers: [3342152](#); [3342153](#); [3342154](#); [3342155](#)

Submission Point No: 877.7(Section 19 - Utilities - Background) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 19.1.3 point 4 as being technically incorrect.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 19.1.3 point 4 by deleting reference to 'masts.'

Submission Point No: 877.8(Section 19 - Utilities - Issues) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 19.2 as the issues should clearly recognise that provision for network utilities is essential for social and economic wellbeing and a competitive economy, in addition to just the essential role they play in providing services to the community.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 19.2 by inserting a new issue (number 1): Network utilities are essential for providing social and economic wellbeing and a competitive economy for the District.

Submission Point No: 877.9(Section 19 - Utilities Policies 1a, 1b, 1c) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 19.3 Policy 1a, 1b and 1c.

Decision Requested: Amend Policies to read: 1a, 1b and 1c. Retain Objective 1 and Policies 1d, 1e, 1f and 1g (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 878 **Submitter:** Mary Barton

On behalf of: Telecom New Zealand Ltd

ECM File Numbers: [3342156](#); [3342157](#); [3342158](#)

Submission Point No: 878.7(Section 19 - Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 19.1.3 point 4 as not being technically correct.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 19.1.3 point 4 to delete reference to 'masts.'

Submission Point No: 878.8(Section 19 - Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Section 19.2 as the issues should clearly recognise that provision for network utilities is essential for social and economic wellbeing and a competitive economy.

Decision Requested: Amend Section 19.2 by inserting a new issue (number 1): "Network utilities are essential for providing social and economic wellbeing and a competitive economy for the District."

Submission Point No: 878.9(Section 19 - Utilities) **Category** Section 19 - Utilities

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Policy 1a could be construed as requiring all network utility infrastructure to be located outside of natural hazard overlay. Policy 1b is not considered appropriate. Policy 1c sets an unrealistic expectation that network utility operators will locate infrastructure underground.

Decision Requested: Amend Policies 1a, 1b, and 1c; and retain Objective 1 and Policies 1d, 1e, 1f and 1g (refer to original submission for specific wording).

Submitter Number: 935 **Submitter:** Sally Millar

On behalf of: Federated Farmers of New Zealand

ECM File Numbers: [3342192](#); [3342193](#)

Submission Point No:	935.13(Section 19 Utilities)	Category	Section 19 - Utilities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Support		
Submission Summary:	Supports Section 19 with amendments.		
	<p>Decision Requested: Amend Policy 1b to include requirement to avoid adverse effects on existing land uses as well; Policy 2a to read 'and future planned electricity...'; and retain Objective 1.</p>		

Submitter Number:	966	Submitter:	Terry Walker
On behalf of:	Whangamata Community Board		
ECM File Numbers:	3343769		

Submission Point No:	966.11(Section 19.1.1; new provisions)	Category	Section 19 - Utilities
Support/Oppose/Neutral:	Oppose		
Submission Summary:	<p>Oppose no mention of the electricity line feeding into Whangamata and its strategic importance to Whangamata's social and economic development. A new line is needed from the National Grid at Karangahake so maintenance can be carried out on the existing line without loss of supply.</p> <p>Decision Requested: Amend Plan wording to give significant importance to the electrical feed requirements (national, regional and sub-regional) and enhance issues around the surety of supply for business and residents on the eastern seaboard.</p>		
