Submission Summary by Plan Section

Category Name: Thames-Coromandel Proposed District Plan - November 2013

Contents of all Volumes

Submitter Number: 1179 Submitter: Ngati Huarere ki Whangapoua Trust

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3345983; 3349397

Submission Point 1179.2 Category

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes Plan provisions applying to private land and Maori-owned ancestral land

where the owner has not given consent.

Decision Requested: Do not apply Plan provisions to private land unless the landowner has given consent; and do not place any restrictions on Maori-owned

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

ancestral land.

Submitter Number: 1197 Submitter: Ben Castelow

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3338589

Submission Point 1197.4(All provisions)

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, where community values were assessed,

has not been fully translated into the Plan and sustainable and development and

Category

biodiversity growth are not prioritised.

Decision Requested: Change the Plan's wording to uphold the values expressed

by Coromandel communities in the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint.

Submitter Number: 1199 Submitter: Michael Gunson

On behalf of: Surfbreak Protection Society

ECM File Numbers: 3338380

Submission Point Number:

1199.8(All provisions)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint has not been fully translated into the Plan

and sustainable development and biodiversity growth are not prioritised. Concern that some Local Area Blueprint provisions, which had inadequate consultation,

have been inserted into the Plan.

Decision Requested: Amend the Plan to uphold sustainable development and biodiversity growth values expressed by Coromandel communities; and to give

effect to the Blueprint vision to limit development to Whangamata, Whitianga and

Thames.

Submitter Number: 1241 **Submitter:** Kathryn Akozu - Burton

Planning Consultant Ltd

On behalf of: Powerco Limited

ECM File Numbers: 3342088

Submission Point 1241.1 Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Seeks to have the District Plan generally recognise and ensure the sustainable

management of Powerco's assets

Decision Requested: Amend the relevant provisions of the Plan to recognise and ensure the sustainable management of Powerco's assets; the NPSET is given effect to; protection of the existing network; network utilities are addressed

in a stand alone chapter (Refer to original submission for specific wording)

Submitter Number: 216 **Submitter:** Hilary and Allan Calman

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3331436

Submission Point 216.5(Entire Plan) Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Requests the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint to be fully translated into the Plan

and prioritise sustainable development and biodiversity growth.

Decision Requested: Change Plan wording to fully translate and uphold the

values of the Coromandel Peninsula Blueprint, prioritising

sustainable development and biodiversity growth.

 Submitter Number:
 33
 Submitter:
 Neville Meredith Cameron

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3305027; 3336595

Submission Point 33.1(Whole Plan) Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Support

Submission Summary: Supports the simplicity and affordability of building requirements.

Decision Requested: The Plan should be hurried up and non-interested parties

should not be able to object

Submitter Number: 365 Submitter: Chris & Lyndsay Ison

On behalf of:

Submission Point Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary:

General comment about economic benefits and opportunities.

Decision Requested: Include a further statement that any analysis of economic

activities shall be rigorous and include analysis of externalities.

Submitter Number: 372 Submitter: Scott Wynands On behalf of: **ECM File Numbers:** 3336407 **Submission Point** 372.3(General comment) Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes Number: Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose **Submission Summary:** Too much focus on creating regulation that becomes counter-productive; shift towards more active consultation and community education. Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 390 **Submitter:** Steve & Rosemary Garland

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3336782; 3336783

Submission Point 390.7(Entire Plan) Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose the Proposed District Plan generally in its entirety.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number: 438 John Tamihere - Te Runanga Submitter: Ngati Porou ki Hauraki On behalf of: Te Runanga Ngati Porou ki Hauraki **ECM File Numbers:** 3342472 **Submission Point** 438.2 1.1-Contents of all Volumes Category Number: Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose **Submission Summary:** This is a pro-forma objection/opposition. Decision Requested: Not stated - to be provided at the hearing.

 Submitter Number:
 443
 Submitter:
 Kerry Watson

 On behalf of:
 Waihi Gold Company Ltd trading as Newmont Waihi Gold

 ECM File Numbers:
 3342136; 3382330; 3382332

 Submission Point
 443.20(Contents of all
 Category
 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number: volumes)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Provide an appropriate policy framework for mining related activities and on-going

consideration of existing mining activities.

Decision Requested: Adopt the relief sought in the submission by Waihi Gold

Company Ltd (Newmont Waihi Gold)

Submitter Number: 532 Submitter:

Max Toomey

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3335680

Submission Point

532.2(Waikawau Bay, Little

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number: Bay)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary:

Oppose TCDC failing to give any, or proper, consideration to the Objection Area

under relevant legislation.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number:

541

Submitter:

Chris Chisholm

On behalf of:

Little Bay Ratepayers Association

ECM File Numbers:

3336308

Submission Point Number:

541.2(Little Bay and

surrounds)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary:

Oppose no consideration given for existing rights and reasonable use of

properties at Little Bay.

Decision Requested: Not stated.

Submitter Number:

565

Submitter:

Tom Hollings

On behalf of:

Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association

ECM File Numbers:

3337011;3337010

Submission Point

565.14(New provisions and zoning)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary:

Requests provision for marine farming shore bases at Coromandel, and suitably

zone existing shore-base vards.

Decision Requested: Provide for marine farming shore bases at Coromandel,

and suitably zone existing shore-base yards, e.g. Industrial.

Submitter Number:

751

Submitter:

John Drummond

On behalf of:

Tairua Environment Society

ECM File Numbers:

3338034; 3338035; 3338036

Submission Point

Number:

751.1(General support)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Generally supports the zoning and overlays in the Tairua area except where the

submission identifies otherwise.

Decision Requested: Retain the zoning and overlays in the Tairua area except

as changed in submission points.

Submission Point Number:

751.17(Notification provisions)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Supports notification and limited notification for consents unless there are no

adverse effects.

Decision Requested: Require notification and limited notification for consents

unless there are no adverse effects.

Submitter Number: 759 Submitter: Russell Skeet

On behalf of:

Number:

3338088 **ECM File Numbers:**

Submission Point

Volumes)

759.2(Contents of all Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: The Plan's size, complexity and use of complicated language makes it difficult for

many citizens to understand and interpret.

Decision Requested: The Plan must have utility for ordinary folk.

Submitter Number: 790 Submitter: Nathan Kennedy

On behalf of: Ngaati Whanaunga Environment Unit

ECM File Numbers: 3338486

Submission Point Number:

790.2(Entire Plan)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Neutral

Submission Summary: Supports the overall Plan direction, with concern about: resourcing and

monitoring of Plan method implementation; the vertical and horizontal integration

'gaps', particularly Maori perspectives and Maori-specific

sections; adverse effects from activities impacting on Maori use and values on adjoining sites: difficulties in assessing cumulative effects, particularly intangible matters; possible 'ancestral rohe' maps development - could be an

overlay: insufficient methods for many Maori issues, objectives, and policies; and

a difficulty of navigating the Plan, without clear navigation tools and linkages. Opposes abandoning of Anticipated Environmental Results reporting.

Decision Requested: Retain the Plan as notified, but: provide for Plan

implementation, monitoring and evaluation; integrate Maori provisions horizontally and vertically; recognise adverse effects from activities on adjoining sites can impact on cultural and customary uses; emphasise the difficulty in identifying and assessing cumulative effects on Maori cultural values; include 'ancestral rohe' maps, developed with mana whenua; and systematically assess the methods (including rules) identified for the Maori-specific issues, objectives, and policies; reinstate Anticipated Environmental Results across the plan; and provide a consistent mapping approach. Refer to original submission for specific wording.

Submitter Number: 791 Submitter: Sue Edens and Others

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3338258; 3338259; 3338260; 3338261; 3338262; 3338263

Submission Point

Number:

791.1(Entire Plan)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Oppose all the Plan until there are some changes to the overall thinking and

outcomes as to what the Plan is to achieve. This includes the S32 analysis. The

RPS has changed regarding the Blueprint.

Decision Requested: Restructure the direction of parts of the plan, in particular

the overlays, Conservation rules, Definitions, The Blueprint and

growth strategy. Change "shall" to "should" through the document. Change "will" to

"could" throughout the document. (Refer to original submission for specific

wording)

Submission Point

Number:

791.2(Whole Plan - section numbers)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Oppose

Submission Summary:

It's easy to be looking at the wrong section when turning backwards and

forwards through the plan.

Decision Requested: Include section numbers for pages that don't have one e.g.

97 + 98, 101, 247 + 248.

Submitter Number:

799

Submitter:

Category

Gloria and Graeme Ready

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers:

3337925

Submission Point

Number:

799.1(Use of "will" and

"shall")

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary:

Oppose the use of the terms "will" and "shall" throughout this Plan.

Decision Requested: Replace 'will' and 'shall' in the Plan with less prescriptive

language.

Submitter Number:

832

Submitter:

Jo Young - Boffa Miskell Ltd

On behalf of:

Transpower New Zealand Limited

ECM File Numbers:

3342101

Submission Point 832.5(Contents of all Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes Number: Volumes)

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Requests cross referencing between sections of specific objectives, policies, rules

and assessment criteria.

Decision Requested: Cross reference between sections to enable plan users considering development and subdivision to understand the full range of objectives, policies, rules and assessment criteria that may be relevant.

Submitter Number: 835 **Submitter:** Ken and Marianne Nation

On behalf of:

Submission Point

Number:

ECM File Numbers: 3342112

835.5(Entire Plan)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes entire Plan and seeks simplication.

Decision Requested: Simplify Plan and make it more user-friendly.

Submitter Number: 855 Submitter: Katie Treadaway - KTB

Planning

On behalf of: Rayonier New Zealand Ltd and Earnslaw One Ltd

ECM File Numbers: 3342127

Submission Point 855.1(Table of Contents) Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the table of contents not having page numbers.

Decision Requested: Amend the table of contents to include page numbers.

Submitter Number: 964 Submitter: Catherine Donoghue

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3343765

Submission Point 964.4(All provisions) Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number:

Support/Oppose/Neutral:

Submission Summary: Supports parts of the Plan that have TCDC planners use their expertise on

climate change to support other communities, and that acknowledge catchment protection as essential. Enhanced environments should underpin all planning

decisions.

Decision Requested: Make enhanced environments underpin all planning decisions. Retain TCDC planners being authorities on climate change and using their expertise to support other communities, and retain acknowledgement of

catchment protection as essential.

Submitter Number: 99 Submitter: David Clement Sidney

Pitham

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers: 3322862 ; 3322860

Submission Point 99.3(Entire Proposed District Category 1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Number: Plan)

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary: Opposes the Plan presented in a form which is far too complex, unwieldy and

hscure

Decision Requested: Amend Plan to put out a 'plain English' document.

Submitter Number:

1084

Submitter:

Dal Minogue

On behalf of:

ECM File Numbers:

3344915

Submission Point

Number:

1084.1(Whole Plan)

Category

1.1-Contents of all Volumes

Support/Oppose/Neutral: Oppose

Submission Summary:

Opposes the Plan in its entirety.

Decision Requested: Withdraw the Plan pending a professionally rewritten Section 32 analysis. Any District Plan Hearing Commissioner or member who has involved themselves in lobbying for/against Significant Natural Areas in the Plan should stand aside from any hearings and or subsequent decision making on the

Plan.